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## iReady Math K-5: How Do the District's Relative Placements Compare to the Benchmarks?

Fall 2021 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks


Winter 2022 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks


## iReady Math (3-5) Projected Proficiency with Typical Growth

Grade 3 Math


Grade 5 Math


Grade 4 Math


- Fall diagnostic shows projected proficiency with a year's worth of growth.
- Winter diagnostic shows an increase in projected proficiency with a year's worth of growth.
- This increase of projected proficiency indicates that students exceeded a year's worth of typical growth.
* Represents the value of a matched set of students who have iReady results for both Diagnostic 1 and 2.


# iReady Math Grades 4 \& 5 Cohort Analysis: How Are the District's Students Moving Forward? 

Cohort Analysis Grade 4 iReady Math

$N=11,760$

Cohort Analysis Grade 5 iReady Math

$N=12,472$

- Cohort analysis matched students who participated in Spring 2021 FSA, Fall 2021 iReady Diagnostic and Winter 2022 iReady Diagnostic.
- Grade 4 cohort increased four percentage points from Spring 2021 FSA to Winter 2022 iReady Diagnostic.
- Grade 5 cohort increased one percentage point from Fall to Winter iReady Diagnostics.


## Marking Periods 1 \& 2 Math Grade Distribution (Grades 3-5)



## iReady Reading K-5: How Do the District's Relative Placements Compare to the Benchmarks?

Fall 2021 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks

| Grade K |  |  |  | Grade 1 |  |  |  | Grade 2 |  |  |  | Grade 3 |  |  |  | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 73 | 10\% | 11\% | 12\% | $9 \%$ | 16\% | 16\% | 15\% | 12\% | 18\% | 2006 | 19\% | 15 | 15\% | \% | 18\% | 1. | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 118 |
| 18\% | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 7\% |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |  |  |  | 15\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15\% | 13\% | 13\% |  |  |  |  | 21\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% |  | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% |  |
| 75\% | 72\% | 75\% | 76\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21\% |  |  |  | 38\% | 31\% | 29\% | 28\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 73\% | 72\% | 67\% |  |  |  |  |  | 24\% | 22\% | 22\% |  |  | 42\% | 41\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 11\% |  |  | 22\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40\% | 21\% | 21\% | $22 \%$ |  | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9\% | 8\% | 12\% | 15\% | 24\% |  |  |  | 9\% | 118 | 13\% | 18 | 15\% | $13 \%$ | 16\% | 2 | 14\% | 16\% | 17\% | 24 |
| Norm | YTD | You | CGCS | Norm | YTD | You | CGCS | Norm | YTD | You | CGCS | Norm | YTD | You | CGCS | Norm | YTD | You | CGCS | Norm | YTD | You | CGCS |

- Mid On-Grade or Above
- Early On-Grade
- 1 Grade Below
- 2 Grades Below
- 3+ Grades Below

Norm: Historical National Norms YTD: Florida Year To Date You: Broward CGCS: Council of Great City Schools

Winter 2022 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks


- iReady Reading performance improved from Fall to Winter.
- BCPS kept pace with the state at grades 4 and 5 in reducing the percentage of students who performed 2+ grades below.
- BCPS increased the percentage of students who performed 'early on-grade or above' at a greater rate compared to CGCS at grades 3 and 5. (Grades 2 and 4 were equal).


## iReady Reading (3-5) Projected Proficiency with Typical Growth

Grade 3 ELA


Grade 5 ELA


Grade 4 ELA


- Fall diagnostic shows projected proficiency with a year's worth of growth.
- Winter diagnostic shows an increase in projected proficiency with a year's worth of growth.
- This increase of projected proficiency indicates that students exceeded a year's worth of typical growth.
* Represents the value of a matched set of students who have iReady results for both Diagnostic 1 and 2.


## iReady Reading Grades 4 \& 5 Cohort Analysis: How Are the District's Students Moving Forward?

Cohort Analysis Grade 4 iReady Reading

$N=11,851$

Cohort Analysis Grade 5 iReady Reading

$N=12,264$

- Grade 4 and 5 cohorts increased two percentage points from Spring 2021 FSA to Winter 2022 iReady Diagnostic.
- Grade 4 cohort increased four percentage points from Fall to Winter iReady Diagnostics.
- Grade 5 cohort increased five percentage points from Fall to Winter iReady Diagnostics.


## Marking Periods 1 \& 2 ELA Grade Distribution (Grades 3-5)

|  | 0\% |  | 10\% | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | 90\% | \% 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019-20 MP1 | 29\% |  |  | 35\% |  |  |  | 28\% |  | 7\% 2\% |  |
|  | 2020-21 MP1 |  | 30\% |  | 29\% |  |  |  | 28\% |  | 9\% 3\% |  |
| Elementary ELA course grade distributions are approaching pre-pandemic levels. | 2021-22 MP1 | 33\% |  |  | $31 \%$ |  |  |  | 24\% |  | $9 \% \quad 4 \%$ |  |
|  | 2019-20 MP2 | 0\% 10\% |  | 20\% | $30 \%$ | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | 90\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28\% |  | 7\% 2\% |
|  | 2020-21 MP2 |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 27\% |  | 10\% | 5\% 1\% |
|  | 2021-22 MP2 |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  | 7\% |  | 8\% 3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\square \mathrm{A}$ | - C | D - F |  |  |  |  |  |

## iReady Math 6-8: How Do the District's Relative Placements Compare to the Benchmarks?

Fall 2021 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks


Winter 2022 Placement Distribution for District and Benchmarks



Grade 8


Note. Grade 7 \& 8 math performance for BCPS does not include all Algebra and Geometry students, while the state and national norms might include a higher percentage of Algebra and Geometry students.

Mid On-Grade or Above

- Early On-Grade
- 1 Grade Below

2 Grades Below

- 3+ Grades Below

Norm: Historical National Norms YTD: Florida Year To Date You: Broward CGCS: Council of Great City Schools

- BCPS increased the percentage of students performing on or above grade level from Fall to Winter.
- BCPS made more growth relative to the state in grades 7 and 8 by reducing the percentage of students who performed 2+ grades below.
- BCPS increased the percentage of students who performed 'early on-grade or above' at a greater rate compared to CGCS at grades 7 and 8.


## iReady Math (6-8) Projected Proficiency with Typical Growth

Grade 6 Math


Grade 8 Math (Not Including Algebra)


Grade 7 Math


- Fall and Winter diagnostics results show projected proficiency has exceeded 2021 FSA.
- Grade 8 Math projected proficiency performance increased over 15 percentage points compared to 2021 Grade 8 Math FSA.
* Represents the value of a matched set of students who have iReady results for both Diagnostic 1 and 2 .


## iReady Mathematics Grades 6-8 Cohort Analysis: How Are the District's Students Moving Forward?

Cohort Analysis Grade 6 iReady Math

$N=10,551$

Cohort Analysis Grade 8 iReady Math


Cohort Analysis Grade 7 iReady Math

$N=8,972$

- Grade 7 cohort increased 16 percentage points from Spring 2021 FSA to Winter 2022 iReady Diagnostic.
- Grade 8 cohort increased 17 percentage points from Spring 2021 FSA to Winter 2022 iReady Diagnostic.


## Marking Periods $1 \& 2$ Math Grade Distribution (Grades 6-8)



## Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (HMH) ELA 6-8: How Do the District's Relative Placements Compare Fall to Winter?

Fall 2021 Placement Distribution for District

| Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Winter 2022 Placement Distribution for District

| Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $19 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

- 1 or More Years Above Grade-Level
- On Grade-Level
- Within One Year of Grade-Level
- 1-2 Years Below Grade-Level
- 2+ Years Below Grade-Level

Growth from Fall to Winter for percent on or above gradelevel (light green and dark green):

- Grade 6 improved by five percentage points.
- Grade 7 improved by three percentage points.
- Grade 8 improved by six percentage points.


## Marking Periods 1 \& 2 ELA Grade Distribution (Grades 6-8)



## Marking Periods $1 \& 2$ Math Grade Distribution (Grades 9-12)



# Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (HMH) ELA 9-10: How Do the District's Relative Placements Compare Fall to Winter? 

## Fall 2021 Placement Distribution for District

| Grade 9 | Grade 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

Winter 2022 Placement Distribution for District


## Marking Periods 1 \& 2 ELA Grade Distribution (Grades 9-12)



## What are we doing on the Road to Recovery?

Teachers have been ensuring that grade-level content remains the focus of instruction while building skill sets that provide scaffolds for students to reach and move beyond those expectations. The following list highlights how academic recovery is occurring throughout all content areas at the elementary and secondary levels.



Diagnosing students' unfinished learning in that pre-requisite content knowledge and those prerequisite skills


Adapting the scope and sequence/pacing guides for each subject area and grade level to reflect where teachers might need to provide acceleration support


Providing support and professional learning to teachers and leaders to diagnose students' unfinished learning and provide accelerated learning opportunities


Monitoring students' progress and adjusting supports for teachers and students based
on results

## Calibrating the Road to Recovery with Collaborative School Visits



## Challenges and Barriers



## School-Based Tutoring Programs

- The instructional plan on the road to recovery includes adding instructional time for the students that need it. School-based tutoring programs have provided this needed additional instructional time in front of a high-quality teacher and/or tutor.
- Teachers have been supported with frameworks that highlight priority standards requiring more focus and strategies to provide just-in-time instruction to close gaps and accelerate learning.



## Summary of School-Based Tutoring Programs



Over $71 \%$ of tutoring programs are funded by ESSER


Of 60\% of initiatives with mid-point or final progress reported, over 90\% were on target, met goal or exceeded goal

Tutoring
focused on Reading accounts for over $42 \%$ of programs

Tutoring focused on Math accounts for over $31 \%$ of programs

## School-Based Tutoring Programs



- Approximately $57 \%$ of Elementary programs are focused on ELA.
- Secondary level tutoring initiatives are more evenly distributed by subject.

> SSSI - Supplemental Student Support Initiatives TIPs - Tutoring Intervention Programs

## School-Based Tutoring Programs - Funding

## SSSI/TIPs <br> Funding Source

■ESSER Title 1 ■Title $3 ■$ General Funds Multipe Grant Providers ■Other


SSSI - Supplemental Student Support Initiatives TIPs - Tutoring Intervention Programs

## School-Based Tutoring Programs: Tracking and Monitoring

## Tutoring Dashboard



Enhanced iReady Dashboard


Tutoring Fields
Cohort Comparisons

Innovations for Learning (IFL) Reading Foundation Stages (RFS)

| Year to Date (2-15-22) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| \# Schools | 31 |
| \# K Students | 2,263 |
| \# Grade 1 Students | 2,274 |
| \# Sessions | 88,133 |
| \# Minutes | 474,444 |

Students' level of reading foundation skills are assessed through ongoing individualized conferencing and tutoring sessions.

RFS guide tutoring sessions and are used to monitor students' progress as they gain focus skill and strategies.

| Timeline | Reading Foundation Stage <br> with aligned BAS/ORR Level | Focus Skills and Strategies |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| BOY | 1 | $\checkmark$ | Letter Identification |  |
| Kindergarten |  | $\checkmark$ | Concepts of Print |  |

BOY=Beginning of the Year, MOY= Middle of the Year, EOY=End of the Year, CVt=Consonant Vowel Letter T, CVC=Consonant Vowel Consonant, CVCe=Consonant Vowel Consonant Letter e.
*Levels 6-10 include Comprehension Strategies of Listening and Self-Correcting, Visualizing, Predicting and Asking Questions, and Making Inferences

## IFL Year to Date* Data Review

Kindergarten Reading Foundation Stages

| Grade Level | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Foundation Stage |  |  |
| YTD Goal | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Baseline RFS AVG | 1.63 | 2.5 |
| Nov. 15 - RFS AVG | 1.85 | 3.09 |
| Dec. 15 - RFS AVG | 2.31 | 3.51 |
| Jan. 15 - RFS AVG | 2.33 | 3.64 |
| Feb. 15 - RFS AVG | 3.04 | 4.15 |
| YTD AVG Growth | 1.41 | 1.65 |
| Oral Reading Fluency (ORF) |  |  |
| Baseline | N/A | 3.95 (D) |
| Middle of the Year | 2.89 (C) | 5.44 (E/F) |

YTD=Year to Date, AVG=Average, RFS=Reading Foundation Stages


## Public Consulting Group (PCG) - University Instructors

| Year to Date (3-7-22) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| \# Schools | 12 |
| \# Students | 964 |
| Average Minutes <br> per student | 606 |
| \# Sessions | 17,315 |
| \# Minutes | 584,126 |

UNIVERSITY INSTRUCTORS LIC ${ }^{\ominus}$
A Public Consulting Group Company

| Schools | Average Minutes <br> per Session | Total Session <br> Minutes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Endeavour Primary <br> Learning Center | 29 | 41,030 |
| Fairway Elementary | 31 | 40,770 |
| Hollywood Central | 23 | 23,250 |
| Elementary | 24 | 43,890 |
| Lloyd Estates Elementary | 31 | 20,370 |
| North Fork Elementary <br> Robert C. Markham <br> Elementary | 21 | 38,730 |
| Walker Elementary <br> West Hollywood | 22 | 22,170 |
| Elementary | 25 | 62,730 |
| New Renaissance Middle | 36 | 69,750 |
| Silver Lakes Middle | 44 | 81,780 |
| Northeast High | 51 | 31,170 |
| South Plantation High | 50 | 108,486 |

## Public Consulting Group (PCG) - University Instructors How are these students doing?-Preliminary Results




## SAGA Tutoring

| Year to Date (3-2-22) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| \# Schools | 6 |
| \# Students | 812 |


n=students who completed both rounds at Level 1

## Streamlining Instructional Software Timeline


*A waiver process will be in place for schools that can show the need for a purchase not on the approved
list. All waivers will have to be approved through the Digital Information Request Form (DIRF) process.

## Managed Menu as of March 15, 2022



## Next Steps

- Maintain Laser Focus on Grade Level Standards and Content with Appropriate Scaffolding.
- Continue Onsite Support to Schools.
- Identify Solutions to Challenges and Barriers.
- Identify Students who Need Additional Support to Attend Summer Experience.
- Continue to Align Disparate Data Systems.
- Finalize RFP for Tutoring Programs; Have Programs in Place for Beginning of 2022-2023 School Year.
- Finalize Software Managed Menu and Begin Contract Negotiations.
- Academics Update June 2022.


## Board Discussion


$\Omega$
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## APPENDICES

## List of Acronyms

- AAP: Adequate Academic Progress
- AP: Advanced Placement
- ACCESS for ELLs: Summative English language proficiency test
- ARP: American Recovery Plan
- BAS: Benchmark Assessment System
- BCPS: Broward County Public Schools
- BSA: Broward Standards Assessment
- CASEL: Collaborative for Academic, Social, \& Emotional Learning
- CCLR: College Career Life-Readiness
- CDC: Centers for Disease Control
- CGCS: Council of the Great City Schools
- CTE: Career Technical Education
- DROP: Deferred Retirement Option Program
- DWA: Data Warehouse
- EC: Effective Communication
- ELA: English Language Arts
- ELL: English Language Learner
- ELO: Extended Learning Opportunity
- EOC: End of Course
- ES: Elementary School
- ESE: Exceptional Student Education
- ESLS: Exceptional Student Learning \& Support
- E/S/M/WBE: Emerging /Small /Medium/Women Business Enterprise
- ESSER: Elementary and Secondary Emergency • PL: Professional Learning Relief
- FRL: Free or Reduced Lunch
- FAFSA: Free Application for Federal Student Aid
- FERPA: Family Educational Rights \& Privacy Act
- FSA: Florida Standards Assessment
- FSAA: Florida Standards Alternate Assessment
- FTE: Full Time Equivalent
- FY: Fiscal Year
- GFOA: Government Finance Officers Association
- HQI: High Quality Instruction
- HS: High School
- HVAC: Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning
- HST: Health Service Technician
- ITB: Invitation to Bid
- KPIs: Key Performance Indicators
- LAB: Learning Across Broward
- M\&O: Maintenance \& Operations
- MGT: Management
- MS: Middle School
- MSD: Marjory Stoneman Douglas
- MTSS: Multi-tiered System of Supports
- OCM: Organizational Change Management
- PLC: Professional Learning Community
- PPE: Personal Protective Equipment
- PMP: Progress Monitoring Plan
- PRT: Primary Reading Test
- PSAT: Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test
- Q: Quarter
- RFP: Request for Proposal
- RtI: Response to Intervention
- SAT: Scholastic Aptitude Test
- SEL: Social and Emotional Learning
- SERV: School Emergency Response to Violence
- SIM: Strategic Initiative Management
- SIP: School Improvement Plan
- SWD: Students with Disabilities
- SIS: Student Information System
- SMART: Security, Music, Arts, Renovation, Technology
- SSE: Safe \& Supportive Environment
- STEM: Science Engineering Technology Math
- SY: School Year
- TCO: Total Cost of Ownership
- TERMS: refers to data warehouse
- TIF: Teacher Incentive Fund
- TR: Technology Refresh
- W/D: Withdrawal Code


## School-Based Tutoring Programs

| Elementary School Content Area | \# of Schools | \# (\%) of Initiatives | Average \# of Initiatives | Middle School Content Area | \# of Schools | \# (\%) of Initiatives | Average \# of Initiatives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA/Reading | 134 | 552 (57.0\%) | 4.1 | ELA/Reading | 37 | 135 (28.7\%) | 3.6 |
| Mathematics | 129 | 328 (33.8\%) | 2.5 | Mathematics | 37 | 139 (29.5\%) | 3.8 |
| Science | 44 | 52 (5.4\%) | 1.2 | Science | 30 | 59 (12.5\%) | 2.0 |
| Social Studies | 1 | 1 (0.1\%) | 1.0 | Social Studies | 24 | 39 (8.3\%) | 1.6 |
| Multiple Content | 21 | 32 (3.3\%) | 1.5 | Multiple Content | 21 | 54 (11.5\%) | 2.6 |
| Other | 3 | 4 (0.4\%) | 1.3 | Other | 18 | 45 (9.6\%) | 2.5 |
| All | 135 | 969 (100\%) | 7.2 | All | 37 | 471 (100\%) | 12.7 |
| High School Content Area | \# of Schools | \# (\%) of Initiatives | Average \# of Initiatives | Center School Content Area | \# of Schools | \# (\%) of Initiatives | Average \# of Initiatives |
| ELA/Reading | 26 | 91 (23.6\%) | 3.5 | ELA/Reading | 7 | 10 (31.3\%) | 1.4 |
| Mathematics | 30 | 99 (25.6\%) | 3.3 | Mathematics | 8 | 12 (37.5\%) | 1.5 |
| Science | 21 | 35 (9.1\%) | 1.7 | Science | 1 | 1 (3.1\%) | 1.0 |
| Social Studies | 16 | 29 (7.5\%) | 1.8 | Social Studies | 0 | - | - |
| Multiple Content | 23 | 98 (25.4\%) | 4.3 | Multiple Content | 5 | 8 (25.0\%) | 1.6 |
| Other | 18 | 34 (8.8\%) | 1.9 | Other | 1 | 1 (3.1\%) | 1.0 |
| All | 31 | 386 (100\%) | 12.5 | All | 11 | 32 (100\%) | 2.9 |

## School-Based Tutoring Programs

| Combination <br> School <br> Content Area | \# of <br> Schools | \# (\%) of <br> Initiatives | Average \# <br> of Inifiatives |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ELA/Reading | 5 | $23(41.8 \%)$ | 4.6 |
| Mathematics | 6 | $18(32.7 \%)$ | 3.0 |
| Science | 5 | $7(12.7 \%)$ | 1.4 |
| Social Studies | 2 | $2(3.6 \%)$ | 1 |
| Multiple Content | 2 | $4(7.3 \%)$ | 2 |
| Other | 1 | $1(1.8 \%)$ | 1 |
| All | 6 | $55(100 \%)$ | 9.2 |

## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Fall to Winter? Mathematics - Students Participating in Both Diagnostics

Fall 21-22 to Winter 21-22 Placement Distribution

| 7\% |  | 6\% |  | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6\% |  |  | 13\% | 10\% | 13\% | 6\% | 16\% | 9\% |  |
| 8\% | 24\% |  | 18\% |  |  |  |  | 14\% |  |  | 19\% |
|  |  |  | 11\% |  | 17\% |  | 22\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% |  | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  | 52\% |  | 49\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 71\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41\% |  |
| 85\% |  |  | 62\% |  | 53\% |  | 48\% |  | 41\% |  | 38\% |
|  | 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11\% |  | 10\% |
|  |  | 18\% | 8\% |  | 17\% | 12\% |  | 14\% | 8\% | 17\% | 11\% |
| F | W | F | W | F | W | F | W | F | W | F | W |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=10,402$ |  | $N=11,508$ |  | $N=12,321$ |  | $N=13,003$ |  | $N=12,896$ |  | $N=13,810$ |  |

- Mid On-Grade or Above

EarlyOn-Grade

1 Grade Below

- 2 Grades Below
- 3+ Grades Below
i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid on-grade placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.


## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Fall to Winter? Reading- Students Participating in Both Diagnostics

Fall 21-22 to Winter 21-22 Placement Distribution


[^0]
## How Have Relative Placements Changed From Fall to Winter? Mathematics - Students Participating in Both Diagnostics

Fall 21-22 to Winter 21-22 Placement Distribution


[^1]

# Laurie Rich Levinson, Chair Patricia Good, Vice Chair 

Lori Alhadeff<br>Debra Hixon<br>Donna P. Korn<br>Sarah Leonardi<br>Ann Murray<br>Nora Rupert

## Dr. Vickie L. Cartwright <br> Superintendent of Schools

The School Board of Broward County, Florida, prohibits any policy or procedure which results in discrimination on the basis of age, color, disability, gender identity, gender expression, genetic information, marital status, national origin, race, religion, sex or sexual orientation. The School Board also provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups. Individuals who wish to file a discrimination and/or harassment complaint may call the Director, Equal Educational Opportunities/ADA Compliance Department \& District's Equity Coordinator/Title IX Coordinator at 754-321-2150 or Teletype Machine (TTY) 754-321-2158.

Individuals with disabilities requesting accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 , (ADAAA) may call Equal Educational Opportunities/ADA Compliance Department at 754-321-2150 or Teletype Machine (TTY) 754-321-2158
browardschools.com


[^0]:    i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid on-grade placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.

[^1]:    i-Ready's placements are an indication of what students are expected to know at each grade level. The mid on-grade placement refers to students who may be considered proficient for their grade.

