

# NIST Special Publication NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd

# Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information in Nonfederal Systems and Organizations

Final Public Draft

Ron Ross Victoria Pillitteri

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-171r3.fpd



# NIST Special Publication NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd

# Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information in Nonfederal Systems and Organizations

Final Public Draft

Ron Ross Victoria Pillitteri Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-171r3.fpd

November 2023



U.S. Department of Commerce *Gina M. Raimondo, Secretary* 

NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd (Final Public Draft) November 2023

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, software, or materials, commercial or non-commercial, are identified in this paper in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at <a href="https://csrc.nist.gov/publications">https://csrc.nist.gov/publications</a>.

#### **Authority**

This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3551 et seq., Public Law (P.L.) 113-283. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials exercising policy authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130.

Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. Attribution would, however, be appreciated by NIST.

#### **NIST Technical Series Policies**

Copyright, Use, and Licensing Statements
NIST Technical Series Publication Identifier Syntax

#### **How to Cite this NIST Technical Series Publication:**

Ross R, Pillitteri V (2023) Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information in Nonfederal Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-171r3.fpd

#### **Author ORCID iDs**

Ron Ross: 0000-0002-1099-9757 Victoria Pillitteri: 0000-0002-7446-7506

#### **Public Comment Period**

November 9, 2023 – January 26, 2024 (originally Jan. 12, 2024)

#### **Submit Comments**

800-171comments@list.nist.gov
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

#### **Abstract**

The protection of Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) resident in nonfederal systems and organizations is of paramount importance to federal agencies and can directly impact the ability of the Federal Government to successfully conduct its essential missions and functions. This publication provides federal agencies with recommended security requirements for protecting the confidentiality of CUI when the information is resident in nonfederal systems and organizations. The requirements apply to components of nonfederal systems that process, store, or transmit CUI or that provide protection for such components. The security requirements are intended for use by federal agencies in contractual vehicles or other agreements established between those agencies and nonfederal organizations.

# **Keywords**

Controlled Unclassified Information; Executive Order 13556; FIPS Publication 199; FIPS Publication 200; FISMA; NIST Special Publication 800-53; nonfederal organizations; nonfederal systems; organization-defined parameter; security assessment; security control; security requirement.

# **Reports on Computer Systems Technology**

The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

#### **Audience**

This publication serves a diverse group of individuals and organizations in the public and private sectors, including:

- Federal agencies responsible for managing and protecting CUI
- Nonfederal organizations responsible for protecting CUI
- Individuals with system development life cycle responsibilities (e.g., program managers, mission/business owners, information owners/stewards, system designers and developers, system/security engineers, systems integrators)
- Individuals with acquisition or procurement responsibilities (e.g., contracting officers)
- Individuals with system, security, or risk management and oversight responsibilities (e.g., authorizing officials, chief information officers, chief information security officers, system owners, information security managers)
- Individuals with security assessment and monitoring responsibilities (e.g., auditors, system evaluators, assessors, analysts, independent verifiers and validators)

The above roles and responsibilities can be viewed from two perspectives:

- Federal perspective: The entity establishing and conveying the security requirements in contractual vehicles or other types of agreements
- *Nonfederal perspective*: The entity responding to and complying with the security requirements set forth in contracts or agreements

#### **Note to Reviewers**

This update to NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-171, Revision 3 includes the changes made to the initial public draft (ipd) in response to the <u>public comments</u> received. Many trade-offs have been made to ensure that the technical and non-technical requirements have been stated clearly and concisely while also recognizing the specific needs of federal and nonfederal organizations. The following significant changes have been made to the initial public draft of NIST SP 800-171, Revision 3:

- Eliminated the NFO control tailoring category
- Introduced a new control tailoring category for controls that are addressed by other related controls (ORC)
- Eliminated selected organization-defined parameters (ODPs) where the ODP specification did not significantly impact the security requirement
- Clarified the responsibility for assigning ODP values
- Combined security requirements (or parts of requirements) with other requirements for consistency and ease of use
- Added security requirements due to control categorization changes
- Sequenced the content in the discussion sections to align with the individual parts of the requirements
- Modified the tailoring categories of selected controls and control items (subparts of controls)
- Added leading zeros to security requirement numbers to achieve greater consistency with SP 800-171A numbering formats and to support automated tool usage

Information regarding the transition of security requirements from NIST SP 800-171, Revision 2 to Revision 3 can be found on the <u>publication details</u> web page.

Reviewers are encouraged to comment on all or parts of draft NIST SP 800-171, Revision 3. NIST requests that comments be submitted to 800-171comments@list.nist.gov by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST) on **January 12, 2024**. Commenters are encouraged to use the comment template provided with the document announcement.

Comments received in response to this request will be posted on the <u>Protecting CUI project site</u> after the due date. Submitters' names and affiliations (when provided) will be included, while contact information will be removed.

#### **Call for Patent Claims**

This public review includes a call for information on essential patent claims (claims whose use would be required for compliance with the guidance or requirements in this Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) draft publication). Such guidance and/or requirements may be directly stated in this ITL Publication or by reference to another publication. This call also includes disclosure, where known, of the existence of pending U.S. or foreign patent applications relating to this ITL draft publication and of any relevant unexpired U.S. or foreign patents.

ITL may require from the patent holder, or a party authorized to make assurances on its behalf, in written or electronic form, either:

- a) assurance in the form of a general disclaimer to the effect that such party does not hold and does not currently intend holding any essential patent claim(s); or
- b) assurance that a license to such essential patent claim(s) will be made available to applicants desiring to utilize the license for the purpose of complying with the guidance or requirements in this ITL draft publication either:
  - i. under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination; or
  - ii. without compensation and under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination.

Such assurance shall indicate that the patent holder (or third party authorized to make assurances on its behalf) will include in any documents transferring ownership of patents subject to the assurance, provisions sufficient to ensure that the commitments in the assurance are binding on the transferee, and that the transferee will similarly include appropriate provisions in the event of future transfers with the goal of binding each successor-in-interest.

The assurance shall also indicate that it is intended to be binding on successors-in-interest regardless of whether such provisions are included in the relevant transfer documents.

Such statements should be addressed to: 800-171comments@list.nist.gov

# **Table of Contents**

1.	. Int	roduction	.1
	1.1.	Purpose and Applicability	. 1
	1.2.	Organization of This Publication	.2
2.	. Th	e Fundamentals	. 3
	2.1.	Basic Assumptions	. 3
	2.2.	Security Requirement Development Methodology	. 3
3.	. Th	e Requirements	. 6
		Access Control	
	3.1	.1. Account Management	.6
	3.1	.2. Access Enforcement	.7
		.3. Information Flow Enforcement	
	3.1	.4. Separation of Duties	.8
		.5. Least Privilege	
	3.1	.6. Least Privilege – Privileged Accounts	.9
	3.1	.7. Least Privilege – Privileged Functions	10
		.8. Unsuccessful Logon Attempts	
		.9. System Use Notification	
	3.1	.10. Device Lock	11
	3.1	.11. Session Termination	12
	3.1	.12. Remote Access	12
		.13. Withdrawn	
		.14. Withdrawn	
		.15. Withdrawn	
		.16. Wireless Access	
		.17. Withdrawn	
		.18. Access Control for Mobile Devices	
		.19. Withdrawn	
		.20. Use of External Systems	
		.21. Withdrawn	
		.22. Publicly Accessible Content	
		Awareness and Training	
		2.1. Literacy Training and Awareness	
		2.2. Role-Based Training	
		2.3. Withdrawn	
	3.3.	Audit and Accountability	18

	3.3.1.	Event Logging	18
	3.3.2.	Audit Record Content	19
	3.3.3.	Audit Record Generation	19
	3.3.4.	Response to Audit Logging Process Failures	20
	3.3.5.	Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting	20
	3.3.6.	Audit Record Reduction and Report Generation	21
	3.3.7.	Time Stamps	21
	3.3.8.	Protection of Audit Information	22
	3.3.9.	Withdrawn	22
3.	4. Coı	nfiguration Management	22
	3.4.1.	Baseline Configuration	22
	3.4.2.	Configuration Settings	23
	3.4.3.	Configuration Change Control	23
	3.4.4.	Impact Analyses	24
	3.4.5.	Access Restrictions for Change	24
	3.4.6.	Least Functionality	25
	3.4.7.	Withdrawn	25
	3.4.8.	Authorized Software – Allow by Exception	25
	3.4.9.	Withdrawn	26
	3.4.10.	System Component Inventory	26
	3.4.11.	Information Location	27
	3.4.12.	System and Component Configuration for High-Risk Areas	27
3.	5. Ide	ntification and Authentication	28
	3.5.1.	User Identification, Authentication, and Re-Authentication	28
	3.5.2.	Device Identification and Authentication	28
	3.5.3.	Multi-Factor Authentication	29
	3.5.4.	Replay-Resistant Authentication	29
	3.5.5.	Identifier Management	29
	3.5.6.	Withdrawn	30
	3.5.7.	Password Management	30
	3.5.8.	Withdrawn	31
	3.5.9.	Withdrawn	31
	3.5.10.	Withdrawn	31
	3.5.11.	Authentication Feedback	31
	3.5.12.	Authenticator Management	31
3.	6. Inci	ident Response	32

3.6	3.1.	Incident Response Plan and Handling	32
3.6	6.2.	Incident Monitoring, Reporting, and Response Assistance	33
3.6	6.3.	Incident Response Testing	33
3.6	6.4.	Incident Response Training	34
3.7.	Ма	intenance	34
3.7	<b>'</b> .1.	Withdrawn	34
3.7	<b>.</b> 2.	Withdrawn	34
3.7	<b>'</b> .3.	Withdrawn	35
3.7	<b>'</b> .4.	Maintenance Tools	35
3.7	'.5.	Nonlocal Maintenance	35
3.7	'.6.	Maintenance Personnel	36
3.8.	Ме	dia Protection	36
3.8	3.1.	Media Storage	36
3.8	3.2.	Media Access	37
3.8	3.3.	Media Sanitization	37
3.8	3.4.	Media Marking	38
3.8	8.5.	Media Transport	38
3.8	8.6.	Withdrawn	39
3.8	3.7.	Media Use	39
3.8	8.8.	Withdrawn	39
3.8	8.9.	System Backup – Cryptographic Protection	39
3.9.	Pe	rsonnel Security	40
3.9	9.1.	Personnel Screening	40
3.9	9.2.	Personnel Termination and Transfer	40
3.10.	Ph	ysical Protection	41
3.1	0.1.	Physical Access Authorizations	41
3.1	0.2.	Monitoring Physical Access	42
3.1	0.3.	Withdrawn	42
3.1	0.4.	Withdrawn	42
3.1	0.5.	Withdrawn	42
		Alternate Work Site	
3.1	0.7.	Physical Access Control	43
3.1	0.8.	Access Control for Transmission and Output Devices	43
3.11.	Ris	k Assessment	44
		Risk Assessment	
3.1	1.2.	Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning	44

3.11.3. Withdrawn	45
3.12. Security Assessment and Monitoring	45
3.12.1. Security Assessment	45
3.12.2. Plan of Action and Milestones	46
3.12.3. Continuous Monitoring	46
3.12.4. Withdrawn	47
3.12.5. Information Exchange	47
3.13. System and Communications Protection	47
3.13.1. Boundary Protection	
3.13.2. Withdrawn	48
3.13.3. Withdrawn	48
3.13.4. Information in Shared System Resources	48
3.13.5. Withdrawn	48
3.13.6. Network Communications – Deny by Default – Allow by Exception	49
3.13.7. Withdrawn	49
3.13.8. Transmission and Storage Confidentiality	49
3.13.9. Network Disconnect	49
3.13.10. Cryptographic Key Establishment and Management	50
3.13.11. Cryptographic Protection	50
3.13.12. Collaborative Computing Devices and Applications	51
3.13.13. Mobile Code	51
3.13.14. Withdrawn	51
3.13.15. Session Authenticity	52
3.13.16. Withdrawn	52
3.14. System and Information Integrity	52
3.14.1. Flaw Remediation	52
3.14.2. Malicious Code Protection	53
3.14.3. Security Alerts, Advisories, and Directives	53
3.14.4. Withdrawn	54
3.14.5. Withdrawn	54
3.14.6. System Monitoring	54
3.14.7. Withdrawn	55
3.14.8. Information Management and Retention	55
3.15. Planning	55
3.15.1. Policy and Procedures	55
3.15.2. System Security Plan	56

3.15.3. Ru	ıles of Behavior	.57
3.16. Syste	m and Services Acquisition	.57
3.16.1. Ad	equisition Process	.57
3.16.2. Ur	nsupported System Components	.58
3.16.3. Ex	ternal System Services	.58
3.17. Suppl	y Chain Risk Management	.59
3.17.1. Su	upply Chain Risk Management Plan	. 59
3.17.2. Ad	equisition Strategies, Tools, and Methods	.60
3.17.3. St	upply Chain Requirements and Processes	.60
References		. 62
Appendix A.	Acronyms	.69
Appendix B.	Glossary	.71
Appendix C.	Tailoring Criteria	.79
Appendix D.	Change Log	.91

# **List of Tables**

Table 1. Security requirement families	4
Table 2. Security control tailoring criteria	
Table 3. Access Control (AC)	
Table 4. Awareness and Training (AT)	80
Table 5. Audit and Accountability (AU)	80
Table 6. Assessment, Authorization, and Monitoring (CA)	81
Table 7. Configuration Management (CM)	81
Table 8. Contingency Planning (CP)	82
Table 9. Identification and Authentication (IA)	83
Table 10. Incident Response (IR)	84
Table 11. Maintenance (MA)	84
Table 12. Media Protection (MP)	
Table 13. Physical and Environmental Protection (PE)	85
Table 14. Planning (PL)	
Table 15. Program Management (PM)	
Table 16. Personnel Security (PS)	
Table 17. PII Processing and Transparency (PT)	87
Table 18. Risk Assessment (RA)	
Table 19. System and Services Acquisition (SA)	
Table 20. System and Communications Protection (SC)	
Table 21. System and Information Integrity (SI)	89
Table 22. Supply Chain Risk Management (SR)	90
Table 23. Change Log	92

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge and appreciate the significant contributions from individuals and organizations in the public and private sectors whose constructive comments improved the overall quality, thoroughness, and usefulness of this publication. The authors also wish to thank the NIST technical editing and production staff – Jim Foti, Jeff Brewer, Eduardo Takamura, Isabel Van Wyk, and Cristina Ritfeld – for their outstanding support in preparing this document for publication. Finally, a special note of thanks goes out to Kelley Dempsey for the initial research and development of the technical content used in the prototype CUI overlay.

# Historical Contributions

The authors also wish to acknowledge the following organizations and individuals for their historic contributions to this publication:

- Organizations: National Archives and Records Administration, Department of Defense
- *Individuals:* Carol Bales, Matthew Barrett, Jon Boyens, Devin Casey, Christian Enloe, Gary Guissanie, Peggy Himes, Robert Glenn, Elizabeth Lennon, Vicki Michetti, Dorian Pappas, Karen Quigg, Mark Riddle, Matthew Scholl, Mary Thomas, Murugiah Souppaya, Patricia Toth, and Patrick Viscuso

# 1. Introduction

1

- 2 Executive Order (EO) 13556 [1] established a governmentwide program to standardize the way
- 3 the executive branch handles Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). EO 13556 required
- 4 that the CUI program emphasize openness, transparency, and uniformity of governmentwide
- 5 practices and that the program implementation take place in a manner consistent with Office of
- 6 Management and Budget (OMB) policies and National Institute of Standards and Technology
- 7 (NIST) standards and guidelines. As the CUI program Executive Agent, the National Archives
- 8 and Records Administration (NARA) provides information, guidance, policy, and requirements
- 9 on handling CUI [4]. This includes approved CUI categories and descriptions, the basis for
- safeguarding and dissemination controls, and procedures for the use of CUI.<sup>2</sup> The CUI federal
- regulation [5] provides guidance to federal agencies on the designation, safeguarding, marking,
- dissemination, decontrolling, and disposition of CUI; establishes self-inspection and oversight
- requirements; and delineates other facets of the program.
- 14 The CUI regulation requires federal agencies that use federal information systems<sup>3</sup> to process,
- store, or transmit CUI to comply with NIST standards and guidelines. The responsibility of
- 16 federal agencies to protect CUI does not change when such information is shared with nonfederal
- organizations. <sup>4</sup> Therefore, a similar level of protection is needed when CUI is processed, stored,
- or transmitted by nonfederal organizations using nonfederal systems. To maintain a consistent
- 19 level of protection, the security requirements for safeguarding CUI in nonfederal systems and
- organizations must comply with FIPS 199 [6] and FIPS 200 [7]. The requirements are derived
- 21 from the controls in NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53 [8].

# 1.1. Purpose and Applicability

- 23 The purpose of this publication is to provide federal agencies with recommended security
- requirements<sup>6</sup> for protecting the *confidentiality* of CUI<sup>7</sup> when such information is resident in
- 25 nonfederal systems and organizations and where there are no specific safeguarding requirements
- 26 prescribed by the authorizing law, regulation, or governmentwide policy for the CUI category
- 27 listed in the CUI registry [4]. The requirements do not apply to nonfederal organizations that are
- 28 collecting or maintaining information on behalf of a federal agency or using or operating a
- 29 system on behalf of an agency.<sup>8</sup>

30

22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CUI is any information that a law, regulation, or governmentwide policy requires to have safeguarding or disseminating controls, excluding information that is classified under EO 13526 [2], or any predecessor or successor order, or the Atomic Energy Act [3] as amended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Procedures for the use of CUI include marking, safeguarding, transporting, disseminating, reusing, and disposing of the information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A *federal information system* is a system that is used or operated by an executive agency, by a contractor of an executive agency, or by another organization on behalf of an executive agency. The term *system* is used in this publication to represent people, processes, and technologies involved in the processing, storage, or transmission of CUI. Systems can include operational technology (OT), information technology (IT), Internet of Things (IoT) devices, Industrial IoT (IIoT) devices, specialized systems, cyber-physical systems, embedded systems, and sensors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A nonfederal organization is any entity that owns, operates, or maintains a nonfederal system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A *nonfederal system* is any system that does not meet the criteria for a federal information system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The term *security requirement* refers to the protection needs for a system or organization. Security requirements may be derived from laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, mission and business needs, or risk assessments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In accordance with EO 13526 [2] and 32 CFR 2002 [5], the scope of CUI protection is primarily focused on *confidentiality*. However, the security objectives of confidentiality and integrity are closely related since many of the underlying security mechanisms support both objectives. Therefore, the security requirements in this publication address the protection of CUI from unauthorized disclosure and modification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Nonfederal organizations that collect or maintain information on behalf of a federal agency or that use or operate a system on behalf of an agency must comply with the requirements in FISMA [9].

- 31 The security requirements in this publication are *only* applicable to components of nonfederal
- 32 systems that process, store, or transmit CUI or that provide protection for such components. <sup>9</sup> The
- requirements are intended for use by federal agencies in contractual vehicles or other agreements
- that are established between those agencies and nonfederal organizations.
- 35 Appropriately scoping requirements is an important factor in determining protection-related
- 36 investment decisions and managing security risks for nonfederal organizations. If nonfederal
- 37 organizations designate specific system components for the processing, storage, or transmission
- of CUI, those organizations may limit the scope of the security requirements by isolating the
- designated system components in a separate CUI security domain. Isolation can be achieved by
- 40 applying architectural and design concepts (e.g., implementing subnetworks with firewalls or
- other boundary protection devices and using information flow control mechanisms). Security
- domains may employ physical separation, logical separation, or a combination of both. This
- 43 approach can provide adequate security for CUI and avoid increasing the organization's security
- posture beyond what it requires for protecting its missions, operations, and assets.

# 1.2. Organization of This Publication

- 46 The remainder of this special publication is organized as follows:
- Section 2 describes the assumptions and methodology used to develop the security requirements for protecting the confidentiality of CUI, the format of the requirements, and the tailoring criteria applied to the NIST standards and guidelines to obtain the
- requirements.

45

- Section 3 lists the security requirements for protecting the confidentiality of CUI in nonfederal systems and organizations.
- The following sections provide additional information to support the protection of CUI in
- 54 nonfederal systems and organizations:
- References
- Appendix A: Acronyms
- Appendix B: Glossary
- Appendix C: Tailoring Criteria
- Appendix D: Change Log

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> System *components* include workstations, servers, notebook computers, smartphones, tablets, input and output devices, network components, operating systems, virtual machines, database management systems, and applications.

# 60 **2.** The Fundamentals

- This section describes the basic assumptions and methodology used to develop the requirements
- 62 to protect the confidentiality of CUI in nonfederal systems and organizations. It also includes the
- tailoring<sup>10</sup> criteria applied to the controls in NIST SP 800-53 [8].

# 64 2.1. Basic Assumptions

66 67

68

69

70

71

75

- The security requirements in this publication are based on the following assumptions:
  - Federal information designated as CUI has the same value, whether such information resides in a federal or a nonfederal system or organization.
  - Statutory and regulatory requirements for the protection of CUI are consistent in federal and nonfederal systems and organizations.
    - Safeguards implemented to protect CUI are consistent in federal and nonfederal systems and organizations.
- The confidentiality impact value for CUI is no less than *moderate*. 11
- Nonfederal organizations can directly implement a variety of potential security solutions or use external service providers to satisfy security requirements.

# 2.2. Security Requirement Development Methodology

- Starting with the NIST SP 800-53 controls in the NIST SP 800-53B [12] moderate baseline, the controls are *tailored* to eliminate selected controls or parts of controls that are:
- Primarily the responsibility of the Federal Government;
- Not directly related to protecting the confidentiality of CUI;
- Adequately addressed by other related controls; 12 or
- Not applicable.
- 82 The NIST SP 800-171 security requirements represent a subset of the controls that are necessary
- 83 to protect the confidentiality of CUI. The security requirements are organized into 17 families, as
- 84 illustrated in Table 1. Each family contains the requirements related to the general security topic
- of the family. Certain families from NIST SP 800-53 are not included due to the aforementioned
- 86 tailoring criteria. 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Tailoring is the process by which control baselines are modified to achieve certain organizational goals and objectives [13].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> FIPS 199 [6] defines three confidentiality impact values: low, moderate, and high. In accordance with 32 CFR 2002 [5], CUI is categorized at no less than the moderate confidentiality impact value. However, when federal law, regulation, or governmentwide policy establishing the control of CUI specifies controls that differ from those of the moderate confidentiality baseline, then the applicable law, regulation, or governmentwide policy is followed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> "Adequately addressed by other related controls" means that the protection capability offered by the control is provided by another control in the same or different control family. Using this tailoring option helps to eliminate potential redundancy in requirements without affecting the protection of CUI in nonfederal systems and organizations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The PII Processing and Transparency (PT) family is not included because PII is a category of CUI, and therefore, no additional requirements are specified for confidentiality protection. The Program Management (PM) family is not included because it is not associated with any security control baseline.

87

Table 1. Security requirement families

Access Control	<u>Maintenance</u>	Security Assessment and Monitoring
Awareness and Training	Media Protection	System and Communications Protection
Audit and Accountability	Personnel Security	System and Information Integrity
Configuration Management	Physical Protection	Planning
Identification and Authentication	Risk Assessment	System and Services Acquisition
Incident Response		Supply Chain Risk Management

88 89

90 91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

Organization-defined parameters (ODPs) are included for some requirements. These ODPs provide flexibility through the use of assignment and selection operations to allow federal agencies and nonfederal organizations to specify values for the designated parameters in the requirements. Assignment and selection operations provide the capability to customize the security requirements based on specific protection needs. The determination of organization-defined parameter values can be guided and informed by laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, guidance, or mission and business needs. Once specified, the values for the organization-defined parameters become part of the requirement.

A discussion section is included with each requirement. It is derived from the control discussion sections in NIST SP 800-53 and provides additional information to facilitate the implementation and assessment of the requirements. The discussion section is informative, not normative. It is not intended to extend the scope of a requirement or to influence the solutions that organizations may use to satisfy a requirement. The use of examples is notional, not exhaustive and not reflective of potential options available to organizations. A *references* section provides the source controls from NIST SP 800-53 and a list of NIST Special Publications with additional information on the topic described in the security requirement. <sup>15</sup>

The structure and content of a typical security requirement is provided in the example below:

#### 3.13.11 Cryptographic Protection

REQUIREMENT: 03.13.11

Implement the following types of cryptography when used to protect the confidentiality of CUI: [Assignment: organization-defined types of cryptography].

#### 110 DISCUSSION

111 Cryptography is implemented in accordance with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidelines.

#### 113 REFERENCES

Source Control: SC-13

Supporting Publications: FIPS 140-3 [38]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> NIST does not establish or assign values for ODPs. If ODP values for selected security requirements are not formally established or assigned by a federal agency or a consortium of federal agencies, nonfederal organizations assign those values to complete the requirements.

<sup>15</sup> Unless specified in federal policy, the guidance in supporting NIST publications in the references section is informative, not normative.

116

#### **ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETERS**

Organization-defined parameters are an important part of a security requirement specification. ODPs provide the flexibility and specificity needed by organizations to clearly define their CUI security requirements, given the diverse nature of their missions, business functions, technologies, operational environments, and risk tolerance. ODPs also support consistent security assessments in determining whether specified security requirements have been satisfied.

The term *organization* is used in many security requirements. The meaning of the term is context dependent. For example, in a security requirement with an ODP, an organization can refer to either the federal agency or the nonfederal organization establishing the parameter values for the requirement.

Appendix C describes the security control tailoring criteria used to develop the CUI security requirements and the results of the tailoring process. The appendix provides a list of controls

from NIST SP 800-53 that support the requirements and the controls that have been eliminated from the moderate baseline in accordance with the tailoring criteria.

# 125 **3. The Requirements**

- 126 This section describes 17 families of security requirements for protecting the confidentiality of
- 127 CUI in nonfederal systems and organizations. When used in the context of the requirements in
- 128 Section 3, the term *system* is narrowed to only include nonfederal systems or system components
- that process, store, or transmit CUI or that provide protection for such systems or components.
- Not all security requirements mention CUI explicitly. However, the requirements are included
- because they directly affect the protection of CUI during processing, while in storage, and when
- in transmission between different locations.
- Some systems, including specialized systems (e.g., industrial/process control systems, medical
- devices, computer numerical control machines), may have limitations on the application of
- certain security requirements. To accommodate such issues, the system security plan as
- reflected in requirement 03.15.02 is used to describe any enduring exceptions to the security
- requirements. Individual, isolated, or temporary deficiencies are managed though organizational
- plans of action and milestones, as reflected in requirement <u>03.12.02</u>.

# 3.1. Access Control

139

140

142

145

146

147

148149

151

153

154

#### 3.1.1. Account Management

- 141 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.01
  - a. Define the types of system accounts allowed and prohibited.
- b. Create, enable, modify, disable, and remove system accounts in accordance with organizational policy, procedures, prerequisites, and criteria.
  - c. Specify authorized users of the system, group and role membership, and access authorizations (i.e., privileges).
    - d. Authorize access to the system based on a valid access authorization and intended system usage.
  - e. Monitor the use of system accounts.
- f. Disable system accounts when:
  - The accounts have expired;
- 2. The accounts have been inactive for [Assignment: organization-defined time period];
  - 3. The accounts are no longer associated with a user or individual;
    - 4. The accounts are in violation of organizational policy; or
- 5. Significant risks associated with individuals are discovered.
- g. Notify organizational personnel or roles when:
- 1. Accounts are no longer required;
- 158 2. Users are terminated or transferred; and
- 3. System usage or need-to-know changes for an individual.

160 DISCUSSION

This requirement focuses on account management for systems and applications. The definition and enforcement of access authorizations other than those determined by account type (e.g., privileged access, non-privileged access) are addressed in requirement <u>03.01.02</u>. System account types include individual, group, temporary, system, guest, anonymous, emergency, developer, and service. Users who require administrative privileges on system accounts receive additional scrutiny by organizational personnel responsible for approving such accounts and privileged access. Types of accounts that organizations may prohibit due to increased risk include group, emergency, guest, anonymous, and temporary.

Organizations may choose to define access privileges or other attributes by account, type of account, or a combination of both. Other attributes required for authorizing access include restrictions on time-of-day, day-of-week, and point-of-origin. In defining other account attributes, organizations consider system requirements (e.g., system upgrades, scheduled maintenance) and mission and business requirements (e.g., time zone differences, remote access to facilitate travel requirements).

Users who pose a significant security risk include individuals for whom reliable evidence indicates either the intention to use authorized access to the system to cause harm or that adversaries will cause harm through them. Close coordination among human resource managers, mission/business owners, system administrators, and legal staff is essential when disabling system accounts for high-risk individuals. Time periods for the notification of organizational personnel or roles may vary.

#### REFERENCES

- 182 Source Controls: AC-02, AC-02(03), AC-02(13)
- Supporting Publications: SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-57-1 [15], SP 800-57-2 [16], SP 800-57-3 [17],
- 184 SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-113 [19], SP 800-114 [20], SP 800-121 [21], SP 800-162 [22], SP 800-
- 185 178 [23], SP 800-192 [24], IR 7874 [25], IR 7966 [26]

#### 3.1.2. Access Enforcement

- REQUIREMENT: 03.01.02
- 188 Enforce approved authorizations for logical access to CUI and system resources.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Access control policies control access between active entities or subjects (i.e., users or system processes acting on behalf of users) and passive entities or objects (i.e., devices, files, records, domains) in organizational systems. Types of system access include remote access and access to systems that communicate through external networks, such as the internet. Access enforcement mechanisms can also be employed at the application and service levels to provide increased protection for CUI. This recognizes that the system can host many applications and services in support of mission and business functions.

# 197 REFERENCES

- 198 Source Control: AC-03
- 199 Supporting Publications: SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-57-1 [15], SP 800-57-2 [16], SP 800-57-3 [17],
- 200 SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-113 [19], SP 800-114 [20], SP 800-121 [21], SP 800-162 [22], SP 800-
- 201 178 [23], SP 800-192 [24], IR 7874 [25], IR 7966 [26]

# 3.1.3. Information Flow Enforcement

**REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.03

Enforce approved authorizations for controlling the flow of CUI within the system and between connected systems.

#### DISCUSSION

Information flow control regulates where CUI can transit within a system and between systems (versus who can access the information) and without explicit regard to subsequent accesses to that information. Flow control restrictions include keeping CUI from being transmitted in the clear to the internet, blocking outside traffic that claims to be from within the organization, restricting requests to the internet that are not from the internal web proxy server, and limiting information transfers between organizations based on data structures and content.

Organizations commonly use information flow control policies and enforcement mechanisms to control the flow of CUI between designated sources and destinations (e.g., networks, individuals, and devices) within systems and between interconnected systems. Flow control is based on characteristics of the information or the information path. Enforcement occurs in boundary protection devices (e.g., encrypted tunnels, routers, gateways, and firewalls) that use rule sets or establish configuration settings that restrict system services, provide a packet-filtering capability based on header information, or provide a message-filtering capability based on message content (e.g., implementing key word searches or using document characteristics). Organizations also consider the trustworthiness of filtering and inspection mechanisms (i.e., hardware, firmware, and software components) that are critical to information flow enforcement.

Transferring information between systems that represent different security domains with different security policies introduces the risk that such transfers violate one or more domain security policies. In such situations, information owners or stewards provide guidance at designated policy enforcement points between interconnected systems. Organizations consider mandating specific architectural solutions when required to enforce specific security policies. Enforcement includes prohibiting information transfers between interconnected systems (i.e., allowing information access only), employing hardware mechanisms to enforce one-way information flows, and implementing trustworthy regrading mechanisms to reassign security attributes and security labels.

#### **REFERENCES**

Source Control: AC-04

Supporting Publications: SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-162 [22], SP 800-178 [23]

# 3.1.4. Separation of Duties

- REQUIREMENT: 03.01.04
- a. Identify the duties of individuals requiring separation.
- b. Define system access authorizations to support separation of duties.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Separation of duties addresses the potential for abuse of authorized privileges and reduces the risk of malevolent activity without collusion. Separation of duties includes dividing mission functions and support functions among different individuals or roles, conducting system support functions

with different individuals or roles (e.g., quality assurance, configuration management, system management, assessments, programming, and network security), and ensuring that personnel who administer access control functions do not also administer audit functions. Because separation of duty violations can span systems and application domains, organizations consider the entirety of their systems and system components when developing policies on separation of duties. This requirement is enforced by <u>03.01.02</u>.

# 249 **REFERENCES**

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

Source Control: AC-05

Supporting Publications: SP 800-162 [22], SP 800-178 [23]

# 3.1.5. Least Privilege

#### **REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.05

- a. Allow only authorized system access for users (or processes acting on behalf of users) that is necessary to accomplish assigned organizational tasks.
- b. Authorize access to [Assignment: organization-defined security functions and security-relevant information].
- c. Review the privileges assigned to roles or classes of users periodically to validate the need for such privileges.
- d. Reassign or remove privileges, as necessary.

#### DISCUSSION

Organizations employ the principle of least privilege for specific duties and authorized access for users and system processes. Least privilege is applied to the development, implementation, and operation of the system. Organizations consider creating additional processes, roles, and system accounts to achieve least privilege. Security functions include establishing system accounts and assigning privileges, installing software, configuring access authorizations, configuring settings for events to be audited, establishing vulnerability scanning parameters, and establishing intrusion detection parameters. Security-relevant information includes threat and vulnerability information, filtering rules for routers or firewalls, configuration parameters for security services, security architecture, cryptographic key management information, and access control lists.

#### REFERENCES

- 272 Source Controls: <u>AC-06, AC-06(01), AC-06(07), AU-09(04)</u>
- 273 Supporting Publications: None

# 274 3.1.6. Least Privilege – Privileged Accounts

- 275 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.06
- 276 a. Restrict privileged accounts on the system to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles].
- b. Require that users (or roles) with privileged accounts use non-privileged accounts when accessing nonsecurity functions or nonsecurity information.

280 DISCUSSION 281 Privileged accounts are typically described as system administrator accounts. Restricting 282 privileged accounts to specific personnel or roles prevents nonprivileged users from accessing 283 security functions or security-relevant information. Requiring the use of non-privileged accounts 284 when accessing nonsecurity functions or nonsecurity information limits exposure when operating 285 from within privileged accounts. Including roles addresses situations in which organizations 286 implement access control policies, such as role-based access control, and where a change of role 287 provides the same degree of assurance in the change of access authorizations for the user and the 288 processes acting on behalf of the user as would be provided by a change between a privileged and 289 non-privileged account. 290 **REFERENCES** 291 Source Controls: <u>AC-06(02)</u>, AC-06(05) 292 Supporting Publications: None 293 3.1.7. Least Privilege – Privileged Functions 294 REQUIREMENT: 03.01.07 295 a. Prevent non-privileged users from executing privileged functions. 296 b. Log the execution of privileged functions. 297 DISCUSSION 298 Privileged functions include establishing system accounts, performing system integrity checks, 299 conducting patching operations, or administering cryptographic key management activities. Non-300 privileged users do not possess the appropriate authorizations to execute privileged functions. 301 Circumventing intrusion detection and prevention mechanisms or malicious code protection 302 mechanisms are examples of privileged functions that require protection from non-privileged 303 users. This requirement represents a condition to be achieved by the definition of authorized 304 privileges in 03.01.01 and the enforcement of those privileges in 03.01.02. 305 The misuse of privileged functions – whether intentionally or unintentionally by authorized users 306 or by unauthorized external entities that have compromised system accounts - is a serious and 307 ongoing concern that can have significant adverse impacts on organizations. Logging the use of 308 privileged functions is one way to detect such misuse and mitigate the risks from insider threats 309 and advanced persistent threats. 310 **REFERENCES** 311 Source Controls: AC-06(09), AC-06(10) 312 Supporting Publications: None 313 3.1.8. Unsuccessful Logon Attempts 314 **REQUIREMENT: 03.01.08** 315 Limit the number of consecutive invalid logon attempts to [Assignment: organization-defined 316 number] in [Assignment: organization-defined time period].

317 DISCUSSION 318 Due to the potential for denial of service, automatic system lockouts are, in most cases, temporary 319 and automatically release after a predetermined period established by the organization (i.e., using 320 a delay algorithm). Organizations may employ different delay algorithms for different system 321 components based on the capabilities of the respective components. Responses to unsuccessful 322 system logon attempts may be implemented at the system and application levels. 323 **REFERENCES** 324 Source Control: AC-07 325 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27], SP 800-124 [28] 326 3.1.9. System Use Notification 327 **REQUIREMENT: 03.01.09** 328 Display a system use notification message with privacy and security notices consistent with 329 applicable CUI rules before granting access to the system. 330 DISCUSSION 331 System use notifications can be implemented using warning or banner messages. The messages 332 are displayed before individuals log in to the system. System use notifications are used for access 333 via logon interfaces with human users and are not required when human interfaces do not exist. Organizations consider whether a secondary use notification is needed to access applications or 334 335 other system resources after the initial network logon. Posters or other printed materials may be 336 used in lieu of an automated system message. This requirement is related to 03.15.03. 337 **REFERENCES** 338 Source Control: AC-08 339 Supporting Publications: None 340 3.1.10. Device Lock 341 **REQUIREMENT: 03.01.10** 342 a. Prevent access to the system by [Selection (one or more): initiating a device lock after 343 [Assignment: organization-defined time period] of inactivity; requiring the user to initiate a 344 device lock before leaving the system unattended]. 345 b. Retain the device lock until the user reestablishes access using established identification 346 and authentication procedures. 347 c. Conceal, via the device lock, information previously visible on the display with a publicly 348 viewable image. 349 **DISCUSSION** 350 Device locks are temporary actions taken to prevent access to the system when users depart 351 from the immediate vicinity of the system but do not want to log out because of the temporary 352 nature of their absences. Device locks can be implemented at the operating system level or 353 application level. User-initiated device locking is behavior- or policy-based and requires users 354 to take physical action to initiate the device lock. Device locks are not an acceptable substitute 355 for logging out of the system, such as when organizations require users to log out at the end of

356

357

358

359

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

379

380

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

workdays. Pattern-hiding displays can include static or dynamic images, such as patterns used with screen savers, photographic images, solid colors, a clock, a battery life indicator, or a blank screen with the caveat that controlled unclassified information is not displayed.

#### **REFERENCES**

360 Source Controls: AC-11, AC-11(01) 361 Supporting Publications: None

#### 3.1.11. Session Termination

**REQUIREMENT: 03.01.11** 

Terminate a user session automatically after [Assignment: organization-defined conditions or trigger events requiring session disconnect].

#### DISCUSSION

This requirement addresses the termination of user-initiated logical sessions in contrast to the termination of network connections that are associated with communications sessions (i.e., disconnecting from the network) in 03.13.09. A logical session is initiated whenever a user (or processes acting on behalf of a user) accesses a system. Logical sessions can be terminated (and thus terminate user access) without terminating network sessions. Session termination ends all system processes associated with a user's logical session except those processes that are created by the user (i.e., session owner) to continue after the session is terminated. Conditions or trigger events that require automatic session termination can include organization-defined periods of user inactivity, time-of-day restrictions on system use, and targeted responses to certain types of incidents.

#### **REFERENCES**

378 Source Control: AC-12

Supporting Publications: None

#### 3.1.12. Remote Access

#### 381 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.12

- a. Establish usage restrictions, configuration requirements, and connection requirements for each type of allowable remote system access.
- b. Authorize each type of remote system access prior to establishing such connections.
- c. Route remote access to the system through authorized and managed access control points.
- d. Authorize remote execution of privileged commands and remote access to security-relevant information.

#### DISCUSSION

Remote access to the system represents a significant potential vulnerability that can be exploited by adversaries. Monitoring and controlling remote access methods allows organizations to detect attacks and ensure compliance with remote access policies. This occurs by auditing the connection activities of remote users on the systems. Routing remote access through managed access control points enhances explicit control over such connections and reduces susceptibility to unauthorized access to the system, which could result in the unauthorized disclosure of CUI.

Restricting the execution of privileged commands and access to security-relevant information via remote access reduces the exposure of the organization and its susceptibility to threats by adversaries. A privileged command is a human-initiated command executed on a system that involves the control, monitoring, or administration of the system, including security functions and security-relevant information. Security-relevant information is information that can potentially impact the operation of security functions or the provision of security services in a manner that could result in failure to enforce the system security policy or maintain isolation of code and data. Privileged commands give individuals the ability to execute sensitive, security-critical, or security-relevant system functions. Controlling access from remote locations helps to ensure that unauthorized individuals are unable to execute such commands with the potential to do serious or catastrophic damage to the system.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 407 Source Controls: <u>AC-17</u>, <u>AC-17(03)</u>, <u>AC-17(04)</u>
- 408 Supporting Publications: SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-113 [19], SP 800-114 [20],
- 409 SP 800-121 [21], IR 7966 [26]

# **3.1.13.** Withdrawn

411 Incorporated into 03.01.12.

#### **3.1.14.** Withdrawn

413 Incorporated into <u>03.01.12</u>.

#### **3.1.15.** Withdrawn

415 Incorporated into 03.01.12.

#### 3.1.16. Wireless Access

#### **REQUIREMENT:** 03.01.16

- Establish usage restrictions, configuration requirements, and connection requirements for each type of wireless access to the system.
- b. Authorize each type of wireless access to the system prior to establishing such connections.
- c. Disable, when not intended for use, wireless networking capabilities prior to issuance and deployment.

#### DISCUSSION

Establishing usage restrictions, configuration requirements, and connection requirements for wireless access to the system provides criteria to support access authorization decisions. These restrictions and requirements reduce susceptibility to unauthorized system access through wireless technologies. Wireless networks use authentication protocols that provide credential protection and mutual authentication. Organizations authenticate individuals and devices to protect wireless access to the system. Special attention is given to the variety of devices with potential wireless access to the system, including small form factor mobile devices (e.g., smart phones, smart watches). Wireless networking capabilities that are embedded within system

433 components represent a significant potential vulnerability that can be exploited by adversaries. 434 Disabling wireless capabilities when not needed for essential missions or business functions can 435 help reduce susceptibility to threats by adversaries involving wireless technologies.

#### **REFERENCES**

437 Source Controls: AC-18, AC-18(03)

Supporting Publications: SP 800-94 [29], SP 800-97 [30], SP 800-124 [28]

#### **3.1.17.** Withdrawn

436

438

439

441

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

440 Incorporated into 03.01.16.

#### 3.1.18. Access Control for Mobile Devices

442 **REQUIREMENT: 03.01.18** 

- a. Establish usage restrictions, configuration requirements, and connection requirements for mobile devices.
- b. Authorize the connection of mobile devices to the system.
- c. Implement full-device or container-based encryption to protect the confidentiality of CUI on mobile devices.

#### **DISCUSSION**

A mobile device is a computing device that has a small form factor such that it can easily be carried by a single individual; is designed to operate without a physical connection; possesses local, non-removable, or removable data storage; and includes a self-contained power source. Mobile device functionality may also include voice communication capabilities, on-board sensors that allow the device to capture information, and/or built-in features for synchronizing local data with remote locations. Examples include smart phones, smart watches, and tablets. Mobile devices are typically associated with a single individual. The processing, storage, and transmission capability of mobile devices may be comparable to or a subset of notebook or desktop systems, depending on the nature and intended purpose of the device. The protection and control of mobile devices is behavior- or policy-based and requires users to take physical action to protect and control such devices when outside of controlled areas. Controlled areas are spaces for which the organization provides physical or procedural controls to meet the requirements established for protecting CUI.

Due to the large variety of mobile devices with different characteristics and capabilities, organizational restrictions may vary for the different classes or types of such devices. Usage restrictions, configuration requirements, and connection requirements for mobile devices include configuration management, device identification and authentication, implementing mandatory protective software, scanning devices for malicious code, updating virus protection software, scanning for critical software updates and patches, conducting primary operating system (and possibly other resident software) integrity checks, and disabling unnecessary hardware. Organizations can employ full-device encryption or container-based encryption to protect the confidentiality of CUI on mobile devices. Container-based encryption provides a fine-grained approach to the encryption of data and information, including encrypting selected data structures (e.g., files, records, or fields).

November 2023

475

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

510

#### 473 **REFERENCES**

474 Source Controls: AC-19, AC-19(05)

Supporting Publications: SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-114 [31], SP 800-124 [28]

#### 476 **3.1.19.** Withdrawn

Incorporated into 03.01.18.

# 3.1.20. Use of External Systems

#### **REQUIREMENT: 03.01.20**

- a. Prohibit the use of external systems unless the systems are specifically authorized.
- b. Establish the following terms, conditions, and security requirements to be satisfied on external systems prior to allowing use of or access to those systems by authorized individuals: [Assignment: organization-defined terms, conditions, and requirements].
- c. Permit authorized individuals to use an external system to access the organizational system or to process, store, or transmit CUI only after:
  - 1. Verification of the implementation of security requirements on the external system as specified in the organization's security plans; and
  - 2. Retention of approved system connection or processing agreements with the organizational entity hosting the external system.
- d. Restrict the use of organization-controlled portable storage devices by authorized individuals on external systems.

#### **DISCUSSION**

External systems are systems that are used by but are not part of the organization. External systems include personally owned systems, system components, or devices; privately owned computing and communication devices in commercial or public facilities; systems owned or controlled by nonfederal organizations; and systems managed by contractors. Organizations have the option to prohibit the use of any type of external system or specified types of external systems, (e.g., prohibit the use of external systems that are not organizationally owned). Terms and conditions are consistent with the trust relationships established with the entities that own, operate, or maintain external systems and include descriptions of shared responsibilities.

Authorized individuals include organizational personnel, contractors, or other individuals with authorized access to the organizational system and over whom organizations have the authority to impose specific rules of behavior regarding system access. Restrictions that organizations impose on authorized individuals need not be uniform, as the restrictions may vary depending on the trust relationships between organizations. Organizations need assurance that the external systems satisfy the necessary security requirements so as not to compromise, damage, or harm the system. This requirement is related to <u>03.16.03</u>.

#### **REFERENCES**

509 Source Controls: <u>AC-20</u>, <u>AC-20(01)</u>, <u>AC-20(02)</u>

Supporting Publications: None

545

546

547

511 **3.1.21**. Withdrawn 512 Incorporated into 03.01.20. 3.1.22. Publicly Accessible Content 513 514 REQUIREMENT: 03.01.22 515 a. Train authorized individuals to ensure that publicly accessible information does not contain 516 517 b. Review the content on publicly accessible systems for CUI periodically and remove such 518 information, if discovered. 519 **DISCUSSION** 520 In accordance with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, 521 standards, and guidelines, the public is not authorized to have access to nonpublic information, 522 including CUI. 523 REFERENCES 524 Source Control: AC-22 525 Supporting Publications: None 526 3.2. Awareness and Training 527 3.2.1. Literacy Training and Awareness 528 REQUIREMENT: 03.02.01 529 a. Provide security literacy training to system users: 530 1. As part of initial training for new users and periodically thereafter; 531 2. When required by system changes or following [Assignment: organization-defined 532 events]; and 533 3. On recognizing and reporting indicators of insider threat, social engineering, and social 534 535 b. Update security literacy training content periodically and following [Assignment: organization-536 defined events]. 537 DISCUSSION 538 Organizations provide basic and advanced levels of security literacy training to system users 539 (including managers, senior executives, system administrators, and contractors) and measures to 540 test the knowledge level of users. Organizations determine the content of literacy training based 541 on specific organizational requirements, the systems to which personnel have authorized access, 542 and work environments (e.g., telework). The content includes an understanding of the need for 543 security and the actions required of users to maintain security and to respond to incidents. The 544 content also addresses the need for operations security and the handling of CUI.

Security awareness techniques include displaying posters, offering supplies inscribed with

from organizational officials, and conducting awareness events using podcasts, videos, and

security reminders, displaying logon screen messages, generating email advisories or notices

webinars. Security literacy training is conducted at a frequency consistent with applicable laws, directives, regulations, and policies. Updating literacy training content on a regular basis ensures that the content remains relevant. Events that may precipitate an update to literacy training content include assessment or audit findings, security incidents or breaches, or changes in applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines.

Potential indicators and possible precursors of insider threats include behaviors such as inordinate, long-term job dissatisfaction; attempts to gain access to information that is not required for job performance; unexplained access to financial resources; bullying or sexual harassment of fellow employees; workplace violence; and other serious violations of the policies, procedures, rules, directives, or practices of organizations. Organizations may consider tailoring insider threat awareness topics to the role (e.g., training for managers may be focused on specific changes in the behavior of team members, while training for employees may be focused on more general observations).

Social engineering is an attempt to deceive an individual into revealing information or taking an action that can be used to breach, compromise, or otherwise adversely impact a system. Social engineering includes phishing, pretexting, impersonation, baiting, quid pro quo, threadjacking, social media exploitation, and tailgating. Social mining is an attempt to gather information about the organization that may be used to support future attacks. Security literacy training includes how to communicate employee and management concerns regarding potential indicators of insider threat and potential and actual instances of social engineering and data mining through appropriate organizational channels in accordance with established policies and procedures.

#### REFERENCES

Source Controls: <u>AT-02</u>, <u>AT-02(02)</u>, <u>AT-02(03)</u>

Supporting Publications: SP 800-50 [32], SP 800-160-2 [10]

# 3.2.2. Role-Based Training

#### REQUIREMENT: 03.02.02

- a. Provide role-based security training to organizational personnel:
  - 1. Before authorizing access to the system or CUI, before performing assigned duties, and periodically thereafter; and
  - 2. When required by system changes or following [Assignment: organization-defined events].
- b. Update role-based training content periodically and following [Assignment: organization-defined events].

#### DISCUSSION

Organizations determine the content and frequency of security training based on the assigned duties, roles, and responsibilities of individuals and the security requirements of the systems to which personnel have authorized access. In addition, organizations provide system developers, enterprise architects, security architects, software developers, systems integrators, acquisition/procurement officials, system and network administrators, personnel conducting configuration management and auditing activities, personnel performing independent verification and validation, security assessors, and personnel with access to system-level software with security-related technical training specifically tailored for their assigned duties.

Comprehensive role-based training addresses management, operational, and technical roles and responsibilities that cover physical, personnel, and technical controls. Such training can include policies, procedures, tools, and artifacts for the security roles defined. Organizations also provide the training necessary for individuals to carry out their responsibilities related to operations and supply chain security within the context of organizational information security programs.

#### REFERENCES

596 Source Control: AT-03

Supporting Publications: SP 800-161 [33], SP 800-181 [34]

#### 598 **3.2.3.** Withdrawn

595

597

600

601

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

Incorporated into <u>03.02.01</u>.

# 3.3. Audit and Accountability

# 3.3.1. Event Logging

602 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.03.01

- a. Specify the following event types selected for logging within the system: [Assignment: organization-defined event types].
- b. Review and update the event types selected for logging periodically.

#### DISCUSSION

An event is any observable occurrence in a system, including unlawful or unauthorized system activity. Organizations identify event types for which a logging functionality is needed. This includes events that are relevant to the security of systems and the environments in which those systems operate to meet specific and ongoing auditing needs. Event types can include password changes, the execution of privileged functions, failed logons or accesses related to systems, administrative privilege usage, or third-party credential usage. In determining event types that require logging, organizations consider the system monitoring and auditing that are appropriate for each of the security requirements. When defining event types, organizations consider the logging necessary to cover related events, such as the steps in distributed, transaction-based processes (e.g., processes that are distributed across multiple organizations) and actions that occur in service-oriented or cloud-based architectures. Monitoring and auditing requirements can be balanced with other system needs. For example, organizations may determine that systems must have the capability to log every file access, both successful and unsuccessful, but not activate that capability except for specific circumstances due to the potential burden on system performance. The event types that are logged by organizations may change over time. Periodically reviewing and updating the set of logged event types is necessary to ensure that the current set remains necessary and sufficient.

## REFERENCES

Source Control: AU-02

Supporting Publications: SP 800-92 [35]

627	3.3.2.	Audit Record Content
628		REQUIREMENT: 03.03.02
629		a. Include the following content in audit records:
630		What type of event occurred;
631		2. When the event occurred;
632		3. Where the event occurred;
633		4. Source of the event;
634		5. Outcome of the event; and
635		6. Identity of individuals, subjects, objects, or entities associated with the event.
636		b. Provide additional information for audit records, as needed.
637		DISCUSSION
638 639 640 641 642 643		Audit record content that may be necessary to support the auditing function includes time stamps, source and destination addresses, user or process identifiers, event descriptions, file names, and the access control or flow control rules that are invoked. Event outcomes can include indicators of event success or failure and event-specific results (e.g., the security state of the system after the event occurred). Detailed information that organizations may consider in audit records includes a full text recording of privileged commands or the individual identities of group account users.
644		REFERENCES
645 646		Source Controls: <u>AU-03</u> , <u>AU-03(01)</u> Supporting Publications: None
647	3.3.3.	Audit Record Generation
648		REQUIREMENT: 03.03.03
649 650		<ul> <li>Generate audit records for the selected event types and audit record content specified in 03.03.01 and 03.03.02.</li> </ul>
651		b. Retain audit records for a time period consistent with records retention policy.
652		DISCUSSION
653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660		Audit records can be generated at various levels of abstraction, including at the packet level as information traverses the network. Selecting the appropriate level of abstraction is a critical aspect of an audit logging capability and can facilitate the identification of root causes to problems. The ability to add information generated in audit records is dependent on system functionality to configure the audit record content. Organizations may consider additional information in audit records, including the access control or flow control rules invoked and the individual identities of group account users. Organizations may also consider limiting additional audit record information to only information that is explicitly needed for audit requirements.
661		REFERENCES

# **REFERENCES**

662

Source Controls: <u>AU-11</u>, <u>AU-12</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-92 [35] 663

# 3.3.4. Response to Audit Logging Process Failures

**REQUIREMENT**: 03.03.04

- a. Alert organizational personnel or roles within [Assignment: organization-defined time period] in the event of an audit logging process failure.
  - b. Take the following additional actions: [Assignment: organization-defined additional actions].

#### DISCUSSION

Audit logging process failures include software and hardware errors, failures in audit log capturing mechanisms, and reaching or exceeding audit log storage capacity. Response actions include overwriting the oldest audit records, shutting down the system, and stopping the generation of audit records. Organizations may choose to define additional actions for audit logging process failures based on the type of failure, the location of the failure, the severity of the failure, or a combination of such factors. When the audit logging process failure is related to storage, the response is carried out for the audit log storage repository (i.e., the distinct system component where the audit logs are stored), the system on which the audit logs reside, the total audit log storage capacity of the organization (i.e., all audit log storage repositories combined), or all three. Organizations may decide to take no additional actions after alerting designated roles or personnel.

#### REFERENCES

- Source Control: AU-05
- Supporting Publications: None

# 3.3.5. Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting

**REQUIREMENT:** 03.03.05

- a. Review and analyze system audit records periodically for indications and potential impact of inappropriate or unusual activity.
- b. Report findings to organizational personnel or roles.
- Analyze and correlate audit records across different repositories to gain organization-wide situational awareness.

#### DISCUSSION

Audit record review, analysis, and reporting cover information security logging performed by organizations and can include logging that results from the monitoring of account usage, remote access, wireless connectivity, configuration settings, the use of maintenance tools and nonlocal maintenance, system component inventory, mobile device connection, equipment delivery and removal, physical access, temperature and humidity, communications at system interfaces, and the use of mobile code. Findings can be reported to organizational entities, such as the incident response team, help desk, and security or privacy offices. If organizations are prohibited from reviewing and analyzing audit records or unable to conduct such activities, the review or analysis may be carried out by other organizations granted such authority. The scope, frequency, and/or depth of the audit record review, analysis, and reporting may be adjusted to meet organizational needs based on new information received. Correlating audit record review, analysis, and reporting processes helps to ensure that they collectively create a more complete view of events. The requirement to assess a given system is agnostic as to whether this correlation is applied at the system level or at the organization level across all systems.

706 REFERENCES

708

709

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

729

732

733

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

707 Source Controls: <u>AU-06</u>, <u>AU-06(03)</u>

Supporting Publications: SP 800-86 [36], SP 800-101 [37]

# 3.3.6. Audit Record Reduction and Report Generation

- 710 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.03.06
- 711 a. Implement an audit record reduction and report generation capability that supports audit record review, analysis, reporting requirements, and after-the-fact investigations of incidents.
- b. Preserve the original content and time ordering of audit records.

# 714 **DISCUSSION**

Audit records are generated in <u>03.03.03</u>. Audit record reduction and report generation occur after audit record generation. Audit record reduction is a process that manipulates collected audit information and organizes it in a summary format that is more meaningful to analysts. Audit record reduction and report generation capabilities do not always come from the same system or organizational entities that conduct auditing activities. An audit record reduction capability can include, for example, modern data mining techniques with advanced data filters to identify anomalous behavior in audit records. The report generation capability provided by the system can help generate customizable reports. The time ordering of audit records can be a significant issue if the granularity of the time stamp in the record is insufficient.

#### 724 REFERENCES

- 725 Source Control: AU-07
- 726 Supporting Publications: None

# 727 **3.3.7. Time Stamps**

- 728 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.03.07
  - a. Use internal system clocks to generate time stamps for audit records.
- 730 b. Record time stamps for audit records that meet [Assignment: organization-defined granularity of time measurement] and that:
  - 1. Use Coordinated Universal Time (UTC);
  - Have a fixed local time offset from UTC; or
- 734 3. Include the local time offset as part of the time stamp.

#### 735 **DISCUSSION**

Time stamps generated by the system include the date and time. Time is commonly expressed in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) – a modern continuation of Greenwich Mean Time (GMT) – or local time with an offset from UTC. The granularity of time measurements refers to the degree of synchronization between system clocks and reference clocks (e.g., clocks synchronizing within hundreds or tens of milliseconds). Organizations may define different time granularities for system components. Time service can be critical to other security capabilities, such as access control, and identification and authentication, depending on the nature of the mechanisms used to support those capabilities.

744		REFERENCES
745 746		Source Control: <u>AU-08</u> Supporting Publications: None
747	3.3.8.	Protection of Audit Information
748		REQUIREMENT: 03.03.08
749 750		a. Protect audit information and audit logging tools from unauthorized access, modification, and deletion.
751 752		b. Authorize access to management of audit logging functionality to only a subset of privileged users or roles.
753		DISCUSSION
754 755 756 757 758 759		Audit information includes the information needed to successfully audit system activity, such as audit records, audit log settings, audit reports, and personally identifiable information. Audit logging tools are programs and devices used to conduct audit and logging activities. The protection of audit information focuses on technical protection and limits the ability to access and execute audit logging tools to authorized individuals. The physical protection of audit information is addressed by media and physical protection requirements.
760 761 762 763		Individuals or roles with privileged access to a system and who are also the subject of an audit by that system may affect the reliability of the audit information by inhibiting audit activities or modifying audit records. Requiring privileged access to be further defined between audit-related privileges and other privileges limits the number of users or roles with audit-related privileges.
764		REFERENCES
765 766		Source Controls: <u>AU-09</u> , <u>AU-09(04)</u> Supporting Publications: None
767	3.3.9.	Withdrawn
768		Incorporated into <u>03.03.08</u> .
769	3.4.	Configuration Management
770	3.4.1.	Baseline Configuration
771		REQUIREMENT: 03.04.01
772 773		<ul> <li>Develop and maintain under configuration control, a current baseline configuration of the system.</li> </ul>
774 775		b. Review and update the baseline configuration of the system periodically and when system components are installed or modified.
776		DISCUSSION
777 778 779		Baseline configurations for the system and system components include aspects of connectivity, operation, and communications. Baseline configurations are documented, formally reviewed, and agreed-upon specifications for the system or configuration items within the system. Baseline

configurations serve as a basis for future builds, releases, or changes to the system and include information about system components, operational procedures, network topology, and the placement of components in the system architecture. Maintaining baseline configurations requires creating new baselines as the system changes over time. Baseline configurations of the system reflect the current enterprise architecture.

#### REFERENCES

786 Source Control: CM-02

Supporting Publications: SP 800-124 [28], SP 800-128 [41], IR 8011-2 [42], IR 8011-3 [43]

# 3.4.2. Configuration Settings

### REQUIREMENT: 03.04.02

- a. Establish, document, and implement the following configuration settings for the system that reflect the most restrictive mode consistent with operational requirements: [Assignment: organization-defined configuration settings].
- b. Identify, document, and approve any deviations from established configuration settings.

### DISCUSSION

Configuration settings are the set of parameters that can be changed in hardware, software, or firmware components of the system and that affect the security posture or functionality of the system. Security-related configuration settings can be defined for computing systems (e.g., servers, workstations), input and output devices (e.g., scanners, copiers, printers), network components (e.g., firewalls, routers, gateways, voice and data switches, wireless access points, network appliances, sensors), operating systems, middleware, and applications.

Security parameters are those parameters that impact the security state of the system, including the parameters required to satisfy other security requirements. Security parameters include registry settings; account, file, and directory permission settings (i.e., privileges); and settings for functions, ports, protocols, and remote connections. Organizations establish organization-wide configuration settings and subsequently derive specific configuration settings for the system. The established settings become part of the system's configuration baseline.

Common secure configurations (also referred to as security configuration checklists, lockdown and hardening guides, security reference guides, and security technical implementation guides) provide recognized, standardized, and established benchmarks that stipulate secure configuration settings for specific information technology platforms/products and instructions for configuring those system components to meet operational requirements. Common secure configurations can be developed by a variety of organizations, including information technology product developers, manufacturers, vendors, consortia, academia, industry, federal agencies, and other organizations in the public and private sectors.

### REFERENCES

816 Source Control: CM-06

817 Supporting Publications: SP 800-70 [44], SP 800-126 [45], SP 800-128 [41]

## 3.4.3. Configuration Change Control

**REQUIREMENT**: 03.04.03

820 a. Define the types of changes to the system that are configuration-controlled. 821 b. Review proposed configuration-controlled changes to the system and approve or disapprove 822 such changes with explicit consideration for security impacts. 823 Implement and document approved configuration-controlled changes to the system. 824 d. Monitor and review activities associated with configuration-controlled changes to the system. 825 **DISCUSSION** 826 Configuration change control refers to tracking, reviewing, approving or disapproving, and 827 logging changes to the system. Specifically, it involves the systematic proposal, justification, 828 implementation, testing, review, and disposition of changes to the system, including system 829 upgrades and modifications. Configuration change control includes changes to baseline 830 configurations for system components (e.g., operating systems, applications, firewalls, routers, 831 mobile devices) and configuration items of the system, changes to configuration settings, 832 unscheduled and unauthorized changes, and changes to remediate vulnerabilities. 833 **REFERENCES** 834 Source Control: CM-03 835 Supporting Publications: SP 800-124 [28], SP 800-128 [41] 836 3.4.4. Impact Analyses 837 REQUIREMENT: 03.04.04 838 Analyze the security impact of changes to the system prior to implementation. 839 DISCUSSION 840 Organizational personnel with security responsibilities conduct impact analyses that include 841 reviewing security plans, policies, and procedures to understand security requirements; reviewing 842 system design documentation and operational procedures to understand how system changes 843 might affect the security state of the system; reviewing the impacts of changes on supply chain 844 partners with stakeholders; and determining how potential changes to a system create new risks 845 and the ability to mitigate those risks. Impact analyses also include risk assessments to understand 846 the impacts of changes and to determine whether additional security requirements are needed. 847 REFERENCES 848 Source Control: CM-04 849 Supporting Publications: SP 800-128 [41] 850 3.4.5. Access Restrictions for Change 851 **REQUIREMENT: 03.04.05** 852 Define, document, approve, and enforce physical and logical access restrictions associated with 853 changes to the system. 854 DISCUSSION 855 Changes to the hardware, software, or firmware components of the system or the operational 856 procedures related to the system can have potentially significant effects on the security of the 857 system. Therefore, organizations permit only qualified and authorized individuals to access the

858 system for the purpose of initiating changes. Access restrictions include physical and logical 859 access controls, software libraries, workflow automation, media libraries, abstract layers (i.e., 860 changes implemented into external interfaces rather than directly into the system), and change 861 windows (i.e., changes occur only during specified times). 862 REFERENCES 863 Source Control: CM-05 864 Supporting Publications: FIPS 140-3 [38], FIPS 180-4 [39], SP 800-128 [41] 3.4.6. Least Functionality 865 866 **REQUIREMENT: 03.04.06** 867 a. Configure the system to provide only mission-essential capabilities. 868 b. Prohibit or restrict use of the following functions, ports, protocols, connections, and services: 869 [Assignment: organization-defined functions, ports, protocols, connections, and services]. 870 c. Review the system periodically to identify unnecessary or nonsecure functions, ports, 871 protocols, connections, and services. 872 d. Disable or remove functions, ports, protocols, connections, and services that are 873 unnecessary or nonsecure. 874 DISCUSSION 875 Systems can provide a variety of functions and services. Some functions and services that are 876 routinely provided by default may not be necessary to support essential organizational missions, 877 functions, or operations. It may be convenient to provide multiple services from single system 878 components. However, doing so increases risk over limiting the services provided by any one 879 component. Where feasible, organizations limit functionality to a single function per component. 880 Organizations review the functions and services provided by the system or system components to 881 determine which functions and services are candidates for elimination. Organizations disable 882 unused or unnecessary physical and logical ports and protocols to prevent the unauthorized 883 connection of devices, transfer of information, and tunneling. Organizations can employ network 884 scanning tools, intrusion detection and prevention systems, and endpoint protection systems (e.g., 885 firewalls and host-based intrusion detection systems) to identify and prevent the use of prohibited 886 functions, ports, protocols, system connections, and services. Bluetooth, File Transfer Protocol, 887 and peer-to-peer networking are examples of the types of protocols that organizations consider 888 eliminating, restricting, or disabling. 889 REFERENCES 890 Source Controls: CM-07, CM-07(01) Supporting Publications: SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-167 [46] 891 3.4.7. Withdrawn 892

# 3.4.8. Authorized Software – Allow by Exception

**REQUIREMENT:** 03.04.08

Incorporated into 03.04.06.

893

894

- 896 a. Identify software programs authorized to execute on the system.
  - b. Implement a deny-all, allow-by-exception policy for the execution of software programs on the system.
    - c. Review and update the list of authorized software programs periodically.

### DISCUSSION

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

923

924

926

927

931

932

933

934

935

If provided with the necessary privileges, users can install software in organizational systems. To maintain control over the software installed, organizations identify permitted and prohibited actions regarding software installation. Permitted software installations include updates and security patches to existing software and downloading new applications from organizationapproved "app stores." Prohibited software installations include software with unknown or suspect pedigrees or software that organizations consider potentially malicious. The policies selected for governing user-installed software are organization-developed or provided by some external entity. Policy enforcement methods can include procedural methods and automated methods.

Authorized software programs can be limited to specific versions or from a specific source. To facilitate a comprehensive authorized software process and increase the strength of protection against attacks that bypass application-level authorized software, software programs may be decomposed into and monitored at different levels of detail. These levels include applications, application programming interfaces, application modules, scripts, system processes, system services, kernel functions, registries, drivers, and dynamic link libraries. Organizations consider verifying the integrity of authorized software programs using digital signatures, cryptographic checksums, or hash functions. The verification of authorized software can occur either prior to execution or at system startup.

### REFERENCES

- 920 Source Control: CM-07(05)
- 921 Supporting Publications: SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-167 [46]

#### 922 3.4.9. Withdrawn

Addressed by 03.01.05, 03.01.06, 03.01.07, and 03.04.08.

# 3.4.10. System Component Inventory

- 925 **REQUIREMENT: 03.04.10** 
  - a. Develop and document an inventory of system components.
  - b. Review and update the system component inventory periodically.
- 928 c. Update the system component inventory as part of installations, removals, and system 929 updates.

#### 930 **DISCUSSION**

System components are discrete, identifiable assets (i.e., hardware, software, and firmware elements) that compose a system. Organizations may implement centralized system component inventories that include components from all systems. In such situations, organizations ensure that the inventories include system-specific information required for component accountability. The information necessary for effective accountability of system components includes the

972

973

974

936 system name, software owners, software version numbers, hardware inventory specifications, 937 software license information — and for networked components — the machine names and 938 network addresses for all implemented protocols (e.g., IPv4, IPv6). Inventory specifications 939 include component type, physical location, date of receipt, manufacturer, cost, model, serial 940 number, and supplier information. 941 **REFERENCES** 942 Source Controls: CM-08, CM-08(01) 943 Supporting Publications: SP 800-124 [28], SP 800-128 [41], IR 8011-2 [42], IR 8011-3 [43] 944 3.4.11. Information Location 945 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.04.11 946 a. Identify and document the location of CUI and the system components on which the 947 information is processed and stored. 948 b. Identify and document the users who have access to the system and system components 949 where CUI is processed and stored. 950 c. Document changes to the location (i.e., system or system components) where CUI is 951 processed and stored. 952 DISCUSSION 953 Information location addresses the need to understand the specific system components where 954 CUI is being processed and stored and the users who have access to CUI so that appropriate 955 protection mechanisms can be provided, including information flow controls, access controls, 956 and information management. 957 **REFERENCES** 958 Source Control: CM-12 959 Supporting Publications: None 960 3.4.12. System and Component Configuration for High-Risk Areas 961 **REQUIREMENT: 03.04.12** 962 a. Issue systems or system components with the following configurations to individuals 963 traveling to high-risk locations: [Assignment: organization-defined system configurations]. 964 b. Apply the following security requirements to the system or system components when the 965 individuals return from travel: [Assignment: organization-defined security requirements]. 966 DISCUSSION 967 When it is known that a system or a specific system component will be in a high-risk area, 968 additional security requirements may be needed to counter the increased threat. Organizations 969 can implement protective measures on systems or system components used by individuals 970 departing on and returning from travel. Actions include determining the locations that are of 971 concern, defining the required configurations for the components, ensuring that the components

are configured as intended before travel is initiated, and taking additional actions after travel is

completed. For example, systems going into high-risk areas can be configured with sanitized

hard drives, limited applications, and more stringent configuration settings. Actions applied to

November 2023

975

976

980

981

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

1001

1003

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

mobile devices upon return from travel include examining the device for signs of physical tampering and purging and reimaging the device storage.

#### 977 **REFERENCES**

- 978 Source Control: CM-02(07)
- 979 Supporting Publications: SP 800-124 [28], SP 800-128 [41]

### 3.5. Identification and Authentication

## 3.5.1. User Identification, Authentication, and Re-Authentication

- 982 REQUIREMENT: 03.05.01
- 983 a. Uniquely identify and authenticate system users and associate that unique identification with 984 processes acting on behalf of those users.
  - b. Re-authenticate users when [Assignment: organization-defined circumstances or situations requiring re-authentication].

#### DISCUSSION

System users include individuals (or system processes acting on behalf of individuals) who are authorized to access a system. Typically, individual identifiers are the usernames associated with the system accounts assigned to those individuals. Since system processes execute on behalf of groups and roles, organizations may require the unique identification of individuals in group accounts or accountability of individual activity. The unique identification and authentication of users applies to all system accesses. Organizations employ passwords, physical authenticators, biometrics, or some combination thereof to authenticate user identities. Organizations may reauthenticate individuals in certain situations, including when roles, authenticators, or credentials change; when the execution of privileged functions occurs; after a fixed time period; or periodically.

### **REFERENCES**

999 Source Controls: IA-02, IA-11

1000 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27]

## 3.5.2. Device Identification and Authentication

1002 **REQUIREMENT: 03.05.02** 

Uniquely identify and authenticate devices before establishing a system connection.

#### 1004 DISCUSSION

Devices that require unique device-to-device identification and authentication are defined by type, device, or a combination of type and device. Organization-defined device types include devices that are not owned by the organization. Systems use shared known information (e.g., Media Access Control [MAC], Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol [TCP/IP] addresses) for device identification or organizational authentication solutions (e.g., Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers [IEEE] 802.1x and Extensible Authentication Protocol [EAP], RADIUS server with EAP-Transport Layer Security [TLS] authentication, Kerberos) to November 2023

1012 identify and authenticate devices on local and wide area networks. PKI and certificate revocation 1013 checking for the certificates exchanged can also be included as part of device authentication. 1014 **REFERENCES** 1015 Source Control: IA-03 1016 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27] 3.5.3. Multi-Factor Authentication 1017 1018 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.05.03 1019 Implement multi-factor authentication for access to system accounts. 1020 DISCUSSION 1021 Multi-factor authentication requires the use of two or more different factors to achieve 1022 authentication. The authentication factors are defined as follows: something you know (e.g., a 1023 personal identification number [PIN]), something you have (e.g., a physical authenticator, such as a cryptographic private key), or something you are (e.g., a biometric). Multi-factor authentication 1024 1025 solutions that feature physical authenticators include hardware authenticators that provide time-1026 based or challenge-response outputs and smart cards. In addition to authenticating users at the 1027 system level, organizations may also employ authentication mechanisms at the application level 1028 to provide increased information security. 1029 **REFERENCES** 1030 Source Controls: IA-02(01), IA-02(02) 1031 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27] 1032 3.5.4. Replay-Resistant Authentication 1033 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.05.04 1034 Implement replay-resistant authentication mechanisms for access to system accounts. 1035 DISCUSSION 1036 Authentication processes resist replay attacks if it is impractical to successfully authenticate by 1037 recording or replaying previous authentication messages. Replay-resistant techniques include 1038 protocols that use nonces or challenges, such as time synchronous or challenge-response one-time 1039 authenticators. 1040 REFERENCES 1041 Source Control: IA-02(08) 1042 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27] 1043

### 3.5.5. Identifier Management

1044 **REQUIREMENT: 03.05.05** 

1045

1046

a. Receive authorization from organizational personnel or roles to assign an individual, group, role, service, or device identifier.

- b. Select and assign an identifier that identifies an individual, group, role, service, or device.
- 1048 c. Prevent reuse of identifiers for [Assignment: organization-defined time period].
  - d. Uniquely identify the status of each individual with an identifying characteristic.

### **DISCUSSION**

Identifiers are provided for users, processes acting on behalf of users, and devices. Prohibiting the reuse of identifiers prevents the assignment of previously used individual, group, role, service, or device identifiers to different individuals, groups, roles, services, or devices. Characteristics that identify the status of individuals include contractors, foreign nationals, and non-organizational users. Identifying the status of individuals by these characteristics provides useful information about the people with whom organizational personnel are communicating. For example, is useful for an employee to know that one of the individuals on an email message is a contractor.

#### REFERENCES

- 1059 Source Controls: IA-04, IA-04(04)
- Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27]
- **3.5.6.** Withdrawn

# 3.5.7. Password Management

**REQUIREMENT:** 03.05.07

- a. Maintain a list of commonly-used, expected, or compromised passwords and update the list periodically and when organizational passwords are suspected to have been compromised.
- b. Verify, when users create or update passwords, that the passwords are not found on the list of commonly-used, expected, or compromised passwords.
- c. Transmit passwords only over cryptographically-protected channels.
- d. Store passwords in a cryptographically-protected form.
- e. Select a new password upon first use after account recovery.
- f. Enforce the following composition and complexity rules for passwords: [Assignment: organization-defined composition and complexity rules].

### DISCUSSION

Password-based authentication applies to passwords used in single-factor or multi-factor authentication. Long passwords or passphrases are preferable to shorter passwords. Enforced composition rules provide marginal security benefits while decreasing usability. However, organizations may choose to establish certain rules for password generation (e.g., minimum character length) under certain circumstances and can enforce this requirement. For example, account recovery can occur when a password is forgotten. Cryptographically protected passwords include salted one-way cryptographic hashes of passwords. The list of commonly used, compromised, or expected passwords includes passwords obtained from previous breach corpuses, dictionary words, and repetitive or sequential characters. The list includes context-specific words, such as the name of the service, username, and derivatives thereof. Changing temporary passwords to permanent passwords immediately after system logon ensures that the necessary strength of the authentication mechanism is implemented at the earliest opportunity and

November 2023

1086 reduces the susceptibility to authenticator compromises. Long passwords and passphrases can be 1087 used to increase the complexity of passwords.

#### 1088 **REFERENCES**

1089 Source Control: IA-05(01)

1090 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27]

#### 3.5.8. Withdrawn 1091

#### 1092 3.5.9. Withdrawn

1093 Incorporated into 03.05.07.

#### 1094 3.5.10. Withdrawn

1096

1098

1111

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1095 Incorporated into 03.05.07.

### 3.5.11. Authentication Feedback

#### 1097 REQUIREMENT: 03.05.11

Obscure feedback of authentication information during the authentication process.

#### 1099 **DISCUSSION**

1100 The feedback from systems does not provide information that would allow unauthorized 1101 individuals to compromise authentication mechanisms. For example, for desktop or notebook 1102 computers with relatively large monitors, the threat may be significant (often referred to as 1103 shoulder surfing). For mobile devices with small displays, this threat may be less significant and 1104 is balanced against the increased likelihood of input errors due to small keyboards. Therefore, 1105 the means for obscuring the authenticator feedback is selected accordingly. Obscuring feedback 1106 includes displaying asterisks when users type passwords into input devices or displaying 1107 feedback for a limited time before fully obscuring it.

#### 1108 **REFERENCES**

1109 Source Control: IA-06

1110 Supporting Publications: None

### 3.5.12. Authenticator Management

1112 **REQUIREMENT: 03.05.12** 

> a. Verify the identity of the individual, group, role, service, or device receiving the authenticator as part of the initial authenticator distribution.

- b. Establish initial authenticator content for any authenticators issued by the organization.
- c. Establish and implement administrative procedures for initial authenticator distribution, for lost, compromised, or damaged authenticators, and for revoking authenticators.
  - d. Change default authenticators at first use.

- e. Change or refresh authenticators periodically or when the following events occur: [Assignment: organization-defined events].
  - f. Protect authenticator content from unauthorized disclosure and modification.

### DISCUSSION

Authenticators include passwords, cryptographic devices, biometrics, certificates, one-time password devices, and ID badges. The initial authenticator content is the actual content of the authenticator (e.g., the initial password). In contrast, requirements for authenticator content contain specific characteristics. Authenticator management is supported by organization-defined settings and restrictions for various authenticator characteristics (e.g., password complexity and composition rules, validation time window for time synchronous one-time tokens, and the number of allowed rejections during the verification stage of biometric authentication).

The requirement to protect individual authenticators may be implemented by 03.15.03 for authenticators in the possession of individuals and by 03.01.01, 03.01.02, 03.01.05, and 03.13.08 for authenticators stored in organizational systems. This includes passwords stored in hashed or encrypted formats or files that contain encrypted or hashed passwords accessible with administrator privileges. Actions can be taken to protect authenticators, including maintaining possession of authenticators, not sharing authenticators with others, and immediately reporting lost, stolen, or compromised authenticators. Developers may deliver system components with factory default authentication credentials to allow for initial installation and configuration. Default authentication credentials are often well-known, easily discoverable, and present a significant risk. Authenticator management includes issuing and revoking authenticators for temporary access when no longer needed. The use of long passwords or passphrases may obviate the need to periodically change authenticators.

#### REFERENCES

Source Control: <u>IA-05</u>

Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27]

## 3.6. Incident Response

# 3.6.1. Incident Response Plan and Handling

### **REQUIREMENT:** 03.06.01

- a. Develop an incident response plan that provides the organization with a roadmap for implementing its incident response capability.
- b. Implement an incident-handling capability for incidents that is consistent with the incident response plan and includes preparation, detection and analysis, containment, eradication, and recovery.
- c. Update the incident response plan to address system and organizational changes or problems encountered during plan implementation, execution, or testing.

## DISCUSSION

It is important that organizations develop and implement a coordinated approach to incident response. Organizational mission and business functions determine the structure of incident response capabilities. Incident-related information can be obtained from a variety of sources, including audit monitoring, network monitoring, physical access monitoring, user and

11(0

administrator reports, and reported supply chain events. An effective incident handling capability involves coordination among many organizational entities, including mission and business owners, system owners, human resources offices, physical and personnel security offices, legal departments, operations personnel, and procurement offices.

## 1164 REFERENCES

1166

1167

1169

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

Source Controls: IR-04, IR-08

Supporting Publications: SP 800-50 [32], SP 800-61 [47], SP 800-161 [33]

## 3.6.2. Incident Monitoring, Reporting, and Response Assistance

- 1168 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.06.02
  - a. Track and document system security incidents.
- b. Report suspected incidents to the organizational incident response capability within [Assignment: organization-defined time period].
  - c. Report incident information to [Assignment: organization-defined authorities].
  - d. Provide an incident response support resource that offers advice and assistance to users of the system for the handling and reporting of incidents.

#### DISCUSSION

Documenting incidents includes maintaining records about each incident, the status of the incident, and other pertinent information necessary for forensics as well as evaluating incident details, trends, and handling. Incident information can be obtained from many sources, including network monitoring, incident reports, incident response teams, user complaints, supply chain partners, audit monitoring, physical access monitoring, and user and administrator reports. 3.6.1 provides information on the types of incidents that are appropriate for monitoring. The types of incidents reported, the content and timeliness of the reports, and the reporting authorities reflect applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines. Incident information informs risk assessments, the effectiveness of security assessments, the security requirements for acquisitions, and the selection criteria for technology products. Incident response support resources provided by organizations include help desks, assistance groups, automated ticketing systems to open and track incident response tickets, and access to forensic services or consumer redress services, when required.

### 1189 REFERENCES

Source Controls: IR-05, IR-06, IR-07

1191 Supporting Publications: SP 800-61 [47], SP 800-86 [36]

## 1192 3.6.3. Incident Response Testing

1193 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.06.03

Test the effectiveness of the incident response capability periodically.

### 1195 **DISCUSSION**

Organizations test incident response capabilities to determine their effectiveness and identify potential weaknesses or deficiencies. Incident response testing includes the use of checklists, walk-through or tabletop exercises, and simulations. Incident response testing can include a

1199 1200 1201		determination of the effects of incident response on organizational operations, organizational assets, and individuals. Qualitative and quantitative data can help determine the effectiveness of incident response processes.
1202		REFERENCES
1203 1204		Source Control: <u>IR-03</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-84 [48]
1205	3.6.4.	Incident Response Training
1206		REQUIREMENT: 03.06.04
1207 1208		<ul> <li>a. Provide incident response training to system users consistent with assigned roles and responsibilities:</li> </ul>
1209 1210		<ol> <li>Within [Assignment: organization-defined time period] of assuming an incident response role or responsibility or acquiring system access;</li> </ol>
1211		2. When required by system changes; and
1212		3. Periodically thereafter.
1213 1214		b. Review and update incident response training content periodically and following [Assignment: organization-defined events].
1215		DISCUSSION
1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229		Incident response training is associated with the assigned roles and responsibilities of organizational personnel to ensure that the appropriate content and level of detail are included in such training. For example, users may only need to know whom to call or how to recognize an incident; system administrators may require additional training on how to handle incidents; and incident responders may receive specific training on forensics, data collection techniques, reporting, system recovery, and system restoration. Incident response training includes user training in identifying and reporting suspicious activities from external and internal sources. Incident response training for users may be provided as part of 03.02.02. Events that may precipitate an update to incident response training content include incident response plan testing, response to an actual incident, audit or assessment findings, or changes in applicable laws, Executive Orders, policies, directives, regulations, standards, and guidelines.  REFERENCES  Source Control: IR-02  Supporting Publications: SP 800-86 [36], SP 800-137 [49]
1230	3.7.	Maintenance
-200		
1231	3.7.1.	Withdrawn
1232		Recategorized as NCO.
1233	3.7.2.	Withdrawn
1234		Incorporated into <u>03.07.04</u> and <u>03.07.06</u> .

### 1235 **3.7.3.** Withdrawn

1237

1243

1244

1245

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260 1261

1262

1263

1264

1266

1236 Incorporated into <u>03.08.03</u>.

### 3.7.4. Maintenance Tools

- 1238 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.07.04
- a. Approve, control, and monitor the use of system maintenance tools.
- b. Inspect the maintenance tools for improper or unauthorized modifications.
- 1241 c. Check media containing diagnostic and test programs for malicious code before the media are used in the system.
  - d. Prevent the removal of system maintenance equipment containing CUI by:
  - Verifying that there is no CUI on the equipment;
    - 2. Sanitizing or destroying the equipment; or
- 1246 3. Retaining the equipment within the facility.

### 1247 **DISCUSSION**

Approving, controlling, monitoring, and reviewing maintenance tools address security-related issues associated with the tools that are used for diagnostic and repair actions on the system. Maintenance tools can include hardware and software diagnostic and test equipment as well as packet sniffers. The tools may be pre-installed, brought in with maintenance personnel on media, cloud-based, or downloaded from a website. Diagnostic and test programs are potential vehicles for transporting malicious code into the system, either intentionally or unintentionally. Examples of media inspection include checking the cryptographic hash or digital signatures of diagnostic and test programs and/or media. If organizations inspect media that contain diagnostic and test programs and determine that the media also contains malicious code, the incident is handled consistent with incident handling policies and procedures. A periodic review of maintenance tools can result in the withdrawal of approval for outdated, unsupported, irrelevant, or no-longer-used tools. Maintenance tools do not address the hardware and software components that support maintenance and are considered a part of the system (including software implementing utilities such as "ping," "ls," "ipconfig," or hardware and software that implement the monitoring port of an Ethernet switch).

#### REFERENCES

Source Controls: MA-03, MA-03(01), MA-03(02), MA-03(03)

Supporting Publications: SP 800-88 [50]

## 3.7.5. Nonlocal Maintenance

- 1267 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.07.05
- 1268 a. Approve and monitor nonlocal maintenance and diagnostic activities.
- b. Implement multi-factor authentication and replay resistance in the establishment of nonlocal maintenance and diagnostic sessions.
- 1271 c. Terminate session and network connections when nonlocal maintenance is completed.

1272 DISCUSSION 1273 Nonlocal maintenance and diagnostic activities are conducted by individuals who communicate 1274 through an external or internal network. Local maintenance and diagnostic activities are carried 1275 out by individuals who are physically present at the system location and not communicating 1276 across a network connection. Authentication techniques used to establish nonlocal maintenance 1277 and diagnostic sessions reflect the requirements in 03.05.01. 1278 **REFERENCES** 1279 Source Control: MA-04 1280 Supporting Publications: SP 800-63-3 [27], SP 800-88 [50] 3.7.6. Maintenance Personnel 1281 1282 **REQUIREMENT: 03.07.06** 1283 a. Establish a process for maintenance personnel authorization. 1284 b. Maintain a list of authorized maintenance organizations or personnel. 1285 c. Verify that non-escorted personnel who perform maintenance on the system possess the 1286 required access authorizations. 1287 d. Designate organizational personnel with required access authorizations and technical 1288 competence to supervise the maintenance activities of personnel who do not possess the 1289 required access authorizations. 1290 DISCUSSION 1291 Maintenance personnel refers to individuals who perform hardware or software maintenance on 1292 the system, while 03.10.01 addresses physical access for individuals whose maintenance duties 1293 place them within the physical protection perimeter of the system. The technical competence of 1294 supervising individuals relates to the maintenance performed on the system, while having 1295 required access authorizations refers to maintenance on and near the system. Individuals who 1296 have not been previously identified as authorized maintenance personnel (e.g., manufacturers, 1297 consultants, systems integrators, and vendors) may require privileged access to the system, such 1298 as when they are required to conduct maintenance with little or no notice. Organizations may 1299 choose to issue temporary credentials to these individuals based on their risk assessments. 1300 Temporary credentials may be for one-time use or for very limited time periods. 1301 REFERENCES 1302 Source Control: MA-05 1303 Supporting Publications: None 1304 3.8. Media Protection 1305 3.8.1. Media Storage 1306 REQUIREMENT: 03.08.01 1307 Physically control and securely store system media containing CUI until the media are destroyed 1308 or sanitized using approved equipment, techniques, and procedures.

1309 DISCUSSION 1310 System media includes digital and non-digital media. Digital media includes diskettes, flash 1311 drives, magnetic tapes, external or removable solid state or magnetic drives, compact discs, and 1312 digital versatile discs. Non-digital media includes paper and microfilm. Physically controlling 1313 stored media includes conducting inventories, establishing procedures to allow individuals to 1314 check out and return media to libraries, and maintaining accountability for stored media. Secure 1315 storage includes a locked drawer, desk, or cabinet or a controlled media library. Controlled areas 1316 provide physical and procedural controls to meet the requirements established for protecting 1317 information and systems. Sanitization techniques (e.g., cryptographically erasing, destroying, 1318 clearing, and purging) prevent the disclosure of CUI to unauthorized individuals. The sanitization 1319 process removes CUI from media such that the information cannot be retrieved or reconstructed. 1320 REFERENCES 1321 Source Control: MP-04 1322 Supporting Publications: SP 800-111 [51] 1323 3.8.2. Media Access 1324 **REQUIREMENT: 03.08.02** 1325 Restrict access to CUI on system media. 1326 DISCUSSION 1327 System media includes digital and non-digital media. Access to CUI on system media can be 1328 restricted by physically controlling such media, which includes conducting inventories, ensuring 1329 that procedures are in place to allow individuals to check out and return media to the media 1330 library, and maintaining accountability for stored media. 1331 REFERENCES 1332 Source Control: MP-02 1333 Supporting Publications: SP 800-111 [51] 1334 3.8.3. Media Sanitization 1335 **REQUIREMENT: 03.08.03** Sanitize system media containing CUI prior to disposal, release out of organizational control, or 1336 1337 release for reuse. 1338 DISCUSSION 1339 Media sanitization applies to digital and non-digital media subject to disposal or reuse, whether or 1340 not the media is considered removable. Examples include digital media in scanners, copiers, 1341 printers, notebook computers, workstations, mobile devices, network components, and non-digital 1342 media. The sanitization process removes CUI from media such that the information cannot be 1343 retrieved or reconstructed. Sanitization techniques (e.g., cryptographically erasing, clearing, 1344 purging, and destroying) prevent the disclosure of CUI to unauthorized individuals when such 1345 media is reused or released for disposal. NARA policies control the sanitization process for media 1346 containing CUI and may require destruction when other methods cannot be applied to the media.

November 2023 1347 **REFERENCES** 1348 Source Control: MP-06 1349 Supporting Publications: SP 800-88 [50] 1350 3.8.4. Media Marking 1351 REQUIREMENT: 03.08.04 1352 Mark system media containing CUI to indicate distribution limitations, handling caveats, and 1353 security markings. 1354 DISCUSSION 1355 System media includes digital and non-digital media. Security marking refers to the application or 1356 use of human-readable security attributes. Security labeling refers to the use of security attributes 1357 for internal system data structures. Digital media includes diskettes, magnetic tapes, external or 1358 removable solid state or magnetic drives, flash drives, compact discs, and digital versatile discs. 1359 Non-digital media includes paper and microfilm. CUI is defined by NARA along with marking, 1360 safeguarding, and dissemination requirements for such information. 1361 **REFERENCES** 1362 Source Control: MP-03 1363 Supporting Publications: None 1364 3.8.5. Media Transport 1365 **REQUIREMENT: 03.08.05** 1366 a. Protect and control system media containing CUI during transport outside of controlled areas. 1367 b. Maintain accountability of system media containing CUI during transport outside of controlled 1368 areas. 1369 DISCUSSION 1370 System media includes digital and non-digital media. Digital media includes flash drives, 1371 diskettes, magnetic tapes, external or removable solid state or magnetic drives, compact discs, 1372 and digital versatile discs. Non-digital media includes microfilm and paper. Controlled areas are 1373 spaces for which organizations provide physical or procedural measures to meet the requirements 1374 established for protecting information and systems. Media protection during transport can include 1375 cryptography and/or locked containers. Cryptographic mechanisms can provide confidentiality 1376 protections, depending on the mechanisms implemented. Activities associated with media 1377 transport include releasing media for transport, ensuring that media enters the appropriate 1378 transport processes, and the actual transport. Authorized transport and courier personnel may 1379 include individuals external to the organization. Maintaining accountability of media during 1380 transport includes restricting transport activities to authorized personnel and tracking or obtaining 1381 records of transport activities as the media moves through the transportation system to prevent

### 1383 REFERENCES

1382

1384 Source Controls: <u>MP-05</u>, <u>SC-28</u>, <u>SC-28(01)</u> 1385 Supporting Publications: SP 800-111 [51]

and detect loss, destruction, or tampering. This requirement is related to 03.13.11.

## **3.8.6.** Withdrawn

1387 Incorporated into 03.08.05.

### 3.8.7. Media Use

- **REQUIREMENT:** 03.08.07
- a. Restrict or prohibit the use of [Assignment: organization-defined types of system media].
- b. Prohibit the use of removable system media without an identifiable owner.

### **DISCUSSION**

In contrast to requirement <u>03.08.01</u>, which restricts user access to media, this requirement restricts the use of certain types of media, such as restricting or prohibiting the use of external hard drives, flash drives, or smart displays. This requirement also includes any potential restrictions on the use of removable system media in external systems. Organizations can use technical and non-technical measures (e.g., policies, procedures, and rules of behavior) to control the use of system media. For example, organizations may control the use of portable storage devices by using physical cages on workstations to prohibit access to external ports or disabling or removing the ability to insert, read, or write to devices.

Organizations may limit the use of portable storage devices to only approved devices, including devices provided by the organization, devices provided by other approved organizations, and devices that are not personally owned. Organizations may also control the use of portable storage devices based on the type of device — prohibiting the use of writeable, portable devices — and implement this restriction by disabling or removing the capability to write to such devices. Limits on the use of organization-controlled system media in external systems include restrictions on how the media may be used and under what conditions. Requiring identifiable owners (e.g., individuals, organizations, or projects) for removable system media reduces the risk of using such technologies by allowing organizations to assign responsibility and accountability for addressing known vulnerabilities in the media (e.g., insertion of malicious code).

### REFERENCES

- Source Control: MP-07
- Supporting Publications: SP 800-111 [51]

### **3.8.8.** Withdrawn

1415 Incorporated into 03.08.07.

## 3.8.9. System Backup – Cryptographic Protection

- **REQUIREMENT:** 03.08.09
- Implement cryptographic mechanisms to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of CUI at backup storage locations.

### **DISCUSSION**

- Backup storage locations may include system-level information and user-level information.
- 1422 System-level information includes system state information, operating system software,

1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429		sys mo dev Cry typ	dication software, and licenses. User-level information includes information other than tem-level information. Hardware-enabled security technologies (e.g., hardware security dules [HSM]) can be used to enhance cryptographic protection for backup information. HSM rices safeguard and manage cryptographic keys and provide cryptographic processing. Potographic operations (e.g., encryption, decryption, and signature generation/verification) are ically hosted on the HSM device, and many implementations provide hardware-accelerated chanisms for cryptographic operations. This requirement is related to <u>03.13.11</u> .
1430		RE	FERENCES
1431 1432			proce Control: <u>CP-09(08)</u> prorting Publications: SP 800-34 [52], SP 800-130 [53], SP 800-152 [54]
1433	3.9.	Pers	sonnel Security
1434	3.9.1	. Pe	rsonnel Screening
1435		RE	QUIREMENT: 03.09.01
1436		a.	Screen individuals prior to authorizing access to the system.
1437 1438		b.	Rescreen individuals in accordance with [Assignment: organization-defined conditions requiring rescreening].
1439		DIS	CUSSION
1440 1441 1442 1443 1444		inte auti acti	sonnel security screening activities involve the assessment of an individual's conduct, egrity, judgment, loyalty, reliability, and stability (i.e., the individual's trustworthiness) prior to horizing access to the system or when elevating system access. The screening and rescreening system reflect applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, and eria established for the level of access required for the assigned position.
1445		RE	FERENCES
1446 1447			proce Control: PS-03 porting Publications: SP 800-181 [34]
1448	3.9.2	. Pe	rsonnel Termination and Transfer
1449		RE	QUIREMENT: 03.09.02
1450		a.	When individual employment is terminated:
1451			Disable system access within [Assignment: organization-defined time period];
1452			2. Terminate or revoke authenticators and credentials associated with the individual; and
1453			Retrieve security-related system property.
1454		b.	When individuals are reassigned or transferred to other positions in the organization:
1455 1456			1. Review and confirm the ongoing operational need for current logical and physical access authorizations to the system and facility;
1457 1458 1459			2. Initiate [Assignment: organization-defined transfer or reassignment actions] within [Assignment: organization-defined time period following the transfer or reassignment action]; and

3. Modify access authorization to correspond with any changes in operational need.

#### DISCUSSION

Security-related system property includes hardware authentication tokens, system administration technical manuals, keys, identification cards, and building passes. Exit interviews ensure that terminated individuals understand the security constraints imposed by being former employees and that accountability is achieved for the organizational property. Security topics at exit interviews include reminding individuals of potential limitations on future employment and nondisclosure agreements. Exit interviews may not always be possible for some individuals, including in cases related to the unavailability of supervisors, illnesses, or job abandonment.

The timely execution of termination actions is essential for individuals who have been terminated for cause. Organizations may consider disabling the accounts of individuals who are being terminated prior to the individuals being notified. This requirement applies to the reassignment or transfer of individuals when the personnel action is permanent or of such extended duration as to require protection. Protections that may be required for transfers or reassignments to other positions within organizations include returning old and issuing new identification cards, keys, and building passes; changing system access authorizations (i.e., privileges); closing system accounts and establishing new accounts; and providing access to official records to which individuals had access at previous work locations in previous system accounts.

### REFERENCES

1479 Source Controls: <u>PS-04</u>, <u>PS-05</u> 1480 Supporting Publications: None

## 3.10. Physical Protection

# 3.10.1. Physical Access Authorizations

REQUIREMENT: 03.10.01

- a. Develop, approve, and maintain a list of individuals with authorized access to the physical location where the system resides.
- b. Issue authorization credentials for physical access.
- c. Review the physical access list periodically.
- d. Remove individuals from the physical access list when access is no longer required.

### DISCUSSION

A facility can include one or more physical locations containing systems or system components that process, store, or transmit CUI. Physical access authorizations apply to employees and visitors. Individuals with permanent physical access authorization credentials are not considered visitors. Authorization credentials include identification badges, identification cards, and smart cards. Organizations determine the strength of the authorization credentials consistent with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines. Physical access authorizations may not be necessary to access certain areas within facilities that are designated as publicly accessible.

1498		REFERENCES
1499 1500		Source Control: PE-02 Supporting Publications: None
1501	3.10.2.	Monitoring Physical Access
1502		REQUIREMENT: 03.10.02
1503 1504		a. Monitor physical access to the location where the system resides to detect and respond to physical security incidents.
1505		b. Review physical access logs periodically.
1506		DISCUSSION
1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517		A facility can include one or more physical locations containing systems or system components that process, store, or transmit CUI. Physical access monitoring includes publicly accessible areas within organizational facilities. Examples of physical access monitoring include the employment of guards, video surveillance equipment (i.e., cameras), and sensor devices. Reviewing physical access logs can help identify suspicious activity, anomalous events, or potential threats. The reviews can be supported by audit logging controls if the access logs are part of an automated system. Incident response capabilities include investigations of physical security incidents and responses to those incidents. Incidents include security violations or suspicious physical access activities, such as access outside of normal work hours, repeated access to areas not normally accessed, access for unusual lengths of time, and out-of-sequence access.
1518		REFERENCES
1519 1520		Source Control: PE-06 Supporting Publications: None
1521	3.10.3.	Withdrawn
1522		Incorporated into <u>03.10.07</u> .
1523	3.10.4.	Withdrawn
1524		Incorporated into <u>03.10.07</u> .
1525	3.10.5.	Withdrawn
1526		Incorporated into <u>03.10.07</u> .
1527	3.10.6.	Alternate Work Site
1528		REQUIREMENT: 03.10.06
1529		a. Determine alternate work sites allowed for use by employees.
1530 1531		b. Employ the following security requirements at alternate work sites: [Assignment: organization-defined security requirements].

532		DISCUSSION
1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538		Alternate work sites include the private residences of employees or other facilities designated by the organization. Alternate work sites can provide readily available alternate locations during contingency operations. Organizations can define different security requirements for specific alternate work sites or types of sites, depending on the work-related activities conducted at the sites. Assessing the effectiveness of the requirements and providing a means to communicate incidents at alternate work sites supports the contingency planning activities of organizations.
539		REFERENCES
540 541		Source Control: PE-17 Supporting Publications: SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-114 [20]
542	3.10.7.	Physical Access Control
543		REQUIREMENT: 03.10.07
544		a. Control physical access at the location where the system resides by:
545		Verifying individual physical access authorizations before granting access; and
546		Controlling ingress and egress with physical access control systems/devices or guards.
547		b. Maintain physical access audit logs for entry or exit points.
548 549		c. Escort visitors and control visitor activity [Assignment: organization-defined circumstances requiring visitor escorts and control of visitor activity].
550		d. Secure keys, combinations, and other physical access devices.
551		DISCUSSION
1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 1559 1560		This requirement addresses physical locations containing systems or system components that process, store, or transmit CUI. Organizations determine the types of guards needed, including professional security staff or administrative staff. Physical access devices include keys, locks, combinations, biometric readers, and card readers. Physical access control systems comply with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidelines. Organizations have flexibility in the types of audit logs employed. Audit logs can be procedural, automated, or some combination thereof. Physical access points can include exterior access points, interior access points to systems that require supplemental access controls, or both. Physical access control applies to employees and visitors. Individuals with permanent physical access authorizations are not considered visitors.
562		REFERENCES
563 564		Source Control: <u>PE-03</u> Supporting Publications: None
565	3.10.8.	Access Control for Transmission and Output Devices
566		REQUIREMENT: 03.10.08
567 568		<ul> <li>Control physical access to system distribution and transmission lines in organizational facilities.</li> </ul>
569 570		b. Control physical access to output devices to prevent unauthorized individuals from obtaining access to CUI.

1571 **DISCUSSION** 1572 Safeguarding measures applied to system distribution and transmission lines prevent accidental 1573 damage, disruption, and physical tampering. Such measures may also be necessary to prevent 1574 eavesdropping or the modification of unencrypted transmissions. Safeguarding measures used 1575 to control physical access to system distribution and transmission lines include disconnected or 1576 locked spare jacks, locked wiring closets, protecting cabling with conduit or cable trays, and 1577 wiretapping sensors. Controlling physical access to output devices includes placing output 1578 devices in locked rooms or other secured areas with keypad or card reader access controls and 1579 allowing access to authorized individuals only, placing output devices in locations that can be 1580 monitored by personnel, installing monitor or screen filters, and using headphones. Examples of 1581 output devices include monitors, printers, scanners, audio devices, facsimile machines, and 1582 copiers. 1583 **REFERENCES** 1584 Source Controls: PE-04, PE-05 1585 Supporting Publications: None 1586 3.11. Risk Assessment 1587 3.11.1. Risk Assessment 1588 REQUIREMENT: 03.11.01 1589 a. Assess the risk (including supply chain risk) of unauthorized disclosure resulting from the 1590 processing, storage, or transmission of CUI. 1591 b. Update risk assessments periodically. 1592 **DISCUSSION** 1593 Establishing the system boundary is a prerequisite to assessing the risk of unauthorized 1594 disclosure of CUI. Risk assessments consider threats, vulnerabilities, likelihood, and adverse 1595 impacts to organizational operations and assets based on the operation and use of the system 1596 and the unauthorized disclosure of CUI. Risk assessments also consider risks from external 1597 parties (e.g., service providers, contractors operating systems on behalf of the organization, 1598 individuals accessing systems, outsourcing entities). Risk assessments can be conducted at the 1599 organization level, the mission or business process level, or the system level and at any phase in 1600 the system development life cycle. Risk assessments include supply chain-related risks 1601 associated with suppliers or contractors and the system, system component, or system service 1602 that they provide. 1603 **REFERENCES** 1604 Source Controls: RA-03, RA-03(01), SR-06 1605 Supporting Publications: SP 800-30 [55], SP 800-161 [33] 3.11.2. Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning 1606 1607 **REQUIREMENT: 03.11.02** 1608 a. Monitor and scan for vulnerabilities in the system periodically and when new vulnerabilities 1609 affecting the system are identified.

1610 b. Remediate system vulnerabilities within [Assignment: organization-defined response times]. 1611 c. Update system vulnerabilities to be scanned periodically and when new vulnerabilities are 1612 identified and reported. 1613 DISCUSSION 1614 Organizations determine the required vulnerability scanning for system components and ensure 1615 that potential sources of vulnerabilities (e.g., networked printers, scanners, and copiers) are not 1616 overlooked. Vulnerability analyses for custom software may require additional approaches, such 1617 as static analysis, dynamic analysis, or binary analysis. Organizations can use these approaches 1618 in source code reviews and tools (e.g., static analysis tools, web-based application scanners, 1619 binary analyzers). Vulnerability scanning includes scanning for patch levels; scanning for 1620 functions, ports, protocols, and services that should not be accessible to users or devices; and 1621 scanning for improperly configured or incorrectly operating flow control mechanisms. 1622 To facilitate interoperability, organizations consider using products that are Security Content 1623 Automated Protocol (SCAP)-validated and that employ the Extensible Configuration Checklist 1624 Description Format (XCCDF). Organizations also consider using scanning tools that express 1625 vulnerabilities in the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) naming convention and 1626 that employ the Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (OVAL). Sources for vulnerability 1627 information also include the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) listing, the National 1628 Vulnerability Database (NVD), and the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS). 1629 **REFERENCES** 1630 Source Controls: RA-05, RA-05(02) 1631 Supporting Publications: SP 800-40 [56], SP 800-53A [57], SP 800-70 [44], SP 800-115 [58], 1632 SP 800-126 [45] 3.11.3. Withdrawn 1633 1634 Incorporated into 03.11.02. 1635 3.12. Security Assessment and Monitoring 1636 3.12.1. Security Assessment 1637 REQUIREMENT: 03.12.01 1638 Assess the security requirements for the system and its environment of operation periodically to 1639 determine if the requirements have been satisfied. 1640 **DISCUSSION** 1641 By assessing the security requirements, organizations determine whether the necessary 1642 safeguards and countermeasures are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and 1643 producing the desired outcome. Security assessments identify weaknesses and deficiencies in 1644 the system and provide the essential information needed to make risk-based decisions. Security 1645 assessment reports document assessment results in sufficient detail as deemed necessary by the 1646 organization to determine the accuracy and completeness of the reports. Security assessment 1647 results are provided to the individuals or roles appropriate for the types of assessments being 1648 conducted.

1649		REFERENCES
1650 1651		Source Control: <u>CA-02</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-53 [8], SP 800-53A [57], SP 800-37 [59], SP 800-115 [58]
1652	3.12.2.	Plan of Action and Milestones
1653		REQUIREMENT: 03.12.02
1654		a. Develop a plan of action and milestones for the system:
1655 1656		<ol> <li>To document the planned remediation actions to correct weaknesses or deficiencies noted during security assessments; and</li> </ol>
1657		2. To reduce or eliminate known system vulnerabilities.
1658 1659		b. Update the existing plan of action and milestones periodically based on the findings from security assessments, independent audits or reviews, and continuous monitoring activities.
1660		DISCUSSION
1661 1662 1663 1664 1665 1666		Plans of action and milestones (POAMs) are important documents in organizational security programs. Organizations use POAMs to describe how unsatisfied security requirements will be met and how planned mitigations will be implemented. Organizations can document system security plans and POAMs as separate or combined documents and in any format. Federal agencies may consider system security plans and POAMs as inputs to risk-based decisions on whether to process, store, or transmit CUI on a system hosted by a nonfederal organization.
1667		REFERENCES
1668 1669		Source Control: <u>CA-05</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-37 [59]
1670	3.12.3.	Continuous Monitoring
1671		REQUIREMENT: 03.12.03
1672 1673		Develop and implement a system-level continuous monitoring strategy that includes ongoing monitoring and security assessments.
1674		DISCUSSION
1675 1676 1677 1678 1679		Continuous monitoring at the system level facilitates ongoing awareness of the system security posture to support risk management decisions. The terms <i>continuous</i> and <i>ongoing</i> imply that organizations assess and monitor their systems at a frequency that is sufficient to support risk-based decisions. Different types of security requirements may require different monitoring frequencies.
1680		REFERENCES
1681 1682 1683		Source Control: <u>CA-07</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-37 [59], SP 800-39 [60], SP 800-53A [57], SP 800-115 [58], SP 800-137 [49]

**3.12.4.** Withdrawn

1685 Incorporated into <u>03.15.02</u>.

## 3.12.5. Information Exchange

- **REQUIREMENT:** 03.12.05
- 1688
  a. Approve and manage the exchange of CUI between the system and other systems using [Selection (one or more): interconnection security agreements; information exchange security agreements; memoranda of understanding or agreement; service level agreements; user agreements; nondisclosure agreements].
  - b. Document, as part of the exchange agreements, interface characteristics, security requirements, and responsibilities for each system.
  - c. Review and update the exchange agreements periodically.

### **DISCUSSION**

The types of agreements selected are based on factors such as the relationship between the organizations exchanging information (e.g., government to government, government to business, business to business, government or business to service provider, government or business to individual) and the level of access to the organizational system by users of the other system. Types of agreements can include interconnection security agreements, information exchange security agreements, memoranda of understanding or agreement, service-level agreements, or other types of agreements. Organizations may incorporate agreement information into formal contracts, especially for information exchanges established between federal agencies and nonfederal organizations (e.g., service providers, contractors, system developers, and system integrators). Examples of the types of information contained in exchange agreements include the interface characteristics, security requirements, controls, and responsibilities for each system.

#### REFERENCES

1709 Source Control: CA-03

Supporting Publications: SP 800-47 [83]

## 1711 3.13. System and Communications Protection

## 3.13.1. Boundary Protection

- **REQUIREMENT:** 03.13.01
- a. Monitor and control communications at the external managed interfaces to the system and at key internal managed interfaces within the system.
  - b. Implement subnetworks for publicly accessible system components that are physically or logically separated from internal networks.
  - c. Connect to external systems only through managed interfaces consisting of boundary protection devices arranged in accordance with an organizational security architecture.

1/20		DISCUSSION
1721 1722 1723 1724 1725 1726 1727		Managed interfaces include gateways, routers, firewalls, network-based malicious code analysis, virtualization systems, and encrypted tunnels implemented within a security architecture. Subnetworks that are either physically or logically separated from internal networks are referred to as demilitarized zones or DMZs. Restricting or prohibiting interfaces within organizational systems includes restricting external web traffic to designated web servers within managed interfaces, prohibiting external traffic that appears to be spoofing internal addresses, and prohibiting internal traffic that appears to be spoofing external addresses.
1728		REFERENCES
1729 1730 1731		Source Control: <u>SC-07</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-41 [64], SP 800-125B [65], SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-189 [67], SP 800-207 [66]
1732	3.13.2.	Withdrawn
1733		Recategorized as NCO.
1734	3.13.3.	Withdrawn
1735		Addressed by <u>03.01.01</u> , <u>03.01.02</u> , <u>03.01.03</u> , <u>03.01.04</u> , <u>03.01.05</u> , <u>03.01.06</u> , <u>03.01.07</u> .
1736	3.13.4.	Information in Shared System Resources
1737		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.04
1738		Prevent unauthorized and unintended information transfer via shared system resources.
1739		DISCUSSION
1740 1741 1742 1743 1744 1745 1746 1747 1748 1749 1750 1751		Preventing unauthorized and unintended information transfer via shared system resources stops information produced by the actions of prior users or roles (or actions of processes acting on behalf of prior users or roles) from being available to current users or roles (or current processes acting on behalf of current users or roles) that obtain access to shared system resources after those resources have been released back to the system. Information in shared system resources also applies to encrypted representations of information. In other contexts, the control of information in shared system resources is referred to as object reuse and residual information protection. Information in shared system resources does not address information remanence, which refers to the residual representation of data that has been nominally deleted, covert channels (including storage and timing channels) in which shared system resources are manipulated to violate information flow restrictions, or components within systems for which there are only single users or roles.
1752		REFERENCES
1753 1754		Source Control: SC-04 Supporting Publications: None
1755	3.13.5.	Withdrawn
1756		Incorporated into <u>03.13.01</u> .

1757	3.13.6.	Network Communications – Deny by Default – Allow by Exception
1758		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.06
1759 1760		Deny network communications traffic by default and allow network communications traffic by exception.
1761		DISCUSSION
1762 1763 1764 1765		This requirement applies to inbound and outbound network communications traffic at the system boundary and at identified points within the system. A deny-all, allow-by-exception network communications traffic policy ensures that only essential and approved connections are allowed.
1766		REFERENCES
1767 1768		Source Control: <u>SC-07(05)</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-41 [64], SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-189 [67]
1769	3.13.7.	Withdrawn
1770		Addressed by <u>03.01.12</u> , <u>03.04.02</u> and <u>03.04.06</u> .
1771	3.13.8.	Transmission and Storage Confidentiality
1772		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.08
1773 1774		Implement cryptographic mechanisms to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of CUI during transmission and while in storage.
1775		DISCUSSION
1776 1777 1778 1779 1780 1781 1782 1783 1784 1785		This requirement applies to internal and external networks and any system components that can transmit CUI, including servers, notebook computers, desktop computers, mobile devices, printers, copiers, scanners, facsimile machines, and radios. Unprotected communication paths are susceptible to interception and modification. Encryption protects CUI from unauthorized disclosure during transmission and while in storage. Cryptographic mechanisms that protect the confidentiality of CUI during transmission include TLS and IPsec. Information in storage (i.e., information at rest) refers to the state of CUI when it is not in process or in transit and resides on internal or external storage devices, storage area network devices, and databases. Protecting CUI in storage does not focus on the type of storage device or the frequency of access to that device but rather on the state of the information. This requirement relates to <u>03.13.11</u> .
1786		REFERENCES
1787 1788 1789 1790 1791		Source Controls: <u>SC-08</u> , <u>SC-08(01)</u> , <u>SC-28</u> , <u>SC-28(01)</u> Supporting Publications: FIPS 140-3 [38], FIPS 197 [68], SP 800-46 [14], SP 800-52 [69], SP 800-56A [73], SP 800-56B [74], SP 800-56C [75], SP 800-57-1 [15], SP 800-57-2 [16], SP 800-57-3 [17], SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-111 [51], SP 800-113 [19], SP 800-114 [20], SP 800-121 [21], SP 800-124 [28], SP 800-177 [70]
1792	3.13.9.	Network Disconnect
1793		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.09

1794 1795		Terminate network connections associated with communications sessions at the end of the sessions or after periods of inactivity.
1796	ı	DISCUSSION
1797 1798 1799 1800 1801 1802	8 1 1	This requirement applies to internal and external networks. Terminating network connections associated with communications sessions includes deallocating TCP/IP addresses or port pairs at the operating system level or deallocating networking assignments at the application level if multiple application sessions are using a single network connection. Time periods of inactivity may be established by organizations and include time periods by type of network access or for specific network accesses.
1803	ı	REFERENCES
1804 1805		Source Control: SC-10 Supporting Publications: None
1806	3.13.10.	Cryptographic Key Establishment and Management
1807		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.10
1808 1809 1810		Establish and manage cryptographic keys in the system in accordance with the following key management requirements: [Assignment: organization-defined requirements for key establishment and management].
1811		DISCUSSION
1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817		Cryptographic key establishment and management include key generation, distribution, storage, access, rotation, and destruction. Cryptographic keys can be established and managed using either manual procedures or automated mechanisms supported by manual procedures. Organizations satisfy key establishment and management requirements in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, policies, directives, regulations, and standards that specify appropriate options, levels, and parameters. This requirement is related to <u>03.13.11</u> .
1818		REFERENCES
1819 1820 1821		Source Control: <u>SC-12</u> Supporting Publications: FIPS 140-3 [38], SP 800-56A [73], SP 800-56B [74], SP 800-56C [75], SP 800-57-1 [15], SP 800-57-2 [16], SP 800-57-3 [17], SP 800-63-3 [27]
1822	3.13.11.	Cryptographic Protection
1823		REQUIREMENT: 03.13.11
1824 1825		Implement the following types of cryptography when used to protect the confidentiality of CUI: [Assignment: organization-defined types of cryptography].
1826		DISCUSSION
1827 1828		Cryptography is implemented in accordance with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines.
1829		REFERENCES
1830		Source Control: SC-13

1831 Supporting Publications: FIPS 140-3 [38] 1832 3.13.12. Collaborative Computing Devices and Applications 1833 **REQUIREMENT: 03.13.12** 1834 a. Prohibit remote activation of collaborative computing devices and applications. 1835 b. Provide an explicit indication of use to users physically present at the devices. 1836 DISCUSSION 1837 Collaborative computing devices include white boards, microphones, and cameras. Indication 1838 of use includes notifying users (e.g., a pop-up menu stating that recording is in progress, or 1839 that the microphone has been turned on) when collaborative computing devices are activated. 1840 Dedicated video conferencing systems, which typically rely on one of the participants calling 1841 or connecting to the other party to activate the video conference, are excluded. Solutions to 1842 prevent device usage include webcam covers and buttons to disable microphones. 1843 REFERENCES 1844 Source Control: SC-15 1845 Supporting Publications: None 1846 3.13.13. Mobile Code 1847 **REQUIREMENT: 03.13.13** 1848 a. Define acceptable mobile code and mobile code technologies. 1849 b. Authorize, monitor, and control the use of mobile code. 1850 DISCUSSION 1851 Mobile code includes software programs or parts of programs obtained from remote systems, 1852 transmitted across a network, and executed on a local system without explicit installation or 1853 execution by the recipient. Decisions regarding the use of mobile code within the system are 1854 based on the potential for the code to cause damage to the system if used maliciously. Mobile 1855 code technologies include Java applets, JavaScript, HTML5, VBScript, and WebGL. Usage 1856 restrictions and implementation guidelines apply to the selection and use of mobile code 1857 installed on servers and mobile code downloaded and executed on individual workstations and 1858 devices, including notebook computers, smart phones, and smart devices. Mobile code policy 1859 and procedures address the actions taken to prevent the development, acquisition, and use of 1860 unacceptable mobile code within the system, including requiring mobile code to be digitally 1861 signed by a trusted source. 1862 REFERENCES 1863 Source Control: SC-18 Supporting Publications: SP 800-28 [71] 1864 3.13.14. Withdrawn 1865 1866 Technology-specific.

1902

1867 3.13.15. Session Authenticity 1868 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.13.15 1869 Protect the authenticity of communications sessions. 1870 DISCUSSION 1871 Protecting session authenticity addresses communications protection at the session level, not 1872 at the packet level. Such protection establishes grounds for confidence at both ends of the 1873 communications sessions in the ongoing identities of other parties and the validity of the 1874 transmitted information. Authenticity protection includes protecting against "adversary-in-the-1875 middle" attacks, session hijacking, and the insertion of false information into sessions. **REFERENCES** 1876 1877 Source Control: SC-23 Supporting Publications: SP 800-52 [69], SP 800-77 [18], SP 800-95 [72], SP 800-113 [19] 1878 3.13.16. Withdrawn 1879 1880 Incorporated into 03.13.08. 1881 3.14. System and Information Integrity 1882 3.14.1. Flaw Remediation 1883 **REQUIREMENT: 03.14.01** 1884 a. Identify, report, and correct system flaws. 1885 b. Install security-relevant software and firmware updates within [Assignment: organization-1886 defined time period of the release of the updates. 1887 **DISCUSSION** 1888 Organizations identify systems that are affected by announced software and firmware flaws, 1889 including potential vulnerabilities that result from those flaws, and report this information to 1890 designated personnel with information security responsibilities. Security-relevant updates 1891 include patches, service packs, hot fixes, and anti-virus signatures. Organizations address the 1892 flaws discovered during security assessments, continuous monitoring, incident response 1893 activities, and system error handling. Organizations can take advantage of available resources, 1894 such as the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) or Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures 1895 (CVE) databases, in remediating the flaws discovered in organizational systems. Organization-1896 defined time periods for updating security-relevant software and firmware may vary based on a 1897 variety of factors, including the criticality of the update (i.e., severity of the vulnerability related 1898 to the discovered flaw). Some types of flaw remediation may require more testing than other 1899 types of remediation. 1900 **REFERENCES** 1901 Source Control: SI-02

Supporting Publications: SP 800-39 [60], SP 800-40 [56], SP 800-128 [41]

### 3.14.2. Malicious Code Protection

#### REQUIREMENT: 03.14.02

- a. Implement malicious code protection mechanisms at designated locations within the system to detect and eradicate malicious code.
- b. Update malicious code protection mechanisms as new releases are available in accordance with configuration management policy and procedures.
- c. Configure malicious code protection mechanisms to:
  - 1. Perform scans of the system [Assignment: organization-defined frequency] and real-time scans of files from external sources at endpoints or network entry and exit points as the files are downloaded, opened, or executed; and
  - Block malicious code, quarantine malicious code, or take other actions in response to malicious code detection.

### DISCUSSION

Malicious code insertions occur through the exploitation of system vulnerabilities. Periodic scans of the system and real-time scans of files from external sources as files are downloaded, opened, or executed can detect malicious code. Malicious code can be inserted into the system in many ways, including by email, the Internet, and portable storage devices. Malicious code includes viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and spyware. Malicious code can be encoded in various formats, contained in compressed or hidden files, or hidden in files using techniques such as steganography. In addition to the above technologies, pervasive configuration management, comprehensive software integrity controls, and anti-exploitation software may be effective in preventing the execution of unauthorized code. Malicious code may be present in commercial off-the-shelf software and custom-built software and could include logic bombs, backdoors, and other types of attacks that could affect organizational mission and business functions.

If malicious code cannot be detected by detection methods or technologies, organizations can rely on secure coding practices, configuration management and control, trusted procurement processes, and monitoring practices to help ensure that the software only performs intended functions. Organizations may determine that different actions are warranted in response to the detection of malicious code. For example, organizations can define actions to be taken in response to malicious code detection during scans, the detection of malicious downloads, or the detection of maliciousness when attempting to open or execute files.

### REFERENCES

1935 Source Control: <u>SI-03</u>
1936 Supporting Publication

Supporting Publications: SP 800-83 [76], SP 800-125B [65], SP 800-177 [70]

## 3.14.3. Security Alerts, Advisories, and Directives

### **REQUIREMENT:** 03.14.03

- a. Receive system security alerts, advisories, and directives from external organizations on an ongoing basis.
- Generate and disseminate internal system security alerts, advisories, and directives, as necessary.
  - c. Implement security directives in accordance with established time frames.

1944 **DISCUSSION** 1945 There are many publicly available sources of system security alerts and advisories. For 1946 example, the Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security 1947 Agency (CISA), the National Security Agency (NSA), and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) generate security alerts and advisories to maintain situational awareness across the 1948 1949 Federal Government and in nonfederal organizations. Software vendors, subscription services, 1950 and industry Information Sharing and Analysis Centers (ISACs) may also provide security 1951 alerts and advisories. Compliance with security directives is essential due to the critical nature 1952 of many of these directives and the potential immediate adverse effects on organizational 1953 operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation should the directives not 1954 be implemented in a timely manner. 1955 **REFERENCES** 1956 Source Control: SI-05 1957 Supporting Publications: SP 800-161 [33] 1958 **3.14.4.** Withdrawn 1959 Incorporated into 03.14.02. 1960 3.14.5. Withdrawn 1961 Addressed by 03.14.02. 1962 3.14.6. System Monitoring 1963 **REQUIREMENT: 03.14.06** 1964 a. Monitor the system to detect: 1965 1. Attacks and indicators of potential attacks; and 1966 2. Unauthorized connections. 1967 b. Identify unauthorized use of the system. 1968 c. Monitor inbound and outbound communications traffic to detect unusual or unauthorized 1969 activities or conditions. 1970 DISCUSSION 1971 System monitoring involves external and internal monitoring. External monitoring includes the 1972 observation of events that occur at the system boundary. Internal monitoring includes the 1973 observation of events that occur within the system. Organizations can monitor the system, for 1974 example, by observing audit record activities in real time or by observing other system aspects, 1975 such as access patterns, characteristics of access, and other actions. The monitoring objectives 1976 may guide determination of the events. 1977 A system monitoring capability is achieved through a variety of tools and techniques (e.g., audit 1978 record monitoring software, intrusion detection systems, intrusion prevention systems, 1979 malicious code protection software, scanning tools, network monitoring software). Strategic 1980 locations for monitoring devices include selected perimeter locations and near server farms that 1981 support critical applications with such devices being employed at managed system interfaces.

1982 1983		The granularity of monitoring the information collected is based on organizational monitoring objectives and the capability of the system to support such objectives.
1984 1985 1986 1987 1988		Systems connections can be network, remote, or local. A network connection is any connection with a device that communicates through a network (e.g., local area network, the internet). A remote connection is any connection with a device that communicates through an external network (e.g., the internet). Network, remote, and local connections can be either wired or wireless.
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994		Unusual or unauthorized activities or conditions related to inbound and outbound communications traffic include internal traffic that indicates the presence of malicious code in the system or propagating among system components, the unauthorized export of information, or signaling to external systems. Evidence of malicious code is used to identify a potentially compromised system. System monitoring requirements, including the need for types of system monitoring, may be referenced in other requirements.
1995		REFERENCES
1996 1997 1998		Source Controls: <u>SI-04</u> , <u>SI-04(04)</u> Supporting Publications: SP 800-61 [47], SP 800-83 [76], SP 800-92 [35], SP 800-94 [29], SP 800-137 [49], SP 800-177 [70]
1999	3.14.7.	Withdrawn
2000		Incorporated into <u>03.14.06</u> .
2001	3.14.8.	Information Management and Retention
2002		REQUIREMENT: 03.14.08
2003 2004 2005		Manage and retain CUI within the system and CUI output from the system in accordance with applicable laws, executive orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, guidelines, and operational requirements.
2006		DISCUSSION
2007 2008 2009 2010		Federal agencies consider data retention requirements for nonfederal organizations. Retaining CUI on nonfederal systems after contracts or agreements have concluded increases the attack surface for those systems and the risk of the information being compromised. NARA provides federal policy and guidance on records retention and schedules.
2011		REFERENCES
2012 2013		Source Control: SI-12 Supporting Publications: None
2014	3.15. <u>I</u>	<u>Planning</u>
2015	3.15.1.	Policy and Procedures
2016		REQUIREMENT: 03.15.01
2017 2018		a. Develop, document, and disseminate to organizational personnel or roles, policies and procedures needed to implement security requirements.

2019 b. Review and update policies and procedures periodically. 2020 DISCUSSION 2021 This requirement addresses policies and procedures for the protection of CUI. Policies and 2022 procedures contribute to security assurance and should address each family of the CUI security 2023 requirements. Policies can be included as part of the generalized organizational security policy 2024 or be represented by separate policies that address each family of requirements. Procedures 2025 describe how policies are implemented and can be directed at the individual or role that is the 2026 object of the procedure. Procedures can be documented in system security plans or in one or 2027 more separate documents. 2028 **REFERENCES** 2029 Source Controls: AC-01, AT-01, AU-01, CA-01, CM-01, IA-01, IR-01, MA-01, MP-01, PE-01, 2030 PL-01, PS-01, RA-01, SA-01, SC-01, SI-01, SR-01 2031 Supporting Publications: SP 800-12 [61], SP 800-100 [62] 2032 3.15.2. System Security Plan 2033 **REQUIREMENT: 03.15.02** 2034 a. Develop a system security plan that: 2035 1. Defines the constituent system components; 2036 2. Describes the system operating environment; 2037 3. Describes specific threats to the system that are of concern to the organization; 2038 4. Provides an overview of the security requirements for the system; 2039 5. Identifies connections to other systems; 2040 6. Identifies individuals that fulfill system roles and responsibilities; and 2041 7. Includes other relevant information necessary for the protection of CUI. 2042 b. Review and update the system security plan periodically. 2043 c. Protect the system security plan from unauthorized disclosure. 2044 **DISCUSSION** 2045 System security plans provide key characteristics of the system that is processing, storing, and 2046 transmitting CUI and how the system and information are protected. System security plans 2047 contain sufficient information to enable a design and implementation that is unambiguously 2048 compliant with the intent of the plans and the subsequent determinations of risk if the plan is 2049

System security plans provide key characteristics of the system that is processing, storing, and transmitting CUI and how the system and information are protected. System security plans contain sufficient information to enable a design and implementation that is unambiguously compliant with the intent of the plans and the subsequent determinations of risk if the plan is implemented as intended. System security plans can be a collection of documents, including documents that already exist. Effective system security plans make use of references to policies, procedures, and additional documents (e.g., design specifications) where detailed information can be obtained. This reduces the documentation requirements associated with security programs and maintains security information in other established management or operational areas related to enterprise architecture, the system development life cycle, systems engineering, and acquisition.

### REFERENCES

2057 Source Control: PL-02

2050

2051

2052

2053

2054

2055

2056

2058 Supporting Publications: SP 800-18 [63]

### 3.15.3. Rules of Behavior

- 2060 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.15.03
- a. Establish and provide to individuals requiring access to the system, rules that describe their responsibilities and expected behavior for handling CUI and system usage.
  - b. Receive a documented acknowledgement from individuals indicating that they have read, understand, and agree to abide by the rules of behavior before authorizing access to CUI and the system.
  - c. Review and update the rules of behavior periodically.

### 2067 DISCUSSION

2059

2063

2064

2065

2066

2077

2078

2079

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

2087 2088

2089

2090

2091

Rules of behavior represent a type of access agreement for system users. Organizations consider rules of behavior for the handling of CUI based on individual user roles and responsibilities and differentiate between rules that apply to privileged users and rules that apply to general users.

### 2071 REFERENCES

- 2072 Source Control: PL-04
- Supporting Publications: SP 800-18 [63]

# 2074 3.16. System and Services Acquisition

## 2075 **3.16.1. Acquisition Process**

2076 **REQUIREMENT:** 03.16.01

Include the following security requirements, explicitly or by reference, in the acquisition contract for the system, system component, or system service: [Assignment: organization-defined security requirements].

### 2080 **DISCUSSION**

Security requirements include security functional and security assurance requirements. Security functional requirements are typically derived from mission or business requirements as well as requirements stated in laws, regulations, policies, and standards. The derived requirements can include security capabilities, functions, and mechanisms. Assurance requirements can include development processes, procedures, methodologies, and the evidence from development and assessment activities that provide grounds for confidence that the required functionality is implemented and possesses the required strength of mechanism. Strength of mechanism requirements associated with such capabilities, functions, and mechanisms include degree of correctness, completeness, resistance to tampering or bypass, and resistance to direct attack. This requirement is related to 03.16.03 and 03.17.02.

# REFERENCES

2092 Source Control: SA-04

2093 Supporting Publications: SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-160-2 [10], SP 800-161 [33]

# 3.16.2. Unsupported System Components

### **REQUIREMENT: 03.16.02**

- a. Replace system components when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor, or manufacturer.
- b. Provide options for risk mitigation or alternative sources for continued support for unsupported components if components cannot be replaced.

### DISCUSSION

Support for system components includes software patches, firmware updates, replacement parts, and maintenance contracts. An example of unsupported components includes when vendors no longer provide critical software patches or product updates, which can result in opportunities for adversaries to exploit weaknesses or deficiencies in the installed components. Exceptions to replacing unsupported system components include systems that provide critical mission or business capabilities when newer technologies are unavailable or when the systems are so isolated that installing replacement components is not an option.

Alternative sources for support address the need to provide continued support for system components that are no longer supported by the original manufacturers, developers, or vendors when such components remain essential to organizational mission and business functions. If necessary, organizations can establish in-house support by developing customized patches for critical software components or obtain the services of external providers who provide ongoing support for the designated unsupported components through contractual relationships. Such contractual relationships can include open-source software value-added vendors. The increased risk of using unsupported system components can be mitigated, for example, by prohibiting the connection of such components to public or uncontrolled networks or implementing other forms of isolation.

#### REFERENCES

- 2119 Source Control: SA-22
- 2120 Supporting Publications: None

## 3.16.3. External System Services

### **REQUIREMENT:** 03.16.03

- a. Require the providers of external system services used for the processing, storage, or transmission of CUI, to comply with the following security requirements: [Assignment: organization-defined security requirements].
- b. Define and document user roles and responsibilities with regard to external system services including shared responsibilities with external providers.
- c. Implement processes, methods, and techniques to monitor security requirement compliance by external service providers on an ongoing basis.

### DISCUSSION

External system services are provided by external service providers. Organizations establish relationships with external service providers in a variety of ways, including through business partnerships, contracts, interagency agreements, lines of business arrangements, licensing agreements, joint ventures, and supply chain exchanges. The responsibility for managing risks from the use of external system services remains with the organization charged with protecting

CUI. Service-level agreements define the expectations of performance, describe measurable outcomes, and identify remedies, mitigations, and response requirements for instances of noncompliance. Information from external service providers regarding the specific functions, ports, protocols, and services used in the provision of such services can be useful when there is a need to understand the trade-offs involved in restricting certain functions and services or blocking certain ports and protocols. This requirement is related to <u>03.01.20</u>.

#### REFERENCES

2143 Source Control: SA-09

2144 Supporting Publications: SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-161 [33]

## **3.17. Supply Chain Risk Management**

## 3.17.1. Supply Chain Risk Management Plan

- **REQUIREMENT:** 03.17.01
- 2148 a. Develop a plan for managing supply chain risks associated with the research, development, design, manufacturing, acquisition, delivery, integration, operations, maintenance, and disposal of the system, system components, or system services.
  - b. Review and update the supply chain risk management plan periodically.
  - c. Protect the supply chain risk management plan from unauthorized disclosure.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Dependence on the products, systems, and services from external providers and the nature of the relationships with those providers present an increasing level of risk to an organization. Threat actions that may increase security risks include unauthorized production; the insertion or use of counterfeits; tampering; theft; the insertion of malicious software, firmware, and hardware; and poor manufacturing and development practices in the supply chain. Supply chain risks can be endemic or systemic within a system, component, or service. Managing supply chain risks is a complex, multifaceted undertaking that requires a coordinated effort across an organization to build trust relationships and communicate with internal and external stakeholders.

Supply chain risk management (SCRM) activities include identifying and assessing risks, determining appropriate risk response actions, developing SCRM plans to document response actions, and monitoring performance against the plans. The system-level SCRM plan is implementation-specific and provides policy implementation, requirements, constraints, and implications. It can either be stand-alone or incorporated into system security plans. The SCRM plan addresses the management, implementation, and monitoring of SCRM controls and the development or sustainment of systems across the system development life cycle to support mission and business functions. Because supply chains can differ significantly across and within organizations, SCRM plans are tailored to individual program, organizational, and operational contexts.

#### REFERENCES

- 2173 Source Control: SR-02
- 2174 Supporting Publications: SP 800-30 [55], SP 800-39 [60], SP 800-160-1 [11], SP 800-181 [34]

## **3.17.2.** Acquisition Strategies, Tools, and Methods

**REQUIREMENT:** 03.17.02

Develop and implement acquisition strategies, contract tools, and procurement methods to identify, protect against, and mitigate supply chain risks.

#### DISCUSSION

The acquisition process provides an important vehicle for protecting the supply chain. There are many useful tools and techniques available, including obscuring the end use of a system or system component, using blind or filtered buys, requiring tamper-evident packaging, or using trusted or controlled distribution. The results from a supply chain risk assessment can inform the strategies, tools, and methods that are most applicable to the situation. Tools and techniques may provide protections against unauthorized production, theft, tampering, the insertion of counterfeits, the insertion of malicious software or backdoors, and poor development practices throughout the system life cycle.

Organizations also consider providing incentives for suppliers to implement controls, promote transparency in their processes and security practices, provide contract language that addresses the prohibition of tainted or counterfeit components, and restrict purchases from untrustworthy suppliers. Organizations consider providing training, education, and awareness programs for personnel regarding supply chain risk, available mitigation strategies, and when the programs should be employed. Methods for reviewing and protecting development plans, documentation, and evidence are commensurate with the security requirements of the organization. Contracts may specify documentation protection requirements.

#### REFERENCES

2197 Source Control: SR-05

Supporting Publications: SP 800-30 [55], SP 800-161 [33]

## 3.17.3. Supply Chain Requirements and Processes

## REQUIREMENT: 03.17.03

- a. Establish a process for identifying and addressing weaknesses or deficiencies in the supply chain elements and processes.
- b. Enforce the following security requirements to protect against supply chain risks to the system, system components, or system services and to limit the harm or consequences from supply chain-related events: [Assignment: organization-defined security requirements].

#### DISCUSSION

Supply chain elements include organizations, entities, or tools that are employed for the research, development, design, manufacturing, acquisition, delivery, integration, operations and maintenance, and disposal of systems and system components. Supply chain processes include hardware, software, firmware, and systems development processes; shipping and handling procedures; personnel and physical security programs; configuration management tools, techniques, and measures to maintain provenance; or other programs, processes, or procedures associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance, and disposal of systems and system components. Supply chain elements and processes may be provided by organizations, system integrators, or external providers. Weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements or

2216 2217	processes represent potential vulnerabilities that can be exploited by adversaries to harm the organization and affect its ability to carry out its core missions or business functions.
2218	REFERENCES
2219 2220	Source Control: SR-03 Supporting Publications: SP 800-30 [55], SP 800-161 [33]

#### 2221 References

- 2222 [1] Executive Order 13556 (2010) Controlled Unclassified Information. (The White House, 2223 Washington, DC), DCPD-201000942, November 4, 2010. Available at 2224 https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/DCPD-201000942
- 2225 [2] Executive Order 13526 (2009) Classified National Security Information. (The White House, 2226 Washington, DC), DCPD-200901022, December 29, 2009. Available at 2227 https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/DCPD-200901022
- 2228 [3] Atomic Energy Act (P.L. 83-703), August 1954. Available at 2229 https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/STATUTE-68/STATUTE-68-Pg919
- 2230 [4] National Archives and Records Administration (2019) Controlled Unclassified Information 2231 (CUI) Registry. Available at https://www.archives.gov/cui
- 2232 [5] 32 CFR Part 2002 (2016), Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), September 2016. 2233 Available at https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2018-title32-vol6/pdf/CFR-2018-2234 title32-vol6-part2002.pdf
- 2235 [6] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2004) Standards for Security 2236 Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems. (U.S. Department of 2237 Commerce, Washington, DC), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 2238 199. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.199
- 2239 [7] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2006) Minimum Security Requirements for 2240 Federal Information and Information Systems. (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2241 Washington, DC), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 200. 2242 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.200
- 2243 [8] Joint Task Force (2020) Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and 2244 Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST 2245 Special Publication (SP) 800-53, Rev. 5, Includes updates as of December 10, 2020. 2246 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r5
- 2247 [9] Federal Information Security Modernization Act (P.L. 113-283), December 2014. Available 2248 at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/PLAW-113publ283
- 2249 [10] Ross RS, Pillitteri VY, Graubart R, Bodeau D, McQuaid R (2021) Developing Cyber-2250 Resilient Systems: A Systems Security Engineering Approach. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-160, 2251 Vol. 2, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-160v2r1 2252
- 2253 [11] Ross R, Winstead M, McEvilley M (2022) Engineering Trustworthy Secure Systems. 2254 (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special 2255 Publication (SP) 800-160, Vol. 1, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-160v1r1
- 2256 [12] Joint Task Force (2020) Control Baselines for Systems and Organizations. (National 2257 Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 2258 800-53B, Includes updates as of December 10, 2020. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-2259 53B
- 2260 [13] Office of Management and Budget Circular A-130, Managing Information as a Strategic 2261 Resource, July 2016. Available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-
- content/uploads/legacy drupal files/omb/circulars/A130/a130revised.pdf 2262

2285

2286

2287

2288

2289

2290

2291

2292

2293

- [14] Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2016) Guide to Enterprise Telework, Remote Access, and
   Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Security. (National Institute of Standards and
   Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-46, Rev. 2.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-46r2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-46r2</a>
- 2267 [15] Barker EB (2020) Recommendation for Key Management: Part 1 General. (National 2268 Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-57 Part 1, Rev. 5. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt1r5">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt1r5</a>
- [16] Barker EB, Barker WC (2019) Recommendation for Key Management: Part 2 Best
   Practices for Key Management Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and
   Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-57 Part 2, Rev. 1.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt2r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt2r1</a>
- [17] Barker EB, Dang QH (2015) Recommendation for Key Management, Part 3: Application Specific Key Management Guidance. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-57 Part 3, Rev. 1.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt3r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt3r1</a>
- [18] Barker EB, Dang QH, Frankel SE, Scarfone KA, Wouters P (2020) Guide to IPsec VPNs.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-77, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-77r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-77r1</a>
- [19] Frankel SE, Hoffman P, Orebaugh AD, Park R (2008) Guide to SSL VPNs. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-113. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-113
  - [20] Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2016) User's Guide to Telework and Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Security. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-114, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-114r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-114r1</a>
    - [21] Padgette J, Bahr J, Holtmann M, Batra M, Chen L, Smithbey R, Scarfone KA (2017) Guide to Bluetooth Security. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-121, Rev. 2, Includes updates as of January 19, 2022. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-121r2-upd1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-121r2-upd1</a>
    - [22] Hu VC, Ferraiolo DF, Kuhn R, Schnitzer A, Sandlin K, Miller R, Scarfone KA (2014) Guide to Attribute Based Access Control (ABAC) Definition and Considerations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-162, Includes updates as of August 2, 2019. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-162">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-162</a>
- [23] Ferraiolo DF, Hu VC, Kuhn R, Chandramouli R (2016) A Comparison of Attribute Based
   Access Control (ABAC) Standards for Data Service Applications: Extensible Access
   Control Markup Language (XACML) and Next Generation Access Control (NGAC).
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-178. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-178
- [24] Yaga DJ, Kuhn R, Hu VC (2017) Verification and Test Methods for Access Control
   Policies/Models. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST
   Special Publication (SP) 800-192. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-192">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-192</a>
- [25] Hu VC, Scarfone KA (2012) Guidelines for Access Control System Evaluation Metrics.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Interagency or
   Internal Report (IR) 7874. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.7874">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.7874</a>

- [26] Ylonen T, Turner P, Scarfone KA, Souppaya MP (2015) Security of Interactive and
   Automated Access Management Using Secure Shell (SSH). (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Interagency or Internal Report (IR) 7966.
   https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.7966
- 2310 [27] Grassi PA, Garcia ME, Fenton JL (2017) Digital Identity Guidelines. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-63-3, Includes updates as of March 2, 2020. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-63-3">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-63-3</a>
- [28] Howell G, Franklin JM, Sritapan V, Souppaya M, Scarfone K (2023) Guidelines for
   Managing the Security of Mobile Devices in the Enterprise. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-124, Rev. 2.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-124r2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-124r2</a>
- 2317 [29] Scarfone KA, Mell PM (2007) Guide to Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems
  2318 (IDPS). (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
  2319 Publication (SP) 800-94. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-94
- [30] Frankel SE, Eydt B, Owens L, Scarfone KA (2007) Establishing Wireless Robust Security Networks: A Guide to IEEE 802.11i. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-97. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-97
- [31] Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2016) User's Guide to Telework and Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Security. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-114, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-114r1
- [32] Wilson M, Hash J (2003) Building an Information Technology Security Awareness and
   Training Program. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD),
   NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-50. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-50">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-50</a>
- [33] Boyens JM, Smith A, Bartol N, Winkler K, Holbrook A, Fallon M (2022) Cybersecurity
   Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Systems and Organizations. (National
   Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP)
   800-161, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-161r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-161r1</a>
- [34] Petersen R, Santos D, Smith MC, Wetzel KA, Witte G (2020) Workforce Framework for
   Cybersecurity (NICE Framework). (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-181, Rev. 1.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-181r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-181r1</a>
- 2338 [35] Kent K, Souppaya MP (2006) Guide to Computer Security Log Management. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-92. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-92">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-92</a>
- [36] Kent K, Chevalier S, Grance T, Dang H (2006) Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques
   into Incident Response. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg,
   MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-86. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-86">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-86</a>
- 2344 [37] Ayers RP, Brothers S, Jansen W (2014) Guidelines on Mobile Device Forensics. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-101, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-101r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-101r1</a>
- [38] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2019) Security Requirements for
   Cryptographic Modules. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), Federal
   Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 140-3.
- 2350 <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.140-3</u>

- 2351 [39] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2015) Secure Hash Standard (SHS). (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 180-4. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.180-4
- [40] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2015) SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based
   Hash and Extendable-Output Functions. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington,
   D.C.), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 202.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.202">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.202</a>
- [41] Johnson LA, Dempsey KL, Ross RS, Gupta S, Bailey D (2011) Guide for Security-Focused Configuration Management of Information Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-128, Includes updates as of October 10, 2019. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-128">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-128</a>
- [42] Dempsey KL, Eavy P, Moore G (2017) Automation Support for Security Control
   Assessments: Volume 2: Hardware Asset Management. (National Institute of Standards and
   Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Interagency or Internal Report (IR) 8011, Volume 2.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8011-2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8011-2</a>
- 2366 [43] Dempsey KL, Eavy P, Goren N, Moore G (2018) Automation Support for Security Control
  2367 Assessments: Volume 3: Software Asset Management. (National Institute of Standards and
  2368 Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Interagency or Internal Report (IR) 8011, Volume 3.
  2369 <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8011-3">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8011-3</a>
- [44] Quinn SD, Souppaya MP, Cook MR, Scarfone KA (2018) National Checklist Program for
   IT Products: Guidelines for Checklist Users and Developers. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-70, Rev. 4.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-70r4">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-70r4</a>
- [45] Waltermire DA, Quinn SD, Booth H, III, Scarfone KA, Prisaca D (2018) The Technical
   Specification for the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP): SCAP Version 1.3.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-126, Rev. 3. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-126r3">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-126r3</a>
- [46] Sedgewick A, Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2015) Guide to Application Whitelisting.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-167. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-167
- [47] Cichonski PR, Millar T, Grance T, Scarfone KA (2012) Computer Security Incident
   Handling Guide. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST
   Special Publication (SP) 800-61, Rev. 2. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-61r2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-61r2</a>
- [48] Grance T, Nolan T, Burke K, Dudley R, White G, Good T (2006) Guide to Test, Training, and Exercise Programs for IT Plans and Capabilities. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-84.

  https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-84
- [49] Dempsey KL, Chawla NS, Johnson LA, Johnston R, Jones AC, Orebaugh AD, Scholl MA,
   Stine KM (2011) Information Security Continuous Monitoring (ISCM) for Federal
   Information Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-137.
   https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-137
- [50] Kissel RL, Regenscheid AR, Scholl MA, Stine KM (2014) Guidelines for Media
   Sanitization. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST
   Special Publication (SP) 800-88, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-88r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-88r1</a>

- [51] Scarfone KA, Souppaya MP, Sexton M (2007) Guide to Storage Encryption Technologies
   for End User Devices. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD),
   NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-111. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-111
- [52] Swanson MA, Bowen P, Phillips AW, Gallup D, Lynes D (2010) Contingency Planning
   Guide for Federal Information Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-34, Rev. 1, Includes updates as of
   November 11, 2010. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-34r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-34r1</a>
- [53] Barker EB, Smid ME, Branstad DK, Chokhani S (2013) A Framework for Designing
   Cryptographic Key Management Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-130.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-130">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-130</a>
- [54] Barker EB, Branstad DK, Smid ME (2015) A Profile for U.S. Federal Cryptographic Key
   Management Systems (CKMS). (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-152.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-152">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-152</a>
- [55] Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative (2012) Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-30, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-30r1
- [56] Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2022) Guide to Enterprise Patch Management Planning:
   Preventive Maintenance for Technology. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-40, Rev. 4.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-4074">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-4074</a>
- [57] Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative (2022) Assessing Security and Privacy Controls
   in Information Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53A, Rev. 5.
   https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53Ar5
- [58] Scarfone KA, Souppaya MP, Cody A, Orebaugh AD (2008) Technical Guide to Information
   Security Testing and Assessment. (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
   Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-115.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-115">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-115</a>
- [59] Joint Task Force (2018) Risk Management Framework for Information Systems and
   Organizations: A System Life Cycle Approach for Security and Privacy. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-37,
   Rev. 2. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r2
- [60] Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative (2011) Managing Information Security Risk:
   Organization, Mission, and Information System View. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-39.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-39">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-39</a>
- [61] Nieles M, Pillitteri VY, Dempsey KL (2017) An Introduction to Information Security.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-12, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-12r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-12r1</a>
- [62] Bowen P, Hash J, Wilson M (2006) Information Security Handbook: A Guide for Managers.
  (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
  Publication (SP) 800-100, Includes updates as of March 7, 2007.
- 2440 <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-100</u>

- [63] Swanson MA, Hash J, Bowen P (2006) Guide for Developing Security Plans for Federal
   Information Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD),
   NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-18, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-18r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-18r1</a>
- 2444 [64] Scarfone KA, Hoffman P (2009) Guidelines on Firewalls and Firewall Policy. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-41, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-41r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-41r1</a>
- 2447 [65] Chandramouli R (2016) Secure Virtual Network Configuration for Virtual Machine (VM) 2448 Protection. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST 2449 Special Publication (SP) 800-125B. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-125B">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-125B</a>
- [66] Rose S, Borchert O, Mitchell S, Connelly S (2017) Zero Trust Architecture. (National
   Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP)
   800-207. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-207
- [67] Sriram K, Montgomery D (2019) Resilient Interdomain Traffic Exchange: BGP Security
   and DDoS Mitigation. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD),
   NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-189. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-189">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-189</a>
- 2456 [68] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2001) Advanced Encryption Standard
  2457 (AES). (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), Federal Information Processing
  2458 Standards Publication (FIPS) 197, updated May 9, 2023.
  2459 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.197-upd1
- [69] McKay KA, Cooper DA (2019) Guidelines for the Selection, Configuration, and Use of
   Transport Layer Security (TLS) Implementations. (National Institute of Standards and
   Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-52, Rev. 2.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-52r2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-52r2</a>
- [70] Rose SW, Nightingale S, Garfinkel SL, Chandramouli R (2019) Trustworthy Email.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-177, Rev. 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-177r1">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-177r1</a>
  - [71] Jansen W, Winograd T, Scarfone KA (2008) Guidelines on Active Content and Mobile Code. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-28, Version 2. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-28ver2
- [72] Singhal A, Winograd T, Scarfone KA (2007) Guide to Secure Web Services. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP)
   800-95. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-95
- [73] Barker EB, Chen L, Roginsky A, Vassilev A, Davis R (2018) Recommendation for Pair Wise Key-Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography. (National
   Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP)
   800-56A, Rev. 3. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Ar3">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Ar3</a>
- [74] Barker EB, Chen L, Roginsky A, Vassilev A, Davis R, Simon S (2019) Recommendation
   for Pair-Wise Key-Establishment Using Integer Factorization Cryptography. (National
   Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP)
   800-56B, Rev. 2. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Br2">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Br2</a>
- [75] Barker EB, Chen L, Davis R (2020) Recommendation for Key-Derivation Methods in Key Establishment Schemes. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg,
   MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-56C, Rev. 2.
- 2484 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Cr2

- [76] Souppaya MP, Scarfone KA (2013) Guide to Malware Incident Prevention and Handling for
   Desktops and Laptops. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD),
   NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-83, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-83r1
- 2488 [77] Tracy MC, Jansen W, Scarfone KA, Butterfield J (2007) Guidelines on Electronic Mail 2489 Security. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST 2490 Special Publication (SP) 800-45, Version 2. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-45ver2
- [78] Committee on National Security Systems (2022) Committee on National Security Systems
   (CNSS) Glossary. (National Security Agency, Fort George G. Meade, MD), CNSS
   Instruction 4009. Available at <a href="https://www.cnss.gov/CNSS/issuances/Instructions.cfm">https://www.cnss.gov/CNSS/issuances/Instructions.cfm</a>
- 2494 [79] Title 44 U.S. Code, Sec. 3552, Definitions. 2017 ed. Available at
  2495 <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2017-title44/USCODE-2017-title44-chap35-subchapII-sec3552">https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2017-title44/USCODE-2017-title44-chap35-subchapII-sec3552</a>
- [80] Title 40 U.S. Code, Sec. 11331, Responsibilities for Federal information systems standards.
   2498 2017 ed. Available at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2017-title40/USCODE-2017-title40-subtitleIII-chap113-subchapIII-sec11331">https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2017-title40-subtitleIII-chap113-subchapIII-sec11331</a>
- 2500 [81] Title 44 U.S. Code, Sec. 3502, Definitions. 2017 ed. Available at
  2501 <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2021-title44/USCODE-2021-title44-2502">https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2021-title44/USCODE-2021-title44-2502</a>
  2502 <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2021-title44/USCODE-2021-title44-2502">https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2021-title44/USCODE-2021-title44-2502</a>
- [82] Chandramouli R, Rose SW (2013) Secure Domain Name System (DNS) Deployment Guide.
   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special
   Publication (SP) 800-81-2. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-81-2
- 2506 [83] Dempsey K, Pillitteri V, Regenscheid A (2021) Managing the Security of Information 2507 Exchanges. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST 2508 Special Publication (SP) 800-47, Rev. 1. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-47r1

## NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd (Final Public Draft) November 2023

2509	Appendix A. Acronyms
2510 2511	<b>CFR</b> Code of Federal Regulations
2512 2513	<b>CISA</b> Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency
2514 2515	<b>CUI</b> Controlled Unclassified Information
2516 2517	<b>CVE</b> Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
2518 2519	CVSS Common Vulnerabilities Scoring System
2520 2521	<b>CWE</b> Common Weakness Enumeration
2522 2523	<b>DMZ</b> Demilitarized Zone
2524 2525	<b>EAP</b> Extensible Authentication Protocol
2526 2527	<b>EO</b> Executive Order
2528 2529	<b>FIPS</b> Federal Information Processing Standards
2530 2531	<b>FISMA</b> Federal Information Security Modernization Act
2532 2533	FTP File Transfer Protocol
2534 2535	<b>GMT</b> Greenwich Mean Time
2536 2537	IEEE Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
2538 2539	<b>IIoT</b> Industrial Internet of Things
2540 2541	<b>IoT</b> Internet of Things
2542 2543	ISOO Information Security Oversight Office
2544 2545	IT Information Technology

#### NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd (Final Public Draft) November 2023 2546 LSI 2547 Large-Scale Integration 2548 MAC 2549 Media Access Control 2550 2551 National Archives and Records Administration 2552 2553 National Vulnerabilities Database 2554 **ODP** 2555 Organization-Defined Parameter 2556 2557 Office of Management and Budget 2558 2559 Operational Technology 2560 PII 2561 Personally Identifiable Information 2562 2563 Personal Identification Number 2564 **PROM** 2565 Programmable Read-Only Memory 2566 **ROM** 2567 Read-Only Memory 2568 2569 Security Content Automation Protocol 2570 **SCRM** 2571 Supply Chain Risk Management 2572 SP 2573 Special Publication 2574 2575 Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol

2576

2577

2578

2579

**TLS** 

**UTC** 

Transport Layer Security

Coordinated Universal Time

2580	Appendix B. Glossary
2581 2582 2583	Appendix B provides definitions for the terminology used in NIST SP 800-171. The definitions are consistent with the definitions contained in the National Information Assurance Glossary [78] unless otherwise noted.
2584 2585 2586 2587	<b>agency</b> Any executive agency or department, military department, Federal Government corporation, Federal Government-controlled corporation, or other establishment in the Executive Branch of the Federal Government, or any independent regulatory agency. [13]
2588 2589	assessment See security control assessment.
2590 2591	assessor See security control assessor.
2592 2593 2594	<ul><li>audit log</li><li>A chronological record of system activities, including records of system accesses and operations performed in a given period.</li></ul>
2595 2596	audit record An individual entry in an audit log related to an audited event.
2597 2598 2599	<b>authentication</b> Verifying the identity of a user, process, or device, often as a prerequisite to allowing access to resources in a system. Adapted from [7].
2600 2601	<b>availability</b> Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information. [79]
2602 2603 2604 2605 2606 2607 2608 2609	advanced persistent threat  An adversary that possesses sophisticated levels of expertise and significant resources which allow it to create opportunities to achieve its objectives by using multiple attack vectors including, for example, cyber, physical, and deception. These objectives typically include establishing and extending footholds within the IT infrastructure of the targeted organizations for purposes of exfiltrating information, undermining or impeding critical aspects of a mission, program, or organization; or positioning itself to carry out these objectives in the future. The advanced persistent threat pursues its objectives repeatedly over an extended period; adapts to defenders' efforts to resist it; and is determined to maintain the level of interaction needed to execute its objectives. [60]
2610 2611 2612	<b>authenticator</b> Something the claimant possesses and controls (typically a cryptographic module or password) that is used to authenticate the claimant's identity. This was previously referred to as a token.
2613 2614 2615	baseline configuration  A documented set of specifications for a system or a configuration item within a system that has been formally reviewed and agreed upon at a given point in time, and that can only be changed through change control procedures.
2616 2617 2618 2619 2620	common secure configuration Recognized, standardized, and established benchmarks that stipulate secure configuration settings for specific information technology platforms/products and instructions for configuring those system components to meet operational requirements. These benchmarks are also referred to as security configuration checklists, lockdown and hardening guides, security reference guides, and security technical implementation guides.

NIST SP 800-171r3	fpd (Final Public Draft)
November 2023	

#### 2621 confidentiality

- 2622 Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal
- privacy and proprietary information. [79]

#### 2624 configuration management

- A collection of activities focused on establishing and maintaining the integrity of information technology products
- and systems through the control of processes for initializing, changing, and monitoring the configurations of those
- products and systems throughout the system development life cycle.

## 2628 configuration settings

- The set of parameters that can be changed in hardware, software, or firmware that affect the security posture and/or
- 2630 functionality of the system.

#### 2631 controlled area

- Any area or space for which the organization has confidence that the physical and procedural protections provided
- are sufficient to meet the requirements established for protecting the information or system.

#### 2634 controlled unclassified information

- 2635 Information that law, regulation, or governmentwide policy requires to have safeguarding or disseminating controls,
- excluding information that is classified under Executive Order 13526, Classified National Security Information,
- 2637 December 29, 2009, or any predecessor or successor order, or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. [1]

## 2638 CUI Executive Agent

- The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), which implements the executive branch-wide CUI
- 2640 Program and oversees federal agency actions to comply with Executive Order 13556. NARA has delegated this
- authority to the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO). [5]

## 2642 CUI program

- The executive branch-wide program to standardize CUI handling by all federal agencies. The program includes the
- rules, organization, and procedures for CUI, established by Executive Order 13556, 32 CFR Part 2002, and the CUI
- 2645 Registry. [5]

#### 2646 CUI registry

- The online repository for all information, guidance, policy, and requirements on handling CUI, including everything
- 2648 issued by the CUI Executive Agent other than 32 CFR Part 2002. Among other information, the CUI Registry
- 2649 identifies all approved CUI categories, provides general descriptions for each, identifies the basis for controls,
- establishes markings, and includes guidance on handling procedures. [5]

## 2651 cyber-physical systems

- 2652 Interacting digital, analog, physical, and human components engineered for function through integrated physics and
- 2653 logic.

## 2654 executive agency

- An executive department specified in 5 U.S.C. Sec. 101; a military department specified in 5 U.S.C. Sec. 102; an
- independent establishment as defined in 5 U.S.C. Sec. 104(1); and a wholly owned Government corporation fully
- subject to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Chapter 91.

## 2658 external system (or component)

- A system or component of a system that is outside of the authorization boundary established by the organization and
- for which the organization typically has no direct control over the application of required security controls or the
- assessment of security control effectiveness.

2662 2663 2664 2665	<b>external system service</b> A system service that is implemented outside of the authorization boundary of the organizational system (i.e., a service that is used by but not a part of the organizational system) and for which the organization typically has no direct control over the application of required security controls or the assessment of security control effectiveness.
2666 2667	external network A network not controlled by the organization.
2668 2669 2670	<b>facility</b> One or more physical locations containing systems or system components that process, store, or transmit information.
2671 2672	federal agency See executive agency.
2673 2674 2675	<b>federal information system</b> An information system used or operated by an executive agency, by a contractor of an executive agency, or by another organization on behalf of an executive agency. [80]
2676 2677 2678 2679 2680	FIPS-validated cryptography A cryptographic module validated by the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) to meet the requirements specified in FIPS Publication 140-2 (as amended). As a prerequisite to CMVP validation, the cryptographic module is required to employ a cryptographic algorithm implementation that has successfully passed validation testing by the Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program (CAVP). See NSA-approved cryptography.
2681 2682 2683 2684	<b>firmware</b> Computer programs and data stored in hardware – typically in read-only memory (ROM) or programmable read-only memory (PROM) – such that the programs and data cannot be dynamically written or modified during execution of the programs. See <i>hardware</i> and <i>software</i> . [78]
2685 2686	hardware The material physical components of a system. See <i>software</i> and <i>firmware</i> . [78]
2687 2688 2689	<b>identifier</b> Unique data used to represent a person's identity and associated attributes. A name or a card number are examples of identifiers.
2690	A unique label used by a system to indicate a specific entity, object, or group.
2691 2692 2693 2694 2695	<b>impact</b> With respect to security, the effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, or the Nation (including the national security interests of the United States) of a loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability of information or a system. With respect to privacy, the adverse effects that individuals could experience when an information system processes their PII.
2696 2697 2698	impact value The assessed worst-case potential impact that could result from a compromise of the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of information expressed as a value of low, moderate or high. [6]
2699 2700 2701 2702	incident  An occurrence that actually or imminently jeopardizes, without lawful authority, the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of information or an information system; or constitutes a violation or imminent threat of violation of law, security policies, security procedures, or acceptable use policies. [79]

27	70	) 7	l i	in	fο	rm	a	fi	^	n
<b>~</b> .	/ι	"	, ,		ıu		ıa	LI	u	

- Any communication or representation of knowledge such as facts, data, or opinions in any medium or form,
- including textual, numerical, graphic, cartographic, narrative, electronic, or audiovisual forms. [13]

#### 2706 information flow control

2707 Procedure to ensure that information transfers within a system do not violate the security policy.

#### 2708 information resources

2709 Information and related resources, such as personnel, equipment, funds, and information technology. [81]

## 2710 information security

- The protection of information and systems from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or
- destruction in order to provide confidentiality, integrity, and availability. [79]

## 2713 information system

- A discrete set of information resources organized for the collection, processing, maintenance, use, sharing,
- dissemination, or disposition of information. [81]

## 2716 information technology

- Any services, equipment, or interconnected system(s) or subsystem(s) of equipment, that are used in the automatic
- acquisition, storage, analysis, evaluation, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching,
- interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information by the agency. For purposes of this definition, such
- services or equipment if used by the agency directly or is used by a contractor under a contract with the agency that
- requires its use; or to a significant extent, its use in the performance of a service or the furnishing of a product.
- 2722 Information technology includes computers, ancillary equipment (including imaging peripherals, input, output, and
- storage devices necessary for security and surveillance), peripheral equipment designed to be controlled by the
- central processing unit of a computer, software, firmware and similar procedures, services (including cloud
- computing and help-desk services or other professional services which support any point of the life cycle of the
- equipment or service), and related resources. Information technology does not include any equipment that is
- acquired by a contractor incidental to a contract which does not require its use. [13]

#### insider threat

- The threat that an insider will use her/his authorized access, wittingly or unwittingly, to do harm to the security of
- 2730 the United States. This threat can include damage to the United States through espionage, terrorism, unauthorized
- disclosure, or through the loss or degradation of departmental resources or capabilities.

### 2732 integrity

- 2733 Guarding against improper information modification or destruction and includes ensuring information non-
- repudiation and authenticity. [79]

#### 2735 internal network

- A network in which the establishment, maintenance, and provisioning of security controls are under the direct
- 2737 control of organizational employees or contractors or in which the cryptographic encapsulation or similar security
- technology implemented between organization-controlled endpoints provides the same effect (with regard to
- confidentiality and integrity). An internal network is typically organization-owned yet may be organization-
- 2740 controlled while not being organization-owned.

### 2741 least privilege

- The principle that a security architecture is designed so that each entity is granted the minimum system
- 2743 authorizations and resources needed to perform its function.

#### 2744 malicious code

- 2745 Software or firmware intended to perform an unauthorized process that will have an adverse impact on the
- confidentiality, integrity, or availability of a system. Examples of malicious code include viruses, worms, Trojan
- horses, spyware, some forms of adware, or other code-based entities that infect a host.

2.7	748	media	
_ ,	<i>,</i> TO	IIICUIU	

- 2749 Physical devices or writing surfaces including, but not limited to, magnetic tapes, optical disks, magnetic disks,
- 2750 Large-Scale Integration (LSI) memory chips, and printouts (but not including display media) onto which
- information is recorded, stored, or printed within a system. [7]

#### 2752 mobile code

- 2753 Software programs or parts of programs obtained from remote systems, transmitted across a network, and executed
- on a local system without explicit installation or execution by the recipient.

### 2755 mobile device

- A portable computing device that has a small form factor such that it can easily be carried by a single individual; is
- designed to operate without a physical connection (e.g., wirelessly transmit or receive information); possesses local,
- 2758 non-removable, or removable data storage; and includes a self-contained power source. Mobile devices may also
- include voice communication capabilities, on-board sensors that allow the devices to capture information, or built-in
- features that synchronize local data with remote locations. Examples include smartphones, tablets, and e-readers.

#### 2761 multi-factor authentication

- Authentication using two or more different factors to achieve authentication. Factors include something you know
- 2763 (e.g., PIN, password), something you have (e.g., cryptographic identification device, token), or something you are
- 2764 (e.g., biometric). See *authenticator*.

#### 2765 network

- A system implemented with a collection of interconnected components. Such components may include routers,
- hubs, cabling, telecommunications controllers, key distribution centers, and technical control devices.

#### 2768 network access

- Access to a system by a user (or a process acting on behalf of a user) communicating through a network (e.g., local
- area network, wide area network, the internet).

#### 2771 nonfederal organization

An entity that owns, operates, or maintains a nonfederal system.

# 2773 nonfederal system2774 A system that does not

A system that does not meet the criteria for a federal system.

#### 2775 nonlocal maintenance

- 2776 Maintenance activities conducted by individuals communicating through an external network (e.g., the internet) or
- an internal network.

## NSA-approved cryptography

- 2779 Cryptography that consists of an approved algorithm, an implementation that has been approved for the protection of
- classified information and/or controlled unclassified information in a specific environment, and a supporting key
- 2781 management infrastructure. [8]

## 2782 on behalf of (an agency)

- A situation that occurs when: (i) a non-executive branch entity uses or operates an information system or maintains
- or collects information for the purpose of processing, storing, or transmitting Federal information; and (ii) those
- activities are not incidental to providing a service or product to the government. [5]

#### 2786 organization

An entity of any size, complexity, or positioning within an organizational structure. Adapted from [7]

## 2788 organization-defined parameter

- The variable part of a security requirement that is instantiated by an organization during the tailoring process by
- assigning an organization-defined value as part of the requirement. Adapted from [8].

2791	overlay
------	---------

- A specification of security or privacy controls, control enhancements, supplemental guidance, and other supporting
- information employed during the tailoring process, that is intended to complement (and further refine) security
- 2794 control baselines. The overlay specification may be more stringent or less stringent than the original security control
- baseline specification and can be applied to multiple information systems. [13]

## personnel security

- The discipline of assessing the conduct, integrity, judgment, loyalty, reliability, and stability of individuals for duties
- and responsibilities requiring trustworthiness. [8]

## 2799 portable storage device

- A system component that can be inserted into and removed from a system and that is used to store information or
- data (e.g., text, video, audio, and/or image data). Such components are typically implemented on magnetic, optical,
- or solid-state devices (e.g., floppy disks, compact/digital video disks, flash/thumb drives, external hard disk drives,
- 2803 flash memory cards/drives that contain nonvolatile memory).

#### 2804 potential impact

- The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have: (i) a limited adverse effect (FIPS
- Publication 199 low); (ii) a serious adverse effect (FIPS Publication 199 moderate); or (iii) a severe or catastrophic
- adverse effect (FIPS Publication 199 high) on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. [6]

## 2808 privileged account

A system account with the authorizations of a privileged user.

#### 2810 privileged user

- A user who is authorized (and therefore, trusted) to perform security-relevant functions that ordinary users are not
- authorized to perform.
- 2813 records
- The recordings (automated and/or manual) of evidence of activities performed or results achieved (e.g., forms,
- reports, test results) that serve as a basis for verifying that the organization and the system are performing as
- 2816 intended. Also used to refer to units of related data fields (i.e., groups of data fields that can be accessed by a
- program and that contain a complete set of information on particular items).
- 2818 remote access
- Access to an organizational system by a user (or a process acting on behalf of a user) communicating through an
- external network (e.g., the internet). Remote access methods include dial-up, broadband, and wireless.

#### 2821 remote maintenance

- Maintenance activities conducted by individuals communicating through an external network (e.g., the internet).
- 2823 replay resistant
- Protection against the capture of transmitted authentication or access control information and its subsequent
- retransmission with the intent of producing an unauthorized effect or gaining unauthorized access.
- 2826 risk
- A measure of the extent to which an entity is threatened by a potential circumstance or event, and typically is a
- 2828 function of: (i) the adverse impact, or magnitude of harm, that would arise if the circumstance or event occurs; and
- 2829 (ii) the likelihood of occurrence. [13]

#### 2830 risk assessment

- The process of identifying risks to organizational operations (including mission, functions, image, reputation),
- organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation, resulting from the operation of a system. [55]

2833 2834 2835	<b>sanitization</b> Actions taken to render data written on media unrecoverable by ordinary and — for some forms of sanitization — extraordinary means.
2836 2837	A process to remove information from media such that data recovery is not possible, including the removal of all classified labels, markings, and activity logs.
2838 2839 2840 2841 2842	<b>security</b> A condition that results from the establishment and maintenance of protective measures that enable an organization to perform its mission or critical functions despite risks posed by threats to its use of systems. Protective measures may involve a combination of deterrence, avoidance, prevention, detection, recovery, and correction that should form part of the organization's risk management approach. [78]
2843 2844	security assessment See security control assessment.
2845 2846 2847	<b>security control</b> The safeguards or countermeasures prescribed for an information system or an organization to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the system and its information. [13]
2848 2849 2850 2851	security control assessment  The testing or evaluation of security controls to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security requirements for an information system or organization. [13]
2852 2853	<b>security domain</b> A domain that implements a security policy and is administered by a single authority. Adapted from [78]
2854 2855 2856	<b>security functions</b> The hardware, software, or firmware of the system responsible for enforcing the system security policy and supporting the isolation of code and data on which the protection is based.
2857 2858 2859 2860	<b>security requirement</b> A requirement levied on a system or an organization that is derived from applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies, standards, procedures, or mission/business needs to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information that is being processed, stored, or transmitted. Adapted from [7] and [8].
2861 2862 2863 2864 2865	<b>split tunneling</b> The process of allowing a remote user or device to establish a non-remote connection with a system and simultaneously communicate via some other connection to a resource in an external network. This method of network access enables a user to access remote devices (e.g., a networked printer) at the same time as accessing uncontrolled networks.
2866 2867	system See information system.
2868 2869 2870	<b>system component</b> A discrete identifiable information technology asset that represents a building block of a system and may include hardware, software, and firmware. [41]
2871 2872 2873 2874	system security plan  A document that describes how an organization meets or plans to meet the security requirements for a system. In particular, the system security plan describes the system boundary, the environment in which the system operates, how the security requirements are implemented, and the relationships with or connections to other systems.

NIST SP 800-171r3 fpd (Final Public Draft)	Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information
November 2023	

2875 2876	<b>system service</b> A capability provided by a system that facilitates information processing, storage, or transmission.			
2877	threat			
2878	Any circumstance or event with the potential to adversely impact organizational operations, organizational assets			
2879	individuals, other organizations, or the Nation through a system via unauthorized access, destruction, disclosure,			
2880	modification of information, and/or denial of service. [55]			
2001	avetem upor			

**system user** An individual or (system) process acting on behalf of an individual that is authorized to access a system.

## Appendix C. Tailoring Criteria

This appendix describes the security control tailoring criteria used to develop the CUI security requirements. Table 2 lists the available tailoring options and the shorthand tailoring symbols. Table 3 through Table 22 specify the tailoring actions applied to the controls in the NIST SP 800-53 moderate baseline [12] to obtain the security requirements in Section 3. The controls and control enhancements are hyperlinked to the NIST Cybersecurity and Privacy Reference Tool, which provides online access to the specific control language and supplemental materials in NIST SP 800-53.

 Table 2. Security control tailoring criteria

TAILORING SYMBOL	TAILORING CRITERIA
NCO	The control is not directly related to protecting the confidentiality of CUI.
FED	The control is primarily the responsibility of the Federal Government.
ORC	The outcome of the control relating to the protection of confidentiality of CUI is adequately covered by other related controls. 16
N/A	The control is not applicable.
CUI	The control is directly related to protecting the confidentiality of CUI.

2892

2883

2884

2885

2886

2887

2888 2889

2890

2891

Table 3. Access Control (AC)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>AC-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>AC-02</u>	Account Management	CUI	<u>03.01.01</u>
AC-02(01)	Account Management   Automated System Account Management	NCO	_
AC-02(02)	Account Management   Automated Temporary and Emergency Account Management	NCO	_
AC-02(03)	Account Management   Disable Accounts	CUI	<u>03.01.01</u>
AC-02(04)	Account Management   Automated Audit Actions	NCO	_
AC-02(05)	Account Management   Inactivity Logout	ORC	_
AC-02(13)	Account Management   Disable Accounts for High-Risk Individuals	CUI	<u>03.01.01</u>
<u>AC-03</u>	Access Enforcement	CUI	<u>03.01.02</u>
<u>AC-04</u>	Information Flow Enforcement	CUI	<u>03.01.03</u>
<u>AC-05</u>	Separation of Duties	CUI	<u>03.01.04</u>
<u>AC-06</u>	Least Privilege	CUI	<u>03.01.05</u>
AC-06(01)	Least Privilege   Authorize Access to Security Functions	CUI	<u>03.01.05</u>
AC-06(02)	Least Privilege   Non-Privileged Access for Nonsecurity Functions	CUI	<u>03.01.06</u>
AC-06(05)	Least Privilege   Privileged Accounts	CUI	<u>03.01.06</u>
AC-06(07)	Least Privilege   Review of User Privileges	CUI	<u>03.01.05</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The security controls in NIST SP 800-53 provide a comprehensive set of security capabilities needed to protect organizational systems that taken together, support the concept of defense-in-depth. As such, some of the security controls may address similar or overlapping security topics that are covered by other related controls. These controls have been designated as ORC in the tailoring criteria.

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
AC-06(09)	Least Privilege   Log Use of Privileged Functions	CUI	03.01.07
AC-06(10)	Least Privilege   Prohibit Non-Privileged Users from Executing Privileged Functions	CUI	03.01.07
<u>AC-07</u>	Unsuccessful Logon Attempts	CUI	<u>03.01.08</u>
<u>AC-08</u>	System Use Notification	CUI	<u>03.01.09</u>
<u>AC-11</u>	Device Lock	CUI	<u>03.01.10</u>
AC-11(01)	Device Lock   Pattern-Hiding Displays	CUI	<u>03.01.10</u>
<u>AC-12</u>	Session Termination	CUI	<u>03.01.11</u>
<u>AC-14</u>	Permitted Actions Without Identification or Authentication	FED	_
<u>AC-17</u>	Remote Access	CUI	<u>03.01.02</u>
AC-17(01)	Remote Access   Monitoring and Control	NCO	_
AC-17(02)	Remote Access   Protection of Confidentiality and Integrity Using Encryption	CUI	03.13.08
AC-17(03)	Remote Access   Managed Access Control Points	CUI	<u>03.01.12</u>
AC-17(04)	Remote Access   Privileged Commands and Access	CUI	<u>03.01.12</u>
<u>AC-18</u>	Wireless Access	CUI	<u>03.01.16</u>
AC-18(01)	Wireless Access   Authentication and Encryption	ORC	_
AC-18(03)	Wireless Access   Disable Wireless Networking	CUI	<u>03.01.16</u>
<u>AC-19</u>	Access Control for Mobile Devices	CUI	<u>03.01.18</u>
AC-19(05)	Access Control for Mobile Devices   Full Device or Container-Based Encryption	CUI	03.01.18
<u>AC-20</u>	Use of External Systems	CUI	03.01.20
AC-20(01)	Use of External Systems   Limits on Authorized Use	CUI	<u>03.01.20</u>
AC-20(02)	Use of External Systems   Portable Storage Devices – Restricted Use	CUI	<u>03.01.20</u>
<u>AC-21</u>	Information Sharing	FED	_
<u>AC-22</u>	Publicly Accessible Content	CUI	03.01.22

2895

Table 4. Awareness and Training (AT)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>AT-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>AT-02</u>	Literacy Training and Awareness	CUI	<u>03.02.01</u>
AT-02(02)	Literacy Training and Awareness   Insider Threat	CUI	<u>03.02.01</u>
AT-02(03)	Literacy Training and Awareness   Social Engineering and Mining	CUI	<u>03.02.01</u>
<u>AT-03</u>	Role-Based Training	CUI	03.02.02
AT-04	Training Records	NCO	_

2896

Table 5. Audit and Accountability (AU)

		NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
/	<u> 4U-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	03.15.01

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>AU-02</u>	Event Logging	CUI	<u>03.03.01</u>
<u>AU-03</u>	Content of Audit Records	CUI	<u>03.03.02</u>
AU-03(01)	Additional Audit Information	CUI	<u>03.03.02</u>
<u>AU-04</u>	Audit Log Storage Capacity	NCO	_
<u>AU-05</u>	Response to Audit Logging Process Failures	CUI	<u>03.03.04</u>
<u>AU-06</u>	Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting	CUI	<u>03.03.05</u>
<u>AU-06(01)</u>	Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting   Automated Process Integration	NCO	_
<u>AU-06(03)</u>	Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting   Correlate Audit Record Repositories	CUI	<u>03.03.05</u>
<u>AU-07</u>	Audit Record Reduction and Report Generation	CUI	<u>03.03.06</u>
AU-07(01)	Audit Record Reduction and Report Generation   Automatic Processing	NCO	_
<u>AU-08</u>	Time Stamps	CUI	03.03.07
<u>AU-09</u>	Protection of Audit Information	CUI	03.03.08
AU-09(04)	Protection of Audit Information   Access by Subset of Privileged Users	CUI	03.03.08
<u>AU-11</u>	Audit Record Retention	CUI	03.03.03
<u>AU-12</u>	Audit Record Generation	CUI	<u>03.03.03</u>

2899

Table 6. Assessment, Authorization, and Monitoring (CA)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>CA-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>CA-02</u>	Control Assessments	CUI	<u>03.12.01</u>
<u>CA-02(01)</u>	Control Assessments   Independent Assessors	NCO	_
<u>CA-03</u>	Information Exchange	CUI	<u>03.12.05</u>
<u>CA-05</u>	Plan of Action and Milestones	CUI	<u>03.12.02</u>
<u>CA-06</u>	Authorization	FED	_
<u>CA-07</u>	Continuous Monitoring	CUI	<u>03.12.03</u>
<u>CA-07(01)</u>	Continuous Monitoring   Independent Assessment	NCO	_
<u>CA-07(04)</u>	Continuous Monitoring   Risk Monitoring	NCO	_
<u>CA-09</u>	Internal System Connections	NCO	_

2900

Table 7. Configuration Management (CM)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>CM-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>CM-02</u>	Baseline Configuration	CUI	<u>03.04.01</u>
CM-02(02)	Baseline Configuration   Automation Support for Accuracy and Currency	NCO	
CM-02(03)	Baseline Configuration   Retention of Previous Configurations	NCO	_
CM-02(07)	Baseline Configuration   Configure Systems and Components for High-	CUI	03.04.12
	Risk Areas		

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>CM-03</u>	Configuration Change Control	CUI	03.04.03
<u>CM-03(02)</u>	Configuration Change Control   Testing, Validation, and Documentation of Changes	NCO	_
CM-03(04)	Configuration Change Control   Security and Privacy Representatives	NCO	_
<u>CM-04</u>	Impact Analyses	CUI	03.04.04
CM-04(02)	Impact Analyses   Verification of Controls	ORC	_
<u>CM-05</u>	Access Restrictions for Change	CUI	03.04.05
<u>CM-06</u>	Configuration Settings	CUI	03.04.02
<u>CM-07</u>	Least Functionality	CUI	03.04.06
CM-07(01)	Least Functionality   Periodic Review	CUI	03.04.06
CM-07(02)	Least Functionality   Prevent Program Execution	ORC	_
CM-07(05)	Least Functionality   Authorized Software – Allow by Exception	CUI	03.04.08
<u>CM-08</u>	System Component Inventory	CUI	03.04.10
<u>CM-08(01)</u>	System Component Inventory   Updates During Installation and Removal	CUI	03.04.10
<u>CM-08(03)</u>	System Component Inventory   Automated Unauthorized Component Detection	NCO	_
<u>CM-09</u>	Configuration Management Plan	NCO	_
<u>CM-10</u>	Software Usage Restrictions	NCO	_
<u>CM-11</u>	User-Installed Software	ORC	_
<u>CM-12</u>	Information Location	CUI	03.04.11
CM-12(01)	Information Location   Automated Tools to Support Information Location	NCO	_

Table 8. Contingency Planning (CP)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>CP-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	NCO	_
<u>CP-02</u>	Contingency Plan	NCO	_
<u>CP-02(01)</u>	Contingency Plan   Coordinate with Related Plans	NCO	_
<u>CP-02(03)</u>	Contingency Plan   Resume Mission and Business Functions	NCO	_
CP-02(08)	Contingency Plan   Identify Critical Assets	NCO	_
<u>CP-03</u>	Contingency Training	NCO	_
<u>CP-04</u>	Contingency Plan Testing	NCO	_
CP-04(01)	Contingency Plan Testing   Coordinate Related Plans	NCO	_
<u>CP-06</u>	Alternate Storage Site	NCO	_
CP-06(01)	Alternate Storage Site   Separation of Primary Site	NCO	_
CP-06(03)	Alternate Storage Site   Accessibility	NCO	_
<u>CP-07</u>	Alternate Processing Site	NCO	_
CP-07(01)	Alternate Processing Site   Separation of Primary Site	NCO	_
CP-07(02)	Alternate Processing Site   Accessibility	NCO	_
CP-07(03)	Alternate Processing Site   Priority of Service	NCO	_
<u>CP-08</u>	Telecommunications Services	NCO	_

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
CP-08(01)	Telecommunications Services   Priority of Service Provisions	NCO	1
CP-08(02)	Telecommunications Services   Single Points of Failure	NCO	_
<u>CP-09</u>	System Backup	NCO	_
CP-09(01)	System Backup   Testing for Reliability and Integrity	NCO	_
CP-09(08)	System Backup   Cryptographic Protection	CUI	03.08.09
<u>CP-10</u>	System Recovery and Reconstitution	NCO	_
<u>CP-10(02)</u>	System Recovery and Reconstitution   Transaction Recovery	NCO	_

Table 9. Identification and Authentication (IA)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>IA-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>IA-02</u>	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)	CUI	<u>03.05.01</u>
<u>IA-02(01)</u>	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)   Multi-Factor Authentication to Privileged Accounts	CUI	03.05.03
<u>IA-02(02)</u>	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)   Multi-Factor Authentication to Non-Privileged Accounts	CUI	03.05.03
IA-02(08)	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)   Access to Accounts – Replay Resistant	CUI	03.05.04
IA-02(12)	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)   Acceptance of PIV Credentials	FED	_
<u>IA-03</u>	Device Identification and Authentication	CUI	03.05.02
<u>IA-04</u>	Identifier Management	CUI	03.05.05
IA-04(04)	Identifier Management   Identify User Status	CUI	03.05.05
IA-05	Authenticator Management	CUI	03.05.12
IA-05(01)	Authenticator Management   Password-Based Authentication	CUI	03.05.07
<u>IA-05(02)</u>	Authenticator Management   Public Key-Based Authentication	FED	_
<u>IA-05(06)</u>	Authenticator Management   Protection of Authenticators	ORC	_
<u>IA-06</u>	Authentication Feedback	CUI	<u>03.05.11</u>
<u>IA-07</u>	Cryptographic Module Authentication	FED	_
<u>IA-08</u>	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)	FED	_
<u>IA-08(01)</u>	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)   Acceptance of PIV Credentials from Other Agencies	FED	_
<u>IA-08(02)</u>	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)   Acceptance of External Authenticators	FED	_
IA-08(04)	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)   Use of Defined Profiles	FED	_
<u>IA-11</u>	Re-Authentication	CUI	03.05.01
<u>IA-12</u>	Identity Proofing	FED	_
IA-12(02)	Identity Proofing   Identity Evidence	FED	_
IA-12(03)	Identity Proofing   Identity Evidence Validation and Verification	FED	_
IA-12(05)	Identity Proofing   Address Confirmation	FED	_

2905

Table 10. Incident Response (IR)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>IR-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>IR-02</u>	Incident Response Training	CUI	<u>03.06.04</u>
<u>IR-03</u>	Incident Response Testing	CUI	<u>03.06.03</u>
IR-03(02)	Incident Response Testing   Coordinate with Related Plans	NCO	_
<u>IR-04</u>	Incident Handling	CUI	<u>03.06.01</u>
IR-04(01)	Incident Handling   Automated Incident Handling Processes	NCO	_
IR-05	Incident Monitoring	CUI	03.06.02
<u>IR-06</u>	Incident Reporting	CUI	03.06.02
IR-06(01)	Incident Reporting   Automated Reporting	NCO	_
IR-06(03)	Incident Reporting   Supply Chain Coordination	NCO	_
<u>IR-07</u>	Incident Response Assistance	CUI	03.06.02
IR-07(01)	Incident Response Assistance   Automation Support for Availability of Information and Support	NCO	_
<u>IR-08</u>	Incident Response Plan	CUI	<u>03.06.01</u>

2908

2909

Table 11. Maintenance (MA)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>MA-01</u>	System Maintenance Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
MA-02	Controlled Maintenance	NCO	_
<u>MA-03</u>	Maintenance Tools	CUI	03.07.04
MA-03(01)	Maintenance Tools   Inspect Tools	CUI	03.07.04
MA-03(02)	Maintenance Tools   Inspect Media	CUI	03.07.04
MA-03(03)	Maintenance Tools   Prevent Unauthorized Removal	CUI	03.07.04
MA-04	Nonlocal Maintenance	CUI	<u>03.07.05</u>
MA-04(02)	Nonlocal Maintenance   Document Nonlocal Maintenance	NCO	_
<u>MA-05</u>	Maintenance Personnel	CUI	<u>03.07.06</u>
MA-06	Timely Maintenance	NCO	_

2910

Table 12. Media Protection (MP)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>MP-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>MP-02</u>	Media Access	CUI	<u>03.08.02</u>
<u>MP-03</u>	Media Marking	CUI	<u>03.08.04</u>
<u>MP-04</u>	Media Storage	CUI	<u>03.08.01</u>
MP-05	Media Transport	CUI	<u>03.08.05</u>
MP-06	Media Sanitization	CUI	03.08.03
MP-07	Media Use	CUI	03.08.07

Table 13. Physical and Environmental Protection (PE)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>PE-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>PE-02</u>	Physical Access Authorizations	CUI	<u>03.10.01</u>
<u>PE-03</u>	Physical Access Control	CUI	<u>03.10.07</u>
<u>PE-04</u>	Access Control for Transmission	CUI	<u>03.10.08</u>
<u>PE-05</u>	Access Control for Output Devices	CUI	<u>03.10.08</u>
<u>PE-06</u>	Monitoring Physical Access	CUI	03.10.02
PE-06(01)	Monitoring Physical Access   Intrusion Alarms and Surveillance Equipment	NCO	_
PE-08	Visitor Access Records	NCO	_
PE-09	Power Equipment and Cabling	NCO	_
PE-10	Emergency Shutoff	NCO	_
PE-11	Emergency Power	NCO	_
PE-12	Emergency Lighting	NCO	_
PE-13	Fire Protection	NCO	_
PE-13(01)	Fire Protection   Detection Systems – Automatic Activation and Notification	NCO	_
PE-14	Environmental Controls	NCO	_
PE-15	Water Damage Protection	NCO	_
PE-16	Delivery and Removal	NCO	_
<u>PE-17</u>	Alternate Work Site	CUI	<u>03.10.06</u>

2913

2914

Table 14. Planning (PL)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
PL-01	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>PL-02</u>	System Security and Privacy Plans	CUI	03.15.02
<u>PL-04</u>	Rules of Behavior	CUI	03.15.03
PL-04(01)	Rules of Behavior   Social Media and External Site/Application Usage Restrictions	NCO	_
PL-08	Security and Privacy Architectures	NCO	_
<u>PL-10</u>	Baseline Selection	FED	_
PL-11	Baseline Tailoring	FED	_

2915

Table 15. Program Management (PM)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>PM-01</u>	Information Security Program Plan	N/A	_
<u>PM-02</u>	Information Security Program Leadership Role	N/A	_
<u>PM-03</u>	Information Security and Privacy Resources	N/A	_

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
PM-04	Plan of Action and Milestones Process	N/A	_
PM-05	System Inventory	N/A	_
PM-05(01)	System Inventory   Inventory of Personally Identifiable Information	N/A	_
PM-06	Measures of Performance	N/A	_
PM-07	Enterprise Architecture	N/A	_
PM-07(01)	Enterprise Architecture   Offloading	N/A	_
PM-08	Critical Infrastructure Plan	N/A	_
PM-09	Risk Management Strategy	N/A	_
PM-10	Authorization Process	N/A	_
PM-11	Mission and Business Process Definition	N/A	_
PM-12	Insider Threat Program	N/A	_
PM-13	Security and Privacy Workforce	N/A	_
PM-14	Testing, Training, and Monitoring	N/A	_
PM-15	Security and Privacy Groups and Associations	N/A	_
PM-16	Threat Awareness Program	N/A	_
PM-16(01)	Threat Awareness Program   Automated Means for Sharing Threat Intelligence	N/A	_
PM-17	Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information on External Systems	N/A	_
PM-18	Privacy Program Plan	N/A	_
PM-19	Privacy Program Leadership Role	N/A	_
PM-20	Dissemination of Privacy Program Information	N/A	_
PM-20(01)	Dissemination of Privacy Program Information   Privacy Policies on Websites, Applications, and Digital Services	N/A	_
PM-21	Accounting of Disclosures	N/A	_
PM-22	Personally Identifiable Information Quality Management	N/A	_
PM-23	Data Governance Body	N/A	_
PM-24	Data Integrity Board	N/A	_
PM-25	Minimization of PII Used in Testing, Training, and Research	N/A	_
PM-26	Complaint Management	N/A	_
<u>PM-27</u>	Privacy Reporting	N/A	_
<u>PM-28</u>	Risk Framing	N/A	
<u>PM-29</u>	Risk Management Program Leadership Roles	N/A	
<u>PM-30</u>	Supply Chain Risk Management Strategy	N/A	_
PM-30(01)	Supply Chain Risk Management Strategy   Suppliers of Critical or Mission-Essential Items	N/A	_
PM-31	Continuous Monitoring Strategy	N/A	_
PM-32	Purposing	N/A	_

Table 16. Personnel Security (PS)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>PS-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
PS-02	Position Risk Designation	FED	_

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
PS-03	Personnel Screening	CUI	03.09.01
<u>PS-04</u>	Personnel Termination	CUI	03.09.02
<u>PS-05</u>	Personnel Transfer	CUI	03.09.02
<u>PS-06</u>	Access Agreements	ORC	_
<u>PS-07</u>	External Personnel Security	ORC	_
<u>PS-08</u>	Personnel Sanctions	NCO	_
<u>PS-09</u>	Position Descriptions	FED	_

2920

Table 17. PII Processing and Transparency (PT)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
PT-01	Policy and Procedures	N/A	_
<u>PT-02</u>	Authority to Process Personally Identifiable Information	N/A	_
PT-02(01)	Authority to Process Personally Identifiable Information   Data Tagging	N/A	_
PT-02(02)	Authority to Process Personally Identifiable Information   Automation	N/A	_
<u>PT-03</u>	Personally Identifiable Information Processing Purposes	N/A	_
PT-03(01)	Personally Identifiable Information Processing Purposes   Data Tagging	N/A	_
PT-03(02)	Personally Identifiable Information Processing Purposes   Automation	N/A	_
PT-04	Consent	N/A	_
PT-04(01)	Consent   Tailored Consent	N/A	_
PT-04(02)	Consent   Just-in-Time Consent	N/A	_
PT-04(03)	Consent   Revocation	N/A	_
PT-05	Privacy Notice	N/A	_
PT-05(01)	Privacy Notice   Just-in-Time Notice	N/A	_
PT-05(02)	Privacy Notice   Privacy Act Statements	N/A	_
<u>PT-06</u>	System of Records Notice	N/A	_
PT-06(01)	System of Records Notice   Routine Uses	N/A	_
PT-06(02)	System of Records Notice   Exemption Rules	N/A	_
<u>PT-07</u>	Specific Categories of Personally Identifiable Information	N/A	_
PT-07(01)	Specific Categories of Personally Identifiable Information   Social Security Numbers	N/A	_
PT-07(02)	Specific Categories of Personally Identifiable Information   First Amendment Information	N/A	_
PT-08	Computer Matching Requirements	N/A	_

2921

Table 18. Risk Assessment (RA)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>RA-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>RA-02</u>	Security Categorization	FED	_

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>RA-03</u>	Risk Assessment	CUI	<u>03.11.01</u>
RA-03(01)	Risk Assessment   Supply Chain Risk Assessment	CUI	<u>03.11.01</u>
<u>RA-05</u>	Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning	CUI	<u>03.11.02</u>
RA-05(02)	Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning   Update Vulnerabilities to be Scanned	CUI	<u>03.11.02</u>
RA-05(05)	Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning   Privileged Access	ORC	_
RA-05(11)	Vulnerability Monitoring and Scanning   Public Disclosure Program	NCO	_
<u>RA-07</u>	Risk Response	ORC	_
<u>RA-09</u>	Criticality Analysis	NCO	_

2924

Table 19. System and Services Acquisition (SA)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>SA-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	03.15.01
SA-02	Allocation of Resources	NCO	_
SA-03	System Development Life Cycle	NCO	_
<u>SA-04</u>	Acquisition Process	CUI	03.16.01
SA-04(01)	Acquisition Process   Functional Properties of Controls	NCO	_
SA-04(02)	Acquisition Process   Design and Implementation Information for Controls	NCO	_
SA-04(09)	Acquisition Process   Functions, Ports, Protocols, and Services in Use	NCO	_
SA-04(10)	Acquisition Process   Use of Approved PIV Products	FED	_
<u>SA-05</u>	System Documentation	NCO	_
SA-08	Security and Privacy Engineering Principles	NCO	_
SA-09	External System Services	CUI	03.16.03
SA-09(02)	External System Services   Identification of Functions, Ports, Protocols, and Services	NCO	_
SA-10	Developer Configuration Management	ORC	_
<u>SA-11</u>	Developer Testing and Evaluation	ORC	_
<u>SA-15</u>	Development Process, Standards, and Tools	ORC	_
SA-15(03)	Development Process, Standards, and Tools   Criticality Analysis	NCO	_
SA-22	Unsupported System Components	CUI	03.16.02

2925

Table 20. System and Communications Protection (SC)

NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE		TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>SC-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>SC-02</u>	Separation of System and User Functionality	ORC	_
<u>SC-04</u>	Information in Shared System Resources	CUI	<u>03.13.04</u>
<u>SC-05</u>	Denial-of-Service Protection	NCO	_
<u>SC-07</u>	Boundary Protection	CUI	<u>03.13.01</u>

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
SC-07(03)	Boundary Protection   Access Points	ORC	
SC-07(04)	Boundary Protection   External Telecommunications Services	ORC	_
SC-07(05)	Boundary Protection   Deny by Default – Allow by Exception	CUI	<u>03.13.06</u>
SC-07(07)	Boundary Protection   Split Tunneling for Remote Devices	ORC	_
SC-07(08)	Boundary Protection   Route Traffic to Authenticated Proxy Servers	ORC	_
SC-08	Transmission Confidentiality and Integrity	CUI	03.13.08
SC-08(01)	Transmission Confidentiality and Integrity   Cryptographic Protection	CUI	03.13.08
SC-10	Network Disconnect	CUI	03.13.09
SC-12	Cryptographic Key Establishment and Management	CUI	03.13.10
SC-13	Cryptographic Protection	CUI	03.13.11
<u>SC-15</u>	Collaborative Computing Devices and Applications	CUI	03.13.12
<u>SC-17</u>	Public Key Infrastructure Certificates	FED	_
<u>SC-18</u>	Mobile Code	CUI	03.13.13
<u>SC-20</u>	Secure Name/Address Resolution Service (Authoritative Source)	NCO	_
<u>SC-21</u>	Secure Name/Address Resolution Service (Recursive or Caching Resolver)	NCO	_
<u>SC-22</u>	Architecture and Provisioning for Name/Address Resolution Service	NCO	_
<u>SC-23</u>	Session Authenticity	CUI	03.13.15
<u>SC-28</u>	Protection of Information at Rest	CUI	03.13.08
SC-28(01)	Protection of Information at Rest   Cryptographic Protection	CUI	03.13.08
<u>SC-39</u>	Process Isolation	NCO	_

Table 21. System and Information Integrity (SI)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>SI-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>SI-02</u>	Flaw Remediation	CUI	<u>03.14.01</u>
SI-02(02)	Flaw Remediation   Automated Flaw Remediation Status	NCO	_
<u>SI-03</u>	Malicious Code Protection	CUI	03.14.02
<u>SI-04</u>	System Monitoring	CUI	<u>03.14.06</u>
<u>SI-04(02)</u>	System Monitoring   Automated Tools and Mechanisms for Real-Time Analysis	NCO	_
<u>SI-04(04)</u>	System Monitoring   Inbound and Outbound Communications Traffic	CUI	<u>03.14.06</u>
<u>SI-04(05)</u>	System Monitoring   System-Generated Alerts	NCO	_
<u>SI-05</u>	Security Alerts, Advisories, and Directives	CUI	<u>03.14.03</u>
<u>SI-07</u>	Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity	NCO	_
SI-07(01)	Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity   Integrity Checks	NCO	_
<u>SI-07(07)</u>	Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity   Integration of Detection and Response		_
<u>SI-08</u>	Spam Protection	ORC	_
<u>SI-08(02)</u>	Spam Protection   Automatic Updates	NCO	_
<u>SI-10</u>	Information Input Validation	NCO	_
<u>SI-11</u>	Error Handling	NCO	_

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>SI-12</u>	Information Management and Retention	CUI	03.14.08
<u>SI-16</u>	Memory Protection	NCO	_

Table 22. Supply Chain Risk Management (SR)

	NIST SP 800-53 CONTROLS MODERATE BASELINE	TAILORING CRITERIA	SECURITY REQUIREMENT
<u>SR-01</u>	Policy and Procedures	CUI	<u>03.15.01</u>
<u>SR-02</u>	Supply Chain Risk Management Plan	CUI	<u>03.17.01</u>
SR-02(01)	Supply Chain Risk Management Plan   Establish SCRM Team	NCO	_
<u>SR-03</u>	Supply Chain Controls and Processes	CUI	03.17.03
<u>SR-05</u>	Acquisition Strategies, Tools, and Methods	CUI	03.17.02
<u>SR-06</u>	Supplier Assessments and Reviews	CUI	<u>03.11.01</u>
<u>SR-08</u>	Notification Agreements	NCO	_
<u>SR-10</u>	Inspection of Systems or Components	NCO	_
<u>SR-11</u>	Component Authenticity	NCO	_
SR-11(01)	Component Authenticity   Anti-Counterfeit Training	NCO	_
SR-11(02)	Component Authenticity   Configuration Control for Component Service and Repair	NCO	_
<u>SR-12</u>	Component Disposal	ORC	_

## 2932 Appendix D. Change Log

2937

2938

2939

- This publication incorporates the following changes from the original edition (February 2020; updated January 28, 2021):
- Streamlined introductory information in <u>Section 1</u> and <u>Section 2</u> to improve clarity and understanding
  - Modified the security requirements and families in <u>Section 3</u> to reflect the security controls in the NIST SP 800-53B [12] moderate baseline and the tailoring actions in <u>Appendix C</u>
    - Eliminated the distinction between basic and derived security requirements
- Increased the specificity of security requirements to remove ambiguity, improve the effectiveness of implementation, and clarify the scope of assessments
- Introduced organization-defined parameters (ODPs) in selected security requirements to increase flexibility and help organizations better manage risk
- Grouped security requirements, where possible, to improve understanding and the efficiency of implementations and assessments
- Removed outdated and redundant security requirements
- Added new security requirements
- Added titles to security requirements
- Restructured and streamlined the discussion sections for security requirements
- Introduced new tailoring categories: *Other Related Controls (ORC)* and *Not Applicable* (N/A)
- Recategorized selected controls in the NIST SP 800-53B moderate baseline (using the tailoring criteria in Appendix C)
- Revised the security requirements for consistency with the security control language in NIST SP 800-53
- Revised the structure of the <u>References</u>, <u>Acronyms</u>, and <u>Glossary</u> sections for greater clarity and ease of use
- Revised the tailoring tables in <u>Appendix C</u> for consistency with the changes to the security requirements
- 2961 Table 23 shows the changes incorporated into this publication. Errata updates can include 2962 corrections, clarifications, or other minor changes in the publication that are either *editorial* or 2963 *substantive* in nature. Any potential updates to this document that are not yet published in an 2964 errata update or a formal revision, including additional issues and potential corrections, will be
- 2965 posted as they are identified. See the publication details for this report. The current release of this
- 2966 publication does not include any errata updates.

Table 23. Change Log

Publication ID	Date	Type of Edit	Change	Location